arXiv:1212.5708v2 [math.DG] 17 Jan 2013

BOTT-CHERN COHOMOLOGY OF SOLVMANIFOLDS

DANIELE ANGELLA AND HISASHI KASUYA

Abstract. We study conditions under which sub-complexes of a double complex of vector spaces allow to compute the Bott-Chern cohomology, especially with the aims to study the Bott-Chern cohomology of a special class of solvmanifolds, and to study the L.-S. Tseng and S.-T. Yau cohomologies of compact symplectic solvmanifolds.

Introduction

Given a double complex $\left(A^{\bullet,\bullet},\, \partial,\, \overline{\partial}\right)$ of vector spaces, other than the cohomology of the associated total complex $\left(\bigoplus_{p+q=\bullet} A^{p,q},\, \partial+\overline{\partial}\right)$ and the cohomologies of the rows $\left(A^{\bullet,q},\, \partial\right)$ and of the columns $\left(A^{p,\bullet},\, \overline{\partial}\right)$, one can define also the *Bott-Chern cohomology*, respectively the *Aeppli cohomology*, namely, the cohomologies of the complexes

$$A^{p-1,q-1} \xrightarrow{\partial\overline{\partial}} A^{p,q} \xrightarrow{\partial+\overline{\partial}} A^{p+1,q} \oplus A^{p,q+1} , \qquad \text{respectively,} \qquad A^{p-1,q} \oplus A^{p,q-1} \xrightarrow{\left(\partial,\, \overline{\partial}\right)} A^{p,q} \xrightarrow{\partial\overline{\partial}} A^{p+q,q+1} .$$

For a compact complex manifold X, the Bott-Chern and the Aeppli cohomologies of the double complex $\left(\wedge^{\bullet,\bullet}X,\, \partial,\, \overline{\partial}\right)$ have been studied by many authors in several contexts, see, e.g., [1, 21, 17, 36, 82, 2, 77, 58, 18, 81, 4, 8], and they appear to be a completing useful tool besides the de Rham and the Dolbeault cohomologies. In this spirit, in [8], it is shown that an inequality *à la* Frölicher, involving just the dimensions of the Bott-Chern cohomology and of the de Rham cohomology, holds true an any compact complex manifold, and further allows to characterize the validity of the $\partial\overline{\partial}$-Lemma (namely, the very special cohomological property that every ∂-closed $\overline{\partial}$-closed d-exact form is $\partial\overline{\partial}$-exact too, see, e.g., [36]).

The property of satisfying the $\partial\overline{\partial}$-Lemma turns out to be equivalent to the Bott-Chern cohomology being naturally isomorphic to the Dolbeault cohomology, [36, Remark 5.16]. Therefore, since compact Kähler manifolds satisfy the $\partial\overline{\partial}$-Lemma because of the Kähler identities, [36, Lemma 5.11], the Bott-Chern cohomology reveals to be particularly interesting in studying complex non-Kähler manifolds.

In non-Kähler geometry, a very fruitful source of counter-examples is provided by the class of nilmanifolds and solvmanifolds, namely, compact quotient of connected simply-connected nilpotent, respectively solvable, Lie groups by co-compact discrete subgroups. In fact, for instance, the geometry of nilmanifolds is quite easy to understand to be often reduced to the study of the associated Lie algebras, [28, 73, 15], but not so easy to not admit too strong geometric structures, [16, 46]. More precisely, on a nilmanifold, the finite-dimensional sub-complex of left-invariant forms (namely, the forms being invariant for the action of the Lie group on itself given by left-translations) suffices in computing the de Rham cohomology, [69, 48], and, whenever the nilmanifold is endowed with a suitable left-invariant complex structure, also the Dolbeault cohomology, [74, 32, 29, 72, 73], and the Bott-Chern cohomology, [4].

Enlarging the class of nilmanifolds to solvmanifolds, it turns out that the left-invariant forms are usually not enough to recover the whole de Rham cohomology: see, for example, the non-completely-solvable solvmanifold provided in [34, §3, §4, Corollary 4.2]. The de Rham cohomology of solvmanifolds has been studied by several author, e.g., A. Hattori [48], G. D. Mostow [67], S. Console and A. Fino [30], and the second author [50, 54]; several results concerning the Dolbeault cohomology have been proven by the second author, [51, 54]; such results allow to study Hodge symmetry, Hodge decomposition, formality, and the Hodge and Frölicher spectral sequence on solvmanifolds, [52, 53, 55].

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 22E25; 53C30; 57T15; 53C55; 53D05.

Key words and phrases. Dolbeault cohomology, Bott-Chern cohomology, solvmanifolds, invariant complex structure, invariant symplectic structure.

The first author is supported by the Project PRIN "Varietà reali e complesse: geometria, topologia e analisi armonica", by the Project FIRB "Geometria Differenziale e Teoria Geometrica delle Funzioni", and by GNSAGA of INdAM. The second author is supported by JSPS Research Fellowships for Young Scientists.

In order to further investigate the complex geometry of non-Kähler manifolds and especially its cohomological aspects, we are interested, in this note, in studying Bott-Chern cohomology of a certain class of solvmanifolds. More precisely, we start by studying conditions under which the Bott-Chern cohomology of a double complex can be completely recovered by a suitable sub-complex; see Theorem 1.3 and Theorem 1.6. As an application, we then get the following result.

Theorem (see Theorem 2.16 and Theorem 2.24). *Let G be a connected simply-connected solvable Lie group admitting a co-compact discrete subgroup Γ and endowed with a G-left-invariant complex structure. We suppose that:*

- *G is a semidirect product $\mathbb{C}^n \ltimes_\phi N$ of $\mathbb{C}^n$ and a connected simply-connected nilpotent Lie group N endowed with a N-left-invariant complex structure satisfying some conditions (see Assumption 2.11), or*
- *G is a complex Lie group,*

and we consider the solvmanifold $\Gamma\backslash G$. Then we construct an explicit finite dimensional sub-complex $C^{\bullet,\bullet}$ of the double complex $\left(\wedge^{\bullet,\bullet}\,\Gamma\backslash G\,,\,\partial,\,\overline{\partial}\right)$ which computes the Bott-Chern cohomology of $\Gamma\backslash G$.

As an application, we explicitly compute the Bott-Chern cohomology of the completely-solvable Nakamura manifold and of the complex parallelizable Nakamura manifold, providing also an example proving the following result.

Theorem (see Theorem 2.20). *Satisfying the $\partial\overline{\partial}$-Lemma is not a closed property under small deformations of the complex structure.*

In this paper we also consider the cohomology of compact symplectic manifolds which is introduced and studied in [79], see also [80, 81]. For a compact symplectic manifold (X, ω), considering the symplectic adjoint operator $\mathrm{d}^\Lambda := [\mathrm{d},\, -\iota_{\omega^{-1}}]$ on the de Rham complex $\wedge^\bullet X$, define the finite dimensional cohomology

$$H^\bullet_{BC}(X) \;:=\; \frac{\ker \mathrm{d} \cap \ker \mathrm{d}^\Lambda}{\mathrm{im}\, \mathrm{d}\, \mathrm{d}^\Lambda}.$$

Call this cohomology the *symplectic Bott-Chern cohomology*. In fact $H^\bullet_{BC}(X)$ is represented as the Bott-Chern cohomology of a certain double complex, see Section 2.6; furthermore, in the context of Generalized Geometry, [44, 23, 24], it appears as the symplectic counterpart of the complex Bott-Chern cohomology, [81]. As similar to the complex case, we compute the symplectic Bott-Chern cohomology of compact symplectic solvmanifolds.

Consider a solvmanifold $\Gamma\backslash G$. If $\Gamma\backslash G$ is a completely-solvable solvmanifold, then we can compute the de Rham cohomology $H^\bullet(\Gamma\backslash G\,;\mathbb{R})$ by using the cochain complex $\wedge^\bullet\mathfrak{g}^*$ of the left-invariant forms, [48]. We prove the following result.

Theorem (see Theorem 2.32). *Let $\Gamma\backslash G$ be a completely-solvable solvmanifold endowed with a G-left-invariant symplectic structure ω. Then we can compute the symplectic Bott-Chern cohomology $H^\bullet_{BC}(\Gamma\backslash G)$ by using $\wedge^\bullet\mathfrak{g}^*$.*

We notice that, in [62], M. Macrì provided a different proof of the same result.

For a general solvmanifold $\Gamma\backslash G$, the second author construct in [50] a finite dimensional cochain complex $A^\bullet_\Gamma$ of $\wedge^\bullet\,\Gamma\backslash G \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}$ which computes the $\mathbb{C}$-valued de Rham cohomology $H^\bullet_{dR}(\Gamma\backslash G\,;\mathbb{C})$. By using $A^\bullet_\Gamma$, we compute the $\mathbb{C}$-valued symplectic Bott-Chern cohomology.

Theorem (see Theorem 2.33). *Let $\Gamma\backslash G$ be a $2n$-dimensional solvmanifold endowed with a G-left-invariant symplectic structure ω. Consider the sub-complex $A^\bullet_\Gamma$ of $\wedge^\bullet\,\Gamma\backslash G \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}$ as in (1). We suppose that $\omega \in A^2_\Gamma$. Then $A^\bullet_\Gamma$ computes the $\mathbb{C}$-valued symplectic Bott-Chern cohomology $H^\bullet_{BC}(\Gamma\backslash G) \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}$.*

Acknowledgments. The first author would like to warmly thank Adriano Tomassini for his constant support and encouragement, for his several advices, and for many inspiring conversations. The second author would like to express his gratitude to Toshitake Kohno for helpful suggestions and stimulating discussions. The authors would like also to thank Luis Ugarte for suggestions and remarks.

1. Computing the cohomologies of double complexes by means of sub-complexes

In this section, we study several cohomologies associated to a bounded double complex of $\mathbb{C}$-vector spaces; in particular, we are interested in studying when such cohomologies can be recovered by means of a suitable (possibly finite-dimensional) sub-complex.

1.1. **The cohomology of the associated total complex.** Let $\left(A^{\bullet,\bullet},\, \partial,\, \overline{\partial}\right)$ be a bounded double complex of $\mathbb{C}$-vector spaces, namely, $\partial \in \mathrm{End}^{1,0}\left(A^{\bullet,\bullet}\right)$ and $\overline{\partial} \in \mathrm{End}^{0,1}\left(A^{\bullet,\bullet}\right)$ are such that $\partial^2 = \overline{\partial}^2 = \left[\partial, \overline{\partial}\right] = 0$, and $A^{p,q} = \{0\}$ but for finitely-many $(p,q) \in \mathbb{Z}^2$. Denote by

$$\left(\mathrm{Tot}^{\bullet}\left(A^{\bullet,\bullet}\right) := \bigoplus_{p+q=\bullet} A^{p,q},\; \mathrm{d} := \partial + \overline{\partial}\right)$$

the total complex associated to $\left(A^{\bullet,\bullet},\, \partial,\, \overline{\partial}\right)$. The bi-grading of $\left(A^{\bullet,\bullet},\, \partial,\, \overline{\partial}\right)$ induces two natural bounded filtrations of $\left(\mathrm{Tot}^{\bullet}\left(A^{\bullet,\bullet}\right),\, \mathrm{d}\right)$, namely,

$$\left\{\left({}'F^{p}\,\mathrm{Tot}^{\bullet}\left(A^{\bullet,\bullet}\right) := \bigoplus_{\substack{r+s=\bullet \\ r \geq p}} A^{r,s},\; \mathrm{d}\lfloor_{{}'F^{p}\,\mathrm{Tot}^{\bullet}\left(A^{\bullet,\bullet}\right)}\right) \hookrightarrow \left(\mathrm{Tot}^{\bullet}\left(A^{\bullet,\bullet}\right),\, \mathrm{d}\right)\right\}_{p\in\mathbb{Z}}$$

and

$$\left\{\left({}''F^{q}\,\mathrm{Tot}^{\bullet}\left(A^{\bullet,\bullet}\right) := \bigoplus_{\substack{r+s=\bullet \\ s \geq q}} A^{r,s},\; \mathrm{d}\lfloor_{{}''F^{q}\,\mathrm{Tot}^{\bullet}\left(A^{\bullet,\bullet}\right)}\right) \hookrightarrow \left(\mathrm{Tot}^{\bullet}\left(A^{\bullet,\bullet}\right),\, \mathrm{d}\right)\right\}_{q\in\mathbb{Z}} .$$

Such filtrations induce naturally two spectral sequences, respectively,

$$\left\{\left({}'E_r^{\bullet,\bullet}\left(A^{\bullet,\bullet},\, \partial,\, \overline{\partial}\right),\, {}'\mathrm{d}_r\right)\right\}_{r\in\mathbb{Z}} \qquad \text{and} \qquad \left\{\left({}''E_r^{\bullet,\bullet}\left(A^{\bullet,\bullet},\, \partial,\, \overline{\partial}\right),\, {}''\mathrm{d}_r\right)\right\}_{r\in\mathbb{Z}} ,$$

such that

$${}'E_1^{\bullet_1,\bullet_2}\left(A^{\bullet,\bullet},\, \partial,\, \overline{\partial}\right) \;\simeq\; H^{\bullet_2}\left(A^{\bullet_1,\bullet},\, \overline{\partial}\right) \;\Rightarrow\; H^{\bullet_1+\bullet_2}\left(\mathrm{Tot}^{\bullet}\left(A^{\bullet,\bullet}\right),\, \mathrm{d}\right) ,$$

and

$${}''E_1^{\bullet_1,\bullet_2}\left(A^{\bullet,\bullet},\, \partial,\, \overline{\partial}\right) \;\simeq\; H^{\bullet_1}\left(A^{\bullet,\bullet_2},\, \partial\right) \;\Rightarrow\; H^{\bullet_1+\bullet_2}\left(\mathrm{Tot}^{\bullet}\left(A^{\bullet,\bullet}\right),\, \mathrm{d}\right) ,$$

see, e.g., [65, §2.4], see also [42, §3.5], [31, Theorem 1, Theorem 3].

One gets straightforwardly the following result, providing a sufficient condition under which a sub-complex $\left(C^{\bullet,\bullet},\, \partial,\, \overline{\partial}\right) \hookrightarrow \left(A^{\bullet,\bullet},\, \partial,\, \overline{\partial}\right)$ allows to recover the cohomology of $\left(\mathrm{Tot}^{\bullet}\left(A^{\bullet,\bullet}\right),\, \mathrm{d}\right)$.

Proposition 1.1. *Let $\left(A^{\bullet,\bullet},\, \partial,\, \overline{\partial}\right)$ be a bounded double complex of $\mathbb{C}$-vector spaces, and let $\left(C^{\bullet,\bullet},\, \partial,\, \overline{\partial}\right) \hookrightarrow \left(A^{\bullet,\bullet},\, \partial,\, \overline{\partial}\right)$ be a sub-complex. If, for every $p \in \mathbb{Z}$, the induced map $\left(C^{p,\bullet},\, \overline{\partial}\right) \hookrightarrow \left(A^{p,\bullet},\, \overline{\partial}\right)$ of complexes is a quasi-isomorphism, then the induced map*

$$\left(\mathrm{Tot}^{\bullet}\left(C^{\bullet,\bullet}\right),\, \mathrm{d}\right) \hookrightarrow \left(\mathrm{Tot}^{\bullet}\left(A^{\bullet,\bullet}\right),\, \mathrm{d}\right)$$

of complexes is a quasi-isomorphism.

Proof. The inclusion $\left(C^{\bullet,\bullet},\, \partial,\, \overline{\partial}\right) \hookrightarrow \left(A^{\bullet,\bullet},\, \partial,\, \overline{\partial}\right)$ induces a morphism

$$\left\{\left({}'F^{p}\,\mathrm{Tot}^{\bullet}\left(C^{\bullet,\bullet}\right),\, \mathrm{d}\right)\right\}_{p\in\mathbb{Z}} \to \left\{\left({}'F^{p}\,\mathrm{Tot}^{\bullet}\left(A^{\bullet,\bullet}\right),\, \mathrm{d}\right)\right\}_{p\in\mathbb{Z}}$$

of the associated bounded filtrations, and hence in particular a morphism

$$\left\{\left({}'E_r^{\bullet,\bullet}\left(C^{\bullet,\bullet},\, \partial,\, \overline{\partial}\right),\, {}'\mathrm{d}_r\right)\right\}_{r\in\mathbb{Z}} \to \left\{\left({}'E_r^{\bullet,\bullet}\left(A^{\bullet,\bullet},\, \partial,\, \overline{\partial}\right),\, {}'\mathrm{d}_r\right)\right\}_{r\in\mathbb{Z}}$$

of the associated spectral sequences.

By the hypothesis, the inclusion induces an isomorphism at the first levels,

$$\begin{tikzcd}
{}'E_1^{\bullet,\bullet}\left(C^{\bullet,\bullet},\, \partial,\, \overline{\partial}\right) \arrow[r, "\simeq"] \arrow[d, Rightarrow] & {}'E_1^{\bullet,\bullet}\left(A^{\bullet,\bullet},\, \partial,\, \overline{\partial}\right) \arrow[d, Rightarrow] \\
H^{\bullet}\left(\mathrm{Tot}^{\bullet}\left(C^{\bullet,\bullet}\right),\, \mathrm{d}\right) \arrow[r] & H^{\bullet}\left(\mathrm{Tot}^{\bullet}\left(A^{\bullet,\bullet}\right),\, \mathrm{d}\right)
\end{tikzcd}$$

and hence, $A^{\bullet,\bullet}$ being bounded, also an isomorphism

$$H^{\bullet}\left(\mathrm{Tot}^{\bullet}\left(C^{\bullet,\bullet}\right),\, \mathrm{d}\right) \stackrel{\simeq}{\to} H^{\bullet}\left(\mathrm{Tot}^{\bullet}\left(A^{\bullet,\bullet}\right),\, \mathrm{d}\right)$$

see, e.g., [65, Theorem 3.5]; in particular, the induced map

$$\left(\mathrm{Tot}^{\bullet}\left(C^{\bullet,\bullet}\right),\, \mathrm{d}\right) \hookrightarrow \left(\mathrm{Tot}^{\bullet}\left(A^{\bullet,\bullet}\right),\, \mathrm{d}\right)$$

is a quasi-isomorphism. □

1.2. **The Bott-Chern cohomology.** For any $(p,q) \in \mathbb{Z}^2$, other than the cohomologies of $\left(\mathrm{Tot}^\bullet\left(A^{\bullet,\bullet}\right), \mathrm{d}\right)$, of $\left(A^{\bullet,q}, \partial\right)$, and of $\left(A^{p,\bullet}, \overline{\partial}\right)$, one can consider also the *Bott-Chern cohomology*, [21], namely, the cohomology of the complex

$$A^{p-1,q-1} \xrightarrow{\partial\overline{\partial}} A^{p,q} \xrightarrow{\partial+\overline{\partial}} A^{p+1,q} \oplus A^{p,q+1} \;,$$

and the *Aeppli cohomology*, [1], namely, the cohomology of the complex

$$A^{p-1,q} \oplus A^{p,q-1} \xrightarrow{\left(\partial,\, \overline{\partial}\right)} A^{p,q} \xrightarrow{\partial\overline{\partial}} A^{p+1,q+1} \;.$$

1.2.1. *Conditions yielding a surjective map in Bott-Chern cohomology.* In order to study conditions under which the Bott-Chern cohomology of a double complex can be recovered by means of a suitable sub-complex, we provide the following lemma.

Lemma 1.2. *Let* $\left(A^{\bullet,\bullet},\, \partial,\, \overline{\partial}\right)$ *be a bounded double complex of* $\mathbb{C}$*-vector spaces, and let* $\left(C^{\bullet,\bullet},\, \partial,\, \overline{\partial}\right) \hookrightarrow \left(A^{\bullet,\bullet},\, \partial,\, \overline{\partial}\right)$ *be a sub-complex. Suppose that, for every* $p \in \mathbb{Z}$, *the induced map* $\left(C^{p,\bullet},\, \overline{\partial}\right) \hookrightarrow \left(A^{p,\bullet},\, \overline{\partial}\right)$ *of complexes is a quasi-isomorphism. If* $\phi \in A^{p,q}$ *is such that* $\overline{\partial}\phi \in C^{p,q+1}$, *then there exist* $\tilde{\phi} \in C^{p,q}$ *and* $\hat{\phi} \in A^{p,q-1}$ *such that* $\phi = \tilde{\phi} + \overline{\partial}\hat{\phi}$.

Proof. One has

$$H^{q+1}\left(C^{p,\bullet},\, \overline{\partial}\right) \;\ni\; \left(\overline{\partial}\phi \mod \operatorname{im}\overline{\partial}\right) \;\mapsto\; \left(0 \mod \operatorname{im}\overline{\partial}\right) \;\in\; H^{q+1}\left(A^{p,\bullet},\, \overline{\partial}\right) \;;$$

since the map $H^{q+1}\left(C^{p,\bullet},\, \overline{\partial}\right) \overset{\simeq}{\to} H^{q+1}\left(A^{p,\bullet},\, \overline{\partial}\right)$ is injective, then $\overline{\partial}\phi \in \operatorname{im}\left(\overline{\partial}\colon C^{p,q} \to C^{p,q+1}\right)$: let $\tilde{\phi}_1 \in C^{p,q}$ be such that

$$\overline{\partial}\phi \;=\; \overline{\partial}\tilde{\phi}_1 \;.$$

Therefore,

$$\left(\left(\phi - \tilde{\phi}_1\right) \mod \operatorname{im}\overline{\partial}\right) \;\in\; H^q\left(A^{p,\bullet},\, \overline{\partial}\right) \;;$$

since the map $H^q\left(C^{p,\bullet},\, \overline{\partial}\right) \overset{\simeq}{\to} H^q\left(A^{p,\bullet},\, \overline{\partial}\right)$ is surjective, then there exist $\tilde{\phi}_2 \in \ker\left(\overline{\partial}\colon C^{p,q} \to C^{p,q+1}\right)$ and $\hat{\phi} \in A^{p,q-1}$ such that

$$\phi - \tilde{\phi}_1 \;=\; \tilde{\phi}_2 + \overline{\partial}\hat{\phi} \;,$$

that is, $\phi = \tilde{\phi} + \overline{\partial}\hat{\phi}$ where $\tilde{\phi} := \tilde{\phi}_1 + \tilde{\phi}_2 \in C^{p,q}$ and $\hat{\phi} \in A^{p-1,q}$. □

The following result gives a first partial answer concerning the relation between the Bott-Chern cohomology of a double complex and the Bott-Chern cohomology of a suitable sub-complex; compare it with [4, Theorem 3.7], which is in turn inspired by M. Schweitzer's computations on the Iwasawa manifold in [77, §1.c].

Theorem 1.3. *Let* $\left(A^{\bullet,\bullet},\, \partial,\, \overline{\partial}\right)$ *be a bounded double complex of* $\mathbb{C}$*-vector spaces, and let* $\left(C^{\bullet,\bullet},\, \partial,\, \overline{\partial}\right) \hookrightarrow \left(A^{\bullet,\bullet},\, \partial,\, \overline{\partial}\right)$ *be a sub-complex. Fix* $(p,q) \in \mathbb{Z}^2$. *Suppose that:*

- *(i) for every* $r \in \mathbb{Z}$, *the induced map* $\left(C^{r,\bullet},\, \overline{\partial}\right) \hookrightarrow \left(A^{r,\bullet},\, \overline{\partial}\right)$ *of complexes is a quasi-isomorphism,*
- *(ii) for every* $s \in \mathbb{Z}$, *the induced map* $\left(C^{\bullet,s},\, \partial\right) \hookrightarrow \left(A^{\bullet,s},\, \partial\right)$ *of complexes is a quasi-isomorphism, and*
- *(iii) the induced map*

$$\frac{\ker\left(\mathrm{d}\colon\ \mathrm{Tot}^{p+q}\left(C^{\bullet,\bullet}\right) \to \mathrm{Tot}^{p+q+1}\left(C^{\bullet,\bullet}\right)\right) \cap C^{p,q}}{\operatorname{im}\left(\mathrm{d}\colon\ \mathrm{Tot}^{p+q-1}\left(C^{\bullet,\bullet}\right) \to \mathrm{Tot}^{p+q}\left(C^{\bullet,\bullet}\right)\right)} \to \frac{\ker\left(\mathrm{d}\colon\ \mathrm{Tot}^{p+q}\left(A^{\bullet,\bullet}\right) \to \mathrm{Tot}^{p+q+1}\left(A^{\bullet,\bullet}\right)\right) \cap A^{p,q}}{\operatorname{im}\left(\mathrm{d}\colon\ \mathrm{Tot}^{p+q-1}\left(A^{\bullet,\bullet}\right) \to \mathrm{Tot}^{p+q}\left(A^{\bullet,\bullet}\right)\right)}$$

  is surjective, in fact an isomorphism.

Then the induced map

$$\left(C^{p-1,q-1} \overset{\partial\overline{\partial}}{\to} C^{p,q} \overset{\partial+\overline{\partial}}{\to} C^{p+1,q} \oplus C^{p,q+1}\right) \hookrightarrow \left(A^{p-1,q-1} \overset{\partial\overline{\partial}}{\to} A^{p,q} \overset{\partial+\overline{\partial}}{\to} A^{p+1,q} \oplus A^{p,q+1}\right)$$

of complexes induces a surjective map in cohomology.

Proof. Up to shifting, assume that $A^{r,s} = \{0\}$ whenever $(r,s) \notin \mathbb{N}^2$.

`Step 1` – *Firstly, we prove that, under the hypotheses (i) and (ii), the inclusion* $\left(C^{\bullet,\bullet},\, \partial,\, \overline{\partial}\right) \hookrightarrow \left(A^{\bullet,\bullet},\, \partial,\, \overline{\partial}\right)$ *induces, for every* $(r,s) \in \mathbb{Z}^2$, *a surjective map*

$$\frac{\operatorname{im}\left(\mathrm{d}\colon\ \mathrm{Tot}^{r+s-1}\left(C^{\bullet,\bullet}\right) \to \mathrm{Tot}^{r+s}\left(C^{\bullet,\bullet}\right)\right) \cap C^{r,s}}{\operatorname{im}\left(\partial\overline{\partial}\colon C^{r-1,s-1} \to C^{r,s}\right)} \to \frac{\operatorname{im}\left(\mathrm{d}\colon\ \mathrm{Tot}^{r+s-1}\left(A^{\bullet,\bullet}\right) \to \mathrm{Tot}^{r+s}\left(A^{\bullet,\bullet}\right)\right) \cap A^{r,s}}{\operatorname{im}\left(\partial\overline{\partial}\colon A^{r-1,s-1} \to A^{r,s}\right)} \;.$$

Indeed, let

$$\begin{aligned}\left(\omega^{r,s} \mod \operatorname{im}\left(\partial\overline{\partial}\colon A^{r-1,s-1} \to A^{r,s}\right)\right) \quad &:=: \quad \left(\mathrm{d}\,\eta \mod \operatorname{im}\left(\partial\overline{\partial}\colon A^{r-1,s-1} \to A^{r,s}\right)\right) \\ &\in \quad \frac{\operatorname{im}\left(\mathrm{d}\colon \operatorname{Tot}^{r+s-1}\left(A^{\bullet,\bullet}\right) \to \operatorname{Tot}^{r+s}\left(A^{\bullet,\bullet}\right)\right) \cap A^{r,s}}{\operatorname{im}\left(\partial\overline{\partial}\colon A^{r-1,s-1} \to A^{r,s}\right)} \; .\end{aligned}$$

Consider the bi-degree decomposition $\eta =: \sum_{a,b\in\mathbb{Z}} \eta^{a,b}$ where $\eta^{a,b} \in A^{a,b}$, for $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$. Hence, consider the system

$$\left\{\begin{array}{rcrcll} & & \partial\eta^{r+s-1,0} & = & 0 & \\ \overline{\partial}\eta^{r+s-\ell,\ell-1} & + & \partial\eta^{r+s-\ell-1,\ell} & = & 0 & \text{for} \quad \ell \in \{1,\ldots,s-1\} \\ \overline{\partial}\eta^{r,s-1} & + & \partial\eta^{r-1,s} & = & \omega^{r,s} & \mod \operatorname{im}\left(\partial\overline{\partial}\colon A^{r-1,s-1} \to A^{r,s}\right) \\ \overline{\partial}\eta^{\ell,r+s-\ell-1} & + & \partial\eta^{\ell-1,r+s-\ell} & = & 0 & \text{for} \quad \ell \in \{1,\ldots,r-1\} \\ \overline{\partial}\eta^{0,r+s-1} & & & = & 0 & \end{array}\right. .$$

Set $\eta^{r+s-2,-1} := 0$, and consider the equation

$$\overline{\partial}\eta^{r+s-\ell,\ell-1} + \partial\eta^{r+s-\ell-1,\ell} \;=\; 0 \mod \operatorname{im}\left(\partial\overline{\partial}\colon A^{r+s-\ell-1,\ell-1} \to A^{r+s-\ell,\ell}\right) \qquad \text{for } \ell \in \{0,\ldots,s-1\} \; .$$

If $\eta^{r+s-\tilde{\ell},\tilde{\ell}-1} \in C^{r+s-\tilde{\ell},\tilde{\ell}-1}$ for some $\tilde{\ell} \in \{0,\ldots,s-1\}$, then, by applying Lemma 1.2 to the double complex $\left(A^{\bullet,\bullet},\, \overline{\partial},\, \partial\right)$, one gets that there exist $\tilde{\eta}^{r+s-\tilde{\ell}-1,\tilde{\ell}} \in C^{r+s-\tilde{\ell}-1,\tilde{\ell}}$ and $\hat{\eta}^{r+s-\tilde{\ell}-2,\tilde{\ell}} \in A^{r+s-\tilde{\ell}-2,\tilde{\ell}}$ such that

$$\eta^{r+s-\tilde{\ell}-1,\tilde{\ell}} \;=\; \tilde{\eta}^{r+s-\tilde{\ell}-1,\tilde{\ell}} + \partial\hat{\eta}^{r+s-\tilde{\ell}-2,\tilde{\ell}} \; ;$$

therefore, when $\tilde{\ell} \leq s-2$, one gets the system

$$\left\{\begin{array}{ll} \partial\eta^{r+s-1,0} = 0 & \\ \overline{\partial}\eta^{r+s-\ell,\ell-1} + \partial\eta^{r+s-\ell-1,\ell} = 0 & \text{for} \quad \ell \in \{1,\ldots,\tilde{\ell}-1\} \\ \overline{\partial}\eta^{r+s-\tilde{\ell},\tilde{\ell}-1} + \partial\tilde{\eta}^{r+s-\tilde{\ell}-1,\tilde{\ell}} = 0 & \\ \overline{\partial}\tilde{\eta}^{r+s-\tilde{\ell}-1,\tilde{\ell}} + \partial\left(\eta^{r+s-\tilde{\ell}-2,\tilde{\ell}+1} - \overline{\partial}\hat{\eta}^{r+s-\tilde{\ell}-2,\tilde{\ell}}\right) = 0 & \\ \overline{\partial}\left(\eta^{r+s-\tilde{\ell}-2,\tilde{\ell}+1} - \overline{\partial}\hat{\eta}^{r+s-\tilde{\ell}-2,\tilde{\ell}}\right) + \partial\eta^{r+s-\tilde{\ell}-3,\tilde{\ell}+2} = 0 & \\ \overline{\partial}\eta^{r+s-\ell,\ell-1} + \partial\eta^{r+s-\ell-1,\ell} = 0 & \text{for} \quad \ell \in \{\tilde{\ell}+3,\ldots,s-1\} \\ \overline{\partial}\eta^{r,s-1} + \partial\eta^{r-1,s} = \omega^{r,s} \mod \operatorname{im}\left(\partial\overline{\partial}\colon A^{r-1,s-1} \to A^{r,s}\right) & \\ \overline{\partial}\eta^{\ell,r+s-\ell-1} + \partial\eta^{\ell-1,r+s-\ell} = 0 & \text{for} \quad \ell \in \{1,\ldots,r-1\} \\ \overline{\partial}\eta^{0,r+s-1} = 0 & \end{array}\right. ,$$

where $\tilde{\eta}^{r+s-\tilde{\ell}-1,\tilde{\ell}} \in C^{r+s-\tilde{\ell}-1,\tilde{\ell}}$, and when $\tilde{\ell} = s-1$, one gets the system

$$\left\{\begin{array}{ll} \partial\eta^{r+s-1,0} = 0 & \\ \overline{\partial}\eta^{r+s-\ell,\ell-1} + \partial\eta^{r+s-\ell-1,\ell} = 0 & \text{for} \quad \ell \in \{1,\ldots,s-2\} \\ \overline{\partial}\eta^{r+1,s-2} + \partial\tilde{\eta}^{r,s-1} = 0 & \\ \overline{\partial}\tilde{\eta}^{r,s-1} + \partial\eta^{r-1,s} = \omega^{r,s} \mod \operatorname{im}\left(\partial\overline{\partial}\colon A^{r-1,s-1} \to A^{r,s}\right) & \\ \overline{\partial}\eta^{\ell,r+s-\ell-1} + \partial\eta^{\ell-1,r+s-\ell} = 0 & \text{for} \quad \ell \in \{1,\ldots,r-1\} \\ \overline{\partial}\eta^{0,r+s-1} = 0 & \end{array}\right. ,$$

where $\tilde{\eta}^{r,s-1} \in C^{r,s-1}$.

In particular, since $\eta^{r+s-2,-1} = 0 \in C^{r+s-2,-1}$, we may assume that $\eta^{r,s-1} \in C^{r,s-1}$.

Analogously, by applying Lemma 1.2 to the double complex $\left(A^{\bullet,\bullet},\, \partial,\, \overline{\partial}\right)$, we may assume that $\eta^{r-1,s} \in C^{r-1,s}$.

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}
\omega^{r,s} \quad \mathrm{mod}\ \mathrm{im}\left(\partial\overline{\partial}\colon A^{r-1,s-1} \to A^{r,s}\right) \quad &= \quad \left(\overline{\partial}\eta^{r,s-1} + \partial\eta^{r-1,s}\right) \quad \mathrm{mod}\ \mathrm{im}\left(\partial\overline{\partial}\colon A^{r-1,s-1} \to A^{r,s}\right) \\
&\in \quad \frac{\mathrm{im}\left(\mathrm{d}\colon\ \mathrm{Tot}^{r+s-1}\left(C^{\bullet,\bullet}\right) \to \mathrm{Tot}^{r+s}\left(C^{\bullet,\bullet}\right)\right) \cap C^{r,s}}{\mathrm{im}\left(\partial\overline{\partial}\colon A^{r-1,s-1} \to A^{r,s}\right)} \;,
\end{aligned}$$

that is, the induced map

$$\frac{\mathrm{im}\left(\mathrm{d}\colon\ \mathrm{Tot}^{r+s-1}\left(C^{\bullet,\bullet}\right) \to \mathrm{Tot}^{r+s}\left(C^{\bullet,\bullet}\right)\right) \cap C^{r,s}}{\mathrm{im}\left(\partial\overline{\partial}\colon C^{r-1,s-1} \to C^{r,s}\right)} \to \frac{\mathrm{im}\left(\mathrm{d}\colon\ \mathrm{Tot}^{r+s-1}\left(A^{\bullet,\bullet}\right) \to \mathrm{Tot}^{r+s}\left(A^{\bullet,\bullet}\right)\right) \cap A^{r,s}}{\mathrm{im}\left(\partial\overline{\partial}\colon A^{r-1,s-1} \to A^{r,s}\right)}$$

is surjective.

`Step 2` – *Now, we prove that, under the additional assumption (iii), the induced map*

$$\frac{\ker\left(\partial\colon C^{p,q} \to C^{p+1,q}\right) \cap \ker\left(\overline{\partial}\colon C^{p,q} \to C^{p,q+1}\right)}{\mathrm{im}\left(\partial\overline{\partial}\colon C^{p-1,q-1} \to C^{p,q}\right)} \to \frac{\ker\left(\partial\colon A^{p,q} \to A^{p+1,q}\right) \cap \ker\left(\overline{\partial}\colon A^{p,q} \to A^{p,q+1}\right)}{\mathrm{im}\left(\partial\overline{\partial}\colon A^{p-1,q-1} \to A^{p,q}\right)}$$

is surjective.
Indeed, consider the commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
0 \arrow[r, equal] \arrow[d] & 0 \arrow[d] & \\
\frac{\mathrm{im}\left(\mathrm{d}\colon\ \mathrm{Tot}^{p+q-1}(C^{\bullet,\bullet}) \to \mathrm{Tot}^{p+q}(C^{\bullet,\bullet})\right) \cap C^{p,q}}{\mathrm{im}\left(\partial\overline{\partial}\colon C^{p-1,q-1} \to C^{p,q}\right)} \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \frac{\mathrm{im}\left(\mathrm{d}\colon\ \mathrm{Tot}^{p+q-1}(A^{\bullet,\bullet}) \to \mathrm{Tot}^{p+q}(A^{\bullet,\bullet})\right) \cap A^{p,q}}{\mathrm{im}\left(\partial\overline{\partial}\colon A^{p-1,q-1} \to A^{p,q}\right)} \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & 0 \\
\frac{\ker\left(\partial\colon C^{p,q} \to C^{p+1,q}\right) \cap \ker\left(\overline{\partial}\colon C^{p,q} \to C^{p,q+1}\right)}{\mathrm{im}\left(\partial\overline{\partial}\colon C^{p-1,q-1} \to C^{p,q}\right)} \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \frac{\ker\left(\partial\colon A^{p,q} \to A^{p+1,q}\right) \cap \ker\left(\overline{\partial}\colon A^{p,q} \to A^{p,q+1}\right)}{\mathrm{im}\left(\partial\overline{\partial}\colon A^{p-1,q-1} \to A^{p,q}\right)} \arrow[d] & \\
\frac{\ker\left(\mathrm{d}\colon\ \mathrm{Tot}^{p+q}(C^{\bullet,\bullet}) \to \mathrm{Tot}^{p+q+1}(C^{\bullet,\bullet})\right) \cap C^{p,q}}{\mathrm{im}\left(\mathrm{d}\colon\ \mathrm{Tot}^{p+q-1}(C^{\bullet,\bullet}) \to \mathrm{Tot}^{p+q}(C^{\bullet,\bullet})\right)} \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \frac{\ker\left(\mathrm{d}\colon\ \mathrm{Tot}^{p+q}(A^{\bullet,\bullet}) \to \mathrm{Tot}^{p+q+1}(A^{\bullet,\bullet})\right) \cap A^{p,q}}{\mathrm{im}\left(\mathrm{d}\colon\ \mathrm{Tot}^{p+q-1}(A^{\bullet,\bullet}) \to \mathrm{Tot}^{p+q}(A^{\bullet,\bullet})\right)} \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & 0 \\
0 \arrow[r, equal] & 0 &
\end{tikzcd}$$

whose rows and columns are exact. By the Five Lemma, see, e.g., [65, page 26], the map

$$\frac{\ker\left(\partial\colon C^{p,q} \to C^{p+1,q}\right) \cap \ker\left(\overline{\partial}\colon C^{p,q} \to C^{p,q+1}\right)}{\mathrm{im}\left(\partial\overline{\partial}\colon C^{p-1,q-1} \to C^{p,q}\right)} \to \frac{\ker\left(\partial\colon A^{p,q} \to A^{p+1,q}\right) \cap \ker\left(\overline{\partial}\colon A^{p,q} \to A^{p,q+1}\right)}{\mathrm{im}\left(\partial\overline{\partial}\colon A^{p-1,q-1} \to A^{p,q}\right)}$$

is surjective, completing the proof. □

1.2.2. *Conditions yielding an injective map in Bott-Chern cohomology.* In order to provide conditions under which the inclusion of a suitable sub-complex induces an injective map in Bott-Chern cohomology, we consider a further structure of Hilbert space on the double complex. (For similar results in the case of solvmanifolds, see [29, Lemma 9], [4, Lemma 3.6].)

Let A be a Hilbert space, with inner product $\langle \cdot \,|\, \cdot\cdot \rangle : A \times A \to \mathbb{C}$. Denote by $\|\cdot\| := \langle \cdot \,|\, \cdot \rangle^{1/2}$ the associated norm.

Given a densely-defined linear operator $L\colon A \supseteq \mathrm{dom}(L) \to A$ on A, denote by

$$L^*_{\langle \cdot \,|\, \cdot\cdot \rangle}\colon\ \mathrm{dom}\left(L^*_{\langle \cdot \,|\, \cdot\cdot \rangle}\right) \to A$$

its $\langle \cdot \,|\, \cdot\cdot \rangle$-adjoint operator, that is, the unique linear operator with domain

$$\mathrm{dom}\left(L^*_{\langle \cdot \,|\, \cdot\cdot \rangle}\right) \;:=\; \left\{y \in A \;:\; \langle L \cdot \,|\, y \rangle :\ \mathrm{dom}(L) \to \mathbb{C} \text{ is continuous}\right\}$$

and defined by

$$\forall x \in \mathrm{dom}(L),\ \forall y \in \mathrm{dom}\left(L^*_{\langle \cdot \,|\, \cdot\cdot \rangle}\right), \qquad \langle Lx \,|\, y \rangle \;=\; \left\langle x \,\middle|\, L^*_{\langle \cdot \,|\, \cdot\cdot \rangle} y \right\rangle \;.$$

Given a closed sub-space C of A, denote the induced inner product on C by $\langle \cdot \,|\, \cdot\cdot \rangle_C := \langle \cdot \,|\, \cdot\cdot \rangle \lfloor_{C\times C} \colon C\times C\to\mathbb{C}$, and the orthogonal projection onto C by $\pi^C_{\langle\cdot\,|\,\cdot\cdot\rangle}\colon A\to C\subseteq A$. One has that

$$\pi^C_{\langle\cdot\,|\,\cdot\cdot\rangle}\lfloor_C = \mathrm{id}_C \qquad \text{and} \qquad \left\langle C \,\middle|\, \left(\mathrm{id}_A - \pi^C_{\langle\cdot\,|\,\cdot\cdot\rangle}\right)(A)\right\rangle = \{0\} \;.$$

(To simplify notation, we do not specify the inner product $\langle \cdot \,|\, \cdot\cdot \rangle$ in writing the projection or the adjoint, whenever it is clear from the context.)

We firstly record the following lemma, stating that if L commutes with π_C, then also L^* does.

Lemma 1.4. *Let A be a Hilbert space, with inner product $\langle \cdot \,|\, \cdot\cdot \rangle$. Let $L\colon A\supseteq \mathrm{dom}(L)\to A$ be a densely-defined linear operator on A. Let C be a closed sub-space of A contained in $\mathrm{dom}(L)$ and in $\mathrm{dom}\left(L^*_{\langle\cdot\,|\,\cdot\cdot\rangle}\right)$. Suppose that*

$$\pi^C_{\langle\cdot\,|\,\cdot\cdot\rangle}\circ L \;=\; L\circ \pi^C_{\langle\cdot\,|\,\cdot\cdot\rangle}\colon \mathrm{dom}(L)\to C \;.$$

Then

$$\pi^C_{\langle\cdot\,|\,\cdot\cdot\rangle}\circ L^*_{\langle\cdot\,|\,\cdot\cdot\rangle} \;=\; L^*_{\langle\cdot\,|\,\cdot\cdot\rangle}\circ \pi^C_{\langle\cdot\,|\,\cdot\cdot\rangle}\colon \mathrm{dom}\left(L^*_{\langle\cdot\,|\,\cdot\cdot\rangle}\right)\to C \;;$$

in particular, $L^*_{\langle\cdot\,|\,\cdot\cdot\rangle}\lfloor_C\colon C\to C$, and hence $(L\lfloor_C)^*_{\langle\cdot\,|\,\cdot\cdot\rangle_C} = L^*_{\langle\cdot\,|\,\cdot\cdot\rangle}\lfloor_C$.

Proof. It suffices to note that $\pi^C\colon A\to C\subseteq A$ is self-$\langle \cdot \,|\, \cdot\cdot \rangle$-adjoint: for any $\alpha,\beta\in A$,

$$\left\langle \pi^C\alpha \,\middle|\, \beta\right\rangle \;=\; \left\langle \pi^C\alpha \,\middle|\, \beta-\left(\beta-\pi^C\beta\right)\right\rangle \;=\; \left\langle \pi^C\alpha \,\middle|\, \pi^C\beta\right\rangle \;=\; \left\langle \pi^C\alpha+\left(\alpha-\pi^C\alpha\right) \,\middle|\, \pi^C\beta\right\rangle \;=\; \left\langle \alpha \,\middle|\, \pi^C\beta\right\rangle \;.$$

It follows straightforwardly that $\pi^C\circ L^* = L^*\circ\pi^C\colon \mathrm{dom}\left(L^*\right)\to C$. In particular, since $\pi^C\lfloor_C = \mathrm{id}_C$ and $C\subseteq\mathrm{dom}\left(L^*\right)$, it follows that $L^*\left(C\right) = \left(L^*\circ\pi^C\right)(C) = \left(\pi^C\circ L^*\right)(C)\subseteq C$, and hence $L^*_{\langle\cdot\,|\,\cdot\cdot\rangle}\lfloor_C = (L\lfloor_C)^*_{\langle\cdot\,|\,\cdot\cdot\rangle_C}\colon C\to C$. ☐

Now, let $A^{\bullet,\bullet}$ be a Hilbert space, with inner product $\langle \cdot \,|\, \cdot\cdot \rangle$ such that $\left\langle A^{p,q} \,\middle|\, A^{p',q'}\right\rangle = \{0\}$ for every $(p,q)\neq(p',q')$. Let

$$\partial\colon A^{\bullet,\bullet}\supseteq \mathrm{dom}(\partial)^{\bullet,\bullet}\to A^{\bullet+1,\bullet} \qquad\text{and}\qquad \overline{\partial}\colon A^{\bullet,\bullet}\supseteq \mathrm{dom}(\overline{\partial})^{\bullet,\bullet}\to A^{\bullet,\bullet+1}$$

be densely-defined linear operators yielding a structure $\left(\left(\mathrm{dom}(\partial)\cap\mathrm{dom}(\overline{\partial})\right)^{\bullet,\bullet},\, \partial,\, \overline{\partial}\right)$ of bounded double complex of $\mathbb{C}$-vector spaces. Denote by

$$\partial^* \;:=\; \partial^*_{\langle\cdot\,|\,\cdot\cdot\rangle}\colon A^{\bullet,\bullet}\supseteq \mathrm{dom}\left(\partial^*\right)^{\bullet,\bullet}\to A^{\bullet-1,\bullet} \qquad\text{and}\qquad \overline{\partial}^* \;:=\; \overline{\partial}^*_{\langle\cdot\,|\,\cdot\cdot\rangle}\colon A^{\bullet,\bullet}\supseteq \mathrm{dom}\left(\overline{\partial}^*\right)^{\bullet,\bullet}\to A^{\bullet,\bullet-1}$$

the $\langle \cdot \,|\, \cdot\cdot \rangle$-adjoint operators of ∂ and, respectively, $\overline{\partial}$.

Following [57, Proposition 5], see also [77, §2.b, §2.c], define the (densely-defined) self-$\langle \cdot \,|\, \cdot\cdot \rangle$-adjoint operator

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde\Delta^{BC} \;=:\; \tilde\Delta^{BC}_{\langle\cdot\,|\,\cdot\cdot\rangle} \;&:=\; \left(\partial\overline{\partial}\right)\left(\partial\overline{\partial}\right)^* + \left(\partial\overline{\partial}\right)^*\left(\partial\overline{\partial}\right) + \left(\overline{\partial}^*\partial\right)\left(\overline{\partial}^*\partial\right)^* + \left(\overline{\partial}^*\partial\right)^*\left(\overline{\partial}^*\partial\right) + \overline{\partial}^*\overline{\partial} + \partial^*\partial \\ &\in\; \mathrm{Hom}^{0,0}\left(\mathrm{dom}\left(\tilde\Delta^{BC}_{\langle\cdot\,|\,\cdot\cdot\rangle}\right)^{\bullet,\bullet};\, A^{\bullet,\bullet}\right) \;.\end{aligned}$$

The following lemma states that, under a suitable decomposition hypothesis, the Bott-Chern cohomology of $\left(A^{\bullet,\bullet},\, \partial,\, \overline{\partial}\right)$ is isomorphic to $\ker\tilde\Delta^{BC}$.

Lemma 1.5. *Let $A^{\bullet,\bullet}$ be a Hilbert space, with inner product $\langle \cdot \,|\, \cdot\cdot \rangle$ such that $\left\langle A^{p,q} \,\middle|\, A^{p',q'}\right\rangle = \{0\}$ for every $(p,q)\neq(p',q')$. Let $\partial\colon A^{\bullet,\bullet}\supseteq \mathrm{dom}(\partial)^{\bullet,\bullet}\to A^{\bullet+1,\bullet}$ and $\overline{\partial}\colon A^{\bullet,\bullet}\supseteq \mathrm{dom}(\overline{\partial})^{\bullet,\bullet}\to A^{\bullet,\bullet+1}$ be densely-defined linear operators yielding a structure $\left(\left(\mathrm{dom}(\partial)\cap\mathrm{dom}(\overline{\partial})\right)^{\bullet,\bullet},\, \partial,\, \overline{\partial}\right)$ of bounded double complex of $\mathbb{C}$-vector spaces. Suppose that the operator $\tilde\Delta^{BC}_{\langle\cdot\,|\,\cdot\cdot\rangle}\in\mathrm{Hom}^{0,0}\left(\mathrm{dom}\left(\tilde\Delta^{BC}_{\langle\cdot\,|\,\cdot\cdot\rangle}\right)^{\bullet,\bullet};\, A^{\bullet,\bullet}\right)$ induces the decomposition*

$$\mathrm{dom}\left(\tilde\Delta^{BC}_{\langle\cdot\,|\,\cdot\cdot\rangle}\right) \;=\; \ker\tilde\Delta^{BC}_{\langle\cdot\,|\,\cdot\cdot\rangle}\oplus\mathrm{im}\,\tilde\Delta^{BC}_{\langle\cdot\,|\,\cdot\cdot\rangle} \;.$$

Then, for every $(p,q)\in\mathbb{N}^2$, the induced map

$$\left(0\to\ker\tilde\Delta^{BC}_{\langle\cdot\,|\,\cdot\cdot\rangle}\cap A^{p,q}\to 0\right)\hookrightarrow\left(A^{p-1,q-1}\overset{\partial\overline{\partial}}{\to}A^{p,q}\overset{\partial+\overline{\partial}}{\to}A^{p+1,q}\oplus A^{p,q+1}\right)$$

is a quasi-isomorphism.

Proof. Note that, for every $\eta \in \operatorname{dom}\left(\tilde{\Delta}^{BC}\right)$, one has

$$\left\langle \tilde{\Delta}^{BC}\eta \,\middle|\, \eta \right\rangle \;=\; \left\| \left(\partial\overline{\partial}\right)^{*}\eta \right\|^{2} + \left\|\partial\overline{\partial}\eta\right\|^{2} + \left\|\partial^{*}\overline{\partial}\eta\right\|^{2} + \left\|\overline{\partial}^{*}\partial\eta\right\|^{2} + \left\|\overline{\partial}\eta\right\|^{2} + \left\|\partial\eta\right\|^{2} \;,$$

hence

$$\ker\tilde{\Delta}^{BC} \;=\; \ker\partial \cap \ker\overline{\partial} \cap \ker\left(\partial\overline{\partial}\right)^{*} \;.$$

On the other hand, since $\operatorname{im}\tilde{\Delta}^{BC} \subseteq \operatorname{im}\partial\overline{\partial} \oplus \left(\operatorname{im}\partial^{*} + \operatorname{im}\overline{\partial}^{*}\right)$ and $\left(\operatorname{im}\partial^{*} + \operatorname{im}\overline{\partial}^{*}\right) \cap \left(\ker\partial \cap \ker\overline{\partial}\right) = \{0\}$, one has

$$\operatorname{im}\tilde{\Delta}^{BC} \cap \left(\ker\partial \cap \ker\overline{\partial}\right) \;=\; \operatorname{im}\partial\overline{\partial} \;.$$

It follows that

$$\ker\tilde{\Delta}^{BC} \cap A^{p,q} \;\overset{\simeq}{\to}\; \frac{\ker\tilde{\Delta}^{BC} \cap A^{p,q} + \operatorname{im}\partial\overline{\partial} \cap A^{p,q}}{\operatorname{im}\left(\partial\overline{\partial}\colon A^{p-1,q-1} \to A^{p,q}\right)} \;\simeq\; \frac{\ker\left(\partial + \overline{\partial}\colon A^{p,q} \to A^{p+1,q} \oplus A^{p,q+1}\right)}{\operatorname{im}\left(\partial\overline{\partial}\colon A^{p-1,q-1} \to A^{p,q}\right)} \;,$$

completing the proof. □

We have now the following result.

Theorem 1.6. *Let $A^{\bullet,\bullet}$ be a Hilbert space, with inner product $\langle \cdot \,|\, \cdot\cdot \rangle$ such that $\left\langle A^{p,q} \,\middle|\, A^{p',q'} \right\rangle = \{0\}$ for every $(p,q) \neq (p',q')$. Let $\partial\colon A^{\bullet,\bullet} \supseteq \operatorname{dom}(\partial)^{\bullet,\bullet} \to A^{\bullet+1,\bullet}$ and $\overline{\partial}\colon A^{\bullet,\bullet} \supseteq \operatorname{dom}(\overline{\partial})^{\bullet,\bullet} \to A^{\bullet,\bullet+1}$ be densely-defined linear operators yielding a structure $\left(\left(\operatorname{dom}(\partial) \cap \operatorname{dom}(\overline{\partial})\right)^{\bullet,\bullet},\, \partial,\, \overline{\partial}\right)$ of bounded double complex of $\mathbb{C}$-vector spaces. Let*

$$j\colon \left(C^{\bullet,\bullet},\, \partial,\, \overline{\partial}\right) \hookrightarrow \left(\left(\operatorname{dom}(\partial) \cap \operatorname{dom}(\overline{\partial})\right)^{\bullet,\bullet},\, \partial,\, \overline{\partial}\right)$$

be a sub-complex. Suppose that:

- *(i) the operator $\tilde{\Delta}^{BC}_{\langle \cdot | \cdot\cdot \rangle} \in \operatorname{Hom}^{0,0}\left(\operatorname{dom}\left(\tilde{\Delta}^{BC}_{\langle \cdot | \cdot\cdot \rangle}\right)^{\bullet,\bullet} ;\, A^{\bullet,\bullet}\right)$ induces the decomposition*

$$\operatorname{dom}\left(\tilde{\Delta}^{BC}_{\langle \cdot | \cdot\cdot \rangle}\right) \;=\; \ker\tilde{\Delta}^{BC}_{\langle \cdot | \cdot\cdot \rangle} \oplus \operatorname{im}\tilde{\Delta}^{BC}_{\langle \cdot | \cdot\cdot \rangle} \;;$$

- *(ii) it holds that*

$$\partial^{*}_{\langle \cdot | \cdot\cdot \rangle}\lfloor_{C^{\bullet,\bullet}} \;=\; \left(\partial\lfloor_{C^{\bullet,\bullet}}\right)^{*}_{\langle \cdot | \cdot\cdot \rangle_{C^{\bullet,\bullet}}} \;\colon\; \operatorname{dom}\left(\partial^{*}_{\langle \cdot | \cdot\cdot \rangle}\lfloor_{C^{\bullet,\bullet}}\right)^{\bullet,\bullet} \to C^{\bullet-1,\bullet}$$

and

$$\overline{\partial}^{*}_{\langle \cdot | \cdot\cdot \rangle}\lfloor_{C^{\bullet,\bullet}} \;=\; \left(\overline{\partial}\lfloor_{C^{\bullet,\bullet}}\right)^{*}_{\langle \cdot | \cdot\cdot \rangle_{C^{\bullet,\bullet}}} \;\colon\; \operatorname{dom}\left(\overline{\partial}^{*}_{\langle \cdot | \cdot\cdot \rangle}\lfloor_{C^{\bullet,\bullet}}\right)^{\bullet,\bullet} \to C^{\bullet,\bullet-1} \;;$$

in particular, it follows that

$$\tilde{\Delta}^{BC}_{\langle \cdot | \cdot\cdot \rangle}\lfloor_{C^{\bullet,\bullet}} \;=\; \tilde{\Delta}^{BC}_{\langle \cdot | \cdot\cdot \rangle_{C^{\bullet,\bullet}}} \;\in\; \operatorname{Hom}^{0,0}\left(\operatorname{dom}\left(\tilde{\Delta}^{BC}\lfloor_{C^{\bullet,\bullet}}\right)^{\bullet,\bullet} ;\, C^{\bullet,\bullet}\right) \;;$$

- *(iii) the operator $\tilde{\Delta}^{BC}_{\langle \cdot | \cdot\cdot \rangle}\lfloor_{C^{\bullet,\bullet}} \in \operatorname{Hom}^{0,0}\left(\operatorname{dom}\left(\tilde{\Delta}^{BC}_{\langle \cdot | \cdot\cdot \rangle}\lfloor_{C^{\bullet,\bullet}}\right)^{\bullet,\bullet} ;\, C^{\bullet,\bullet}\right)$ induces the decomposition*

$$\operatorname{dom}\left(\tilde{\Delta}^{BC}_{\langle \cdot | \cdot\cdot \rangle}\lfloor_{C^{\bullet,\bullet}}\right) \;=\; \ker\tilde{\Delta}^{BC}_{\langle \cdot | \cdot\cdot \rangle}\lfloor_{C^{\bullet,\bullet}} \oplus \operatorname{im}\tilde{\Delta}^{BC}_{\langle \cdot | \cdot\cdot \rangle}\lfloor_{C^{\bullet,\bullet}} \;.$$

Then, for every $(p,q) \in \mathbb{N}^{2}$, the induced map

$$j\colon \left(C^{p-1,q-1} \overset{\partial\overline{\partial}}{\to} C^{p,q} \overset{\partial+\overline{\partial}}{\to} C^{p+1,q} \oplus C^{p,q+1}\right) \hookrightarrow \left(A^{p-1,q-1} \overset{\partial\overline{\partial}}{\to} A^{p,q} \overset{\partial+\overline{\partial}}{\to} A^{p+1,q} \oplus A^{p,q+1}\right)$$

of complexes induces an injective map j^{*} in cohomology.

Proof. By Lemma 1.5 and under the hypotheses *(i)*, *(ii)*, and *(iii)*, one gets that both

$$\left(0 \to \ker\tilde{\Delta}^{BC}_{\langle \cdot | \cdot\cdot \rangle} \cap A^{p,q} \to 0\right) \hookrightarrow \left(A^{p-1,q-1} \overset{\partial\overline{\partial}}{\to} A^{p,q} \overset{\partial+\overline{\partial}}{\to} A^{p+1,q} \oplus A^{p,q+1}\right)$$

and

$$\left(0 \to \ker\tilde{\Delta}^{BC}_{\langle \cdot | \cdot\cdot \rangle}\lfloor_{C^{\bullet,\bullet}} \cap C^{p,q} = \ker\tilde{\Delta}^{BC}_{\langle \cdot | \cdot\cdot \rangle_{C^{\bullet,\bullet}}} \cap C^{p,q} \to 0\right) \hookrightarrow \left(C^{p-1,q-1} \overset{\partial\overline{\partial}}{\to} C^{p,q} \overset{\partial+\overline{\partial}}{\to} C^{p+1,q} \oplus C^{p,q+1}\right)$$

are quasi-isomorphisms.

Hence, one has the commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\ker \tilde\Delta^{BC}_{\langle \cdot \mid \cdot\cdot \rangle} \lfloor_{C^{\bullet,\bullet}} \cap C^{p,q} \arrow[r, "\simeq"] \arrow[d, hook, "j"] & \frac{\ker\left(\partial+\overline{\partial}\colon C^{p,q}\to C^{p+1,q}\oplus C^{p,q+1}\right)}{\mathrm{im}\left(\partial\overline{\partial}\colon C^{p-1,q-1}\to C^{p,q}\right)} \arrow[d, "j^*"] \\
\ker \tilde\Delta^{BC}_{\langle \cdot \mid \cdot\cdot \rangle} \cap A^{p,q} \arrow[r, "\simeq"] & \frac{\ker\left(\partial+\overline{\partial}\colon A^{p,q}\to A^{p+1,q}\oplus A^{p,q+1}\right)}{\mathrm{im}\left(\partial\overline{\partial}\colon A^{p-1,q-1}\to A^{p,q}\right)}
\end{tikzcd}$$

getting that j^* is injective. □

By using Lemma 1.4, one gets the following corollary of Theorem 1.6, concerning closed sub-complexes.

Corollary 1.7. *Let* $A^{\bullet,\bullet}$ *be a Hilbert space, with inner product* $\langle \cdot \mid \cdot\cdot \rangle$ *such that* $\left\langle A^{p,q} \,\middle|\, A^{p',q'} \right\rangle = \{0\}$ *for every* $(p,q) \neq (p',q')$*. Let* $\partial\colon A^{\bullet,\bullet} \supseteq \mathrm{dom}(\partial)^{\bullet,\bullet} \to A^{\bullet+1,\bullet}$ *and* $\overline{\partial}\colon A^{\bullet,\bullet} \supseteq \mathrm{dom}(\overline{\partial})^{\bullet,\bullet} \to A^{\bullet,\bullet+1}$ *be densely-defined linear operators yielding a structure* $\left(\left(\mathrm{dom}(\partial)\cap\mathrm{dom}(\overline{\partial})\right)^{\bullet,\bullet},\, \partial,\, \overline{\partial}\right)$ *of bounded double complex of* $\mathbb{C}$*-vector spaces. Let* $j\colon \left(C^{\bullet,\bullet},\, \partial,\, \overline{\partial}\right) \hookrightarrow \left(\left(\mathrm{dom}(\partial)\cap\mathrm{dom}(\overline{\partial})\right)^{\bullet,\bullet},\, \partial,\, \overline{\partial}\right)$ *be a closed sub-complex. Suppose that:*

- *(i) the operator* $\tilde\Delta^{BC}_{\langle \cdot \mid \cdot\cdot \rangle} \in \mathrm{Hom}^{0,0}\left(\mathrm{dom}\left(\tilde\Delta^{BC}_{\langle \cdot \mid \cdot\cdot \rangle}\right)^{\bullet,\bullet} ;\, A^{\bullet,\bullet}\right)$ *induces the decomposition*
$$\mathrm{dom}\left(\tilde\Delta^{BC}_{\langle \cdot \mid \cdot\cdot \rangle}\right) \;=\; \ker \tilde\Delta^{BC}_{\langle \cdot \mid \cdot\cdot \rangle} \oplus \mathrm{im}\, \tilde\Delta^{BC}_{\langle \cdot \mid \cdot\cdot \rangle} \;;$$
- *(ii)* $C^{\bullet,\bullet} \subseteq \mathrm{dom}(\partial)\cap\mathrm{dom}(\overline{\partial})\cap\mathrm{dom}\left(\partial^*_{\langle \cdot \mid \cdot\cdot \rangle}\right)\cap\mathrm{dom}\left(\overline{\partial}^*_{\langle \cdot \mid \cdot\cdot \rangle}\right)$*, and* $\pi^{C^{\bullet,\bullet}}\circ\partial = \partial\circ\pi^{C^{\bullet,\bullet}}\colon \mathrm{dom}(\partial)^{\bullet,\bullet} \to C^{\bullet+1,\bullet}$ *and* $\pi^{C^{\bullet,\bullet}}\circ\overline{\partial} = \overline{\partial}\circ\pi^{C^{\bullet,\bullet}}\colon \mathrm{dom}(\overline{\partial})^{\bullet,\bullet} \to C^{\bullet,\bullet+1}$*.*

Then, for every $(p,q)\in\mathbb{N}^2$*, the induced map*

$$j\colon \left(C^{p-1,q-1} \stackrel{\partial\overline{\partial}}{\to} C^{p,q} \stackrel{\partial+\overline{\partial}}{\to} C^{p+1,q}\oplus C^{p,q+1}\right) \hookrightarrow \left(A^{p-1,q-1} \stackrel{\partial\overline{\partial}}{\to} A^{p,q} \stackrel{\partial+\overline{\partial}}{\to} A^{p+1,q}\oplus A^{p,q+1}\right)$$

of complexes induces an injective map j^* *in cohomology.*

Proof. By Lemma 1.4, one has $\pi^{C^{\bullet,\bullet}}_{\langle \cdot \mid \cdot\cdot \rangle} \circ \partial^*_{\langle \cdot \mid \cdot\cdot \rangle} = \partial^*_{\langle \cdot \mid \cdot\cdot \rangle} \circ \pi^{C^{\bullet,\bullet}}_{\langle \cdot \mid \cdot\cdot \rangle}\colon \mathrm{dom}\left(\partial^*_{\langle \cdot \mid \cdot\cdot \rangle}\right)^{\bullet,\bullet} \to C^{\bullet-1,\bullet}$ and $\pi^{C^{\bullet,\bullet}}_{\langle \cdot \mid \cdot\cdot \rangle} \circ \overline{\partial}^*_{\langle \cdot \mid \cdot\cdot \rangle} = \overline{\partial}^*_{\langle \cdot \mid \cdot\cdot \rangle} \circ \pi^{C^{\bullet,\bullet}}_{\langle \cdot \mid \cdot\cdot \rangle}\colon \mathrm{dom}\left(\overline{\partial}^*_{\langle \cdot \mid \cdot\cdot \rangle}\right)^{\bullet,\bullet} \to C^{\bullet,\bullet-1}$, and hence in particular $\partial^*_{\langle \cdot \mid \cdot\cdot \rangle}\lfloor_{C^{\bullet,\bullet}} = \left(\partial\lfloor_{C^{\bullet,\bullet}}\right)^*_{\langle \cdot \mid \cdot\cdot \rangle_{C^{\bullet,\bullet}}} \colon C^{\bullet,\bullet} \to C^{\bullet-1,\bullet}$ and $\overline{\partial}^*_{\langle \cdot \mid \cdot\cdot \rangle}\lfloor_{C^{\bullet,\bullet}} = \left(\overline{\partial}\lfloor_{C^{\bullet,\ bullet}}\right)^*_{\langle \cdot \mid \cdot\cdot \rangle_{C^{\bullet,\bullet}}} \colon C^{\bullet,\bullet} \to C^{\bullet,\bullet-1}$.

Furthermore, it follows that $\pi^{C^{\bullet,\bullet}}_{\langle \cdot \mid \cdot\cdot \rangle} \circ \tilde\Delta^{BC}_{\langle \cdot \mid \cdot\cdot \rangle} = \tilde\Delta^{BC}_{\langle \cdot \mid \cdot\cdot \rangle} \circ \pi^{C^{\bullet,\bullet}}_{\langle \cdot \mid \cdot\cdot \rangle}\colon \mathrm{dom}\left(\tilde\Delta^{BC}_{\langle \cdot \mid \cdot\cdot \rangle}\right)^{\bullet,\bullet} \to C^{\bullet,\bullet}$. In particular, it follows that

$$\pi^{C^{\bullet,\bullet}}_{\langle \cdot \mid \cdot\cdot \rangle}\left(\ker\tilde\Delta^{BC}_{\langle \cdot \mid \cdot\cdot \rangle}\right) \;=\; \ker\tilde\Delta^{BC}_{\langle \cdot \mid \cdot\cdot \rangle}\lfloor_{C^{\bullet,\bullet}} \qquad \text{and} \qquad \pi^{C^{\bullet,\bullet}}_{\langle \cdot \mid \cdot\cdot \rangle}\left(\mathrm{im}\,\tilde\Delta^{BC}_{\langle \cdot \mid \cdot\cdot \rangle}\right) \;=\; \mathrm{im}\,\tilde\Delta^{BC}_{\langle \cdot \mid \cdot\cdot \rangle}\lfloor_{C^{\bullet,\bullet}} \,,$$

and hence one gets the decomposition

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{dom}\left(\tilde\Delta^{BC}_{\langle \cdot \mid \cdot\cdot \rangle}\lfloor_{C^{\bullet,\bullet}}\right)^{\bullet,\bullet} \;&=\; \pi^{C^{\bullet,\bullet}}_{\langle \cdot \mid \cdot\cdot \rangle}\left(\mathrm{dom}\left(\tilde\Delta^{BC}_{\langle \cdot \mid \cdot\cdot \rangle}\right)^{\bullet,\bullet}\right) \;=\; \pi^{C^{\bullet,\bullet}}_{\langle \cdot \mid \cdot\cdot \rangle}\left(\ker\tilde\Delta^{BC}_{\langle \cdot \mid \cdot\cdot \rangle}\right) + \pi^{C^{\bullet,\bullet}}_{\langle \cdot \mid \cdot\cdot \rangle}\left(\mathrm{im}\,\tilde\Delta^{BC}_{\langle \cdot \mid \cdot\cdot \rangle}\right) \\
&=\; \ker\tilde\Delta^{BC}_{\langle \cdot \mid \cdot\cdot \rangle}\lfloor_{C^{\bullet,\bullet}} \oplus \mathrm{im}\,\tilde\Delta^{BC}_{\langle \cdot \mid \cdot\cdot \rangle}\lfloor_{C^{\bullet,\bullet}} \,.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence the hypotheses of Theorem 1.6 are satisfied, completing the proof. □

Note that hypothesis *(iii)* in Theorem 1.6 is satisfied whenever the sub-complex $C^{\bullet,\bullet}$ is finite-dimensional.

Corollary 1.8. *Let* $A^{\bullet,\bullet}$ *be a Hilbert space, with inner product* $\langle \cdot \mid \cdot\cdot \rangle$ *such that* $\left\langle A^{p,q} \,\middle|\, A^{p',q'} \right\rangle = \{0\}$ *for every* $(p,q) \neq (p',q')$*. Let* $\partial\colon A^{\bullet,\bullet} \supseteq \mathrm{dom}(\partial)^{\bullet,\bullet} \to A^{\bullet+1,\bullet}$ *and* $\overline{\partial}\colon A^{\bullet,\bullet} \supseteq \mathrm{dom}(\overline{\partial})^{\bullet,\bullet} \to A^{\bullet,\bullet+1}$ *be densely-defined linear operators yielding a structure of bounded double complex of* $\mathbb{C}$*-vector spaces on* $\left(\left(\mathrm{dom}(\partial)\cap\mathrm{dom}(\overline{\partial})\right)^{\bullet,\bullet},\, \partial,\, \overline{\partial}\right)$*. Let* $j\colon \left(C^{\bullet,\bullet},\, \partial,\, \overline{\partial}\right) \hookrightarrow \left(\left(\mathrm{dom}(\partial)\cap\mathrm{dom}(\overline{\partial})\right)^{\bullet,\bullet},\, \partial,\, \overline{\partial}\right)$ *be a sub-complex. Suppose that:*

- *(i) the operator* $\tilde\Delta^{BC}_{\langle \cdot \mid \cdot\cdot \rangle} \in \mathrm{Hom}^{0,0}\left(\mathrm{dom}\left(\tilde\Delta^{BC}_{\langle \cdot \mid \cdot\cdot \rangle}\right)^{\bullet,\bullet} ;\, A^{\bullet,\bullet}\right)$ *induces the decomposition*
$$\mathrm{dom}\left(\tilde\Delta^{BC}_{\langle \cdot \mid \cdot\cdot \rangle}\right)^{\bullet,\bullet} \;=\; \ker \tilde\Delta^{BC}_{\langle \cdot \mid \cdot\cdot \rangle} \oplus \mathrm{im}\, \tilde\Delta^{BC}_{\langle \cdot \mid \cdot\cdot \rangle} \;;$$

(ii) *$C^{\bullet,\bullet}$ is finite-dimensional;*

(iii) *it holds that*

$$\partial^*_{\langle\cdot\,|\,\cdot\cdot\rangle}\lfloor_{C^{\bullet,\bullet}} \;=\; \left(\partial\lfloor_{C^{\bullet,\bullet}}\right)^*_{\langle\cdot\,|\,\cdot\cdot\rangle_{C^{\bullet,\bullet}}} \colon C^{\bullet,\bullet} \to C^{\bullet-1,\bullet}$$

and

$$\overline{\partial}^*_{\langle\cdot\,|\,\cdot\cdot\rangle}\lfloor_{C^{\bullet,\bullet}} \;=\; \left(\overline{\partial}\lfloor_{C^{\bullet,\bullet}}\right)^*_{\langle\cdot\,|\,\cdot\cdot\rangle_{C^{\bullet,\bullet}}} \colon C^{\bullet,\bullet} \to C^{\bullet,\bullet-1} \;.$$

Then, for every $(p,q)\in\mathbb{N}^2$, the induced map

$$j\colon \left(C^{p-1,q-1} \stackrel{\partial\overline{\partial}}{\to} C^{p,q} \stackrel{\partial+\overline{\partial}}{\to} C^{p+1,q}\oplus C^{p,q+1}\right) \hookrightarrow \left(A^{p-1,q-1} \stackrel{\partial\overline{\partial}}{\to} A^{p,q} \stackrel{\partial+\overline{\partial}}{\to} A^{p+1,q}\oplus A^{p,q+1}\right)$$

of complexes induces an injective map j^* in cohomology.

Proof. Note that, if $C^{\bullet,\bullet}\subseteq\left(\mathrm{dom}\,\partial\cap\mathrm{dom}\,\overline{\partial}\right)^{\bullet,\bullet}$ is finite dimensional, as in *(ii)*, then the $\mathbb{C}$-linear operators $\partial\lfloor_{C^{\bullet,\bullet}}\colon C^{\bullet,\bullet}\to C^{\bullet+1,\bullet}$ and $\overline{\partial}\lfloor_{C^{\bullet,\bullet}}\colon C^{\bullet,\bullet}\to C^{\bullet,\bullet+1}$ are continuous, and hence $\mathrm{dom}\left(\partial\lfloor_{C^{\bullet,\bullet}}\right)^*_{\langle\cdot\,|\,\cdot\cdot\rangle_{C^{\bullet,\bullet}}} = \mathrm{dom}\left(\partial^*_{\langle\cdot\,|\,\cdot\cdot\rangle}\lfloor_{C^{\bullet,\bullet}}\right) = C^{\bullet,\bullet}$ and $\mathrm{dom}\left(\overline{\partial}\lfloor_{C^{\bullet,\bullet}}\right)^*_{\langle\cdot\,|\,\cdot\cdot\rangle_{C^{\bullet,\bullet}}} = \mathrm{dom}\left(\overline{\partial}^*_{\langle\cdot\,|\,\cdot\cdot\rangle}\lfloor_{C^{\bullet,\bullet}}\right) = C^{\bullet,\bullet}$. By hypothesis *(iii)*, it follows that $\tilde{\Delta}^{BC}_{\langle\cdot\,|\,\cdot\cdot\rangle}\lfloor_{C^{\bullet,\bullet}} = \tilde{\Delta}^{BC}_{\langle\cdot\,|\,\cdot\cdot\rangle_{C^{\bullet,\bullet}}} \in \mathrm{End}^{0,0}\left(C^{\bullet,\bullet}\right)$. In particular, $\mathrm{dom}\,\tilde{\Delta}^{BC}_{\langle\cdot\,|\,\cdot\cdot\rangle_{C^{\bullet,\bullet}}} = \mathrm{dom}\,\tilde{\Delta}^{BC}_{\langle\cdot\,|\,\cdot\cdot\rangle}\lfloor_{C^{\bullet,\bullet}} = C^{\bullet,\bullet}$.

Hence, in order to apply Theorem 1.6, it suffices to show that, given a finite-dimensional $\mathbb{C}$-vector space C endowed with an inner product $\langle\cdot\,|\,\cdot\cdot\rangle$, any self-$\langle\cdot\,|\,\cdot\cdot\rangle$-adjoint endomorphism $L\in\mathrm{Hom}(C)$ yields a decomposition

$$C \;=\; \ker L\oplus\mathrm{im}\,L \;.$$

Indeed, take $\ker L\subseteq C$ and let $V\subseteq C$ be the $\mathbb{C}$-vector sub-space of C being $\langle\cdot\,|\,\cdot\cdot\rangle$-orthogonal to $\ker L$; in particular, $C=\ker L \stackrel{\perp_{\langle\cdot\,|\,\cdot\cdot\rangle}}{\oplus} V$. It suffices to show that $V=\mathrm{im}\,L$. Since L is self-$\langle\cdot\,|\,\cdot\cdot\rangle$-adjoint, then $\langle\mathrm{im}\,L\,|\,\ker L\rangle=\{0\}$, and hence $\mathrm{im}\,L\subseteq V$. Since $\dim_{\mathbb{C}}C=\dim_{\mathbb{C}}\mathrm{im}\,L+\dim_{\mathbb{C}}\ker L<+\infty$, it follows that actually $V=\mathrm{im}\,L$. □

Remark 1.9. Obviously, Theorem 1.6, as well as its corollaries, holds, with straightforward modifications, also for the cohomologies associated to the operators $\Delta_{\langle\cdot\,|\,\cdot\cdot\rangle}:=[\mathrm{d},\,\mathrm{d}^*]$, and $\Box_{\langle\cdot\,|\,\cdot\cdot\rangle}:=[\partial,\,\partial^*]$, and $\overline{\Box}_{\langle\cdot\,|\,\cdot\cdot\rangle}:=\left[\overline{\partial},\,\overline{\partial}^*\right]$, and $\tilde{\Delta}_A:=\partial\partial^*+\overline{\partial}\,\overline{\partial}^*+\left(\partial\overline{\partial}\right)^*\left(\partial\overline{\partial}\right)+\left(\partial\overline{\partial}\right)\left(\partial\overline{\partial}\right)^*+\left(\overline{\partial}\partial^*\right)^*\left(\overline{\partial}\partial^*\right)+\left(\overline{\partial}\partial^*\right)\left(\overline{\partial}\partial^*\right)^*$.

2. APPLICATIONS

In particular, we are now interested in applying the general results of the previous section to suitable sub-complexes of the double complex $\left(\wedge^{\bullet,\bullet}X,\,\partial,\,\overline{\partial}\right)$, where X is a compact complex manifold. We are especially interested in the case when X is a nilmanifold or, more in general, a solvmanifold.

2.1. Complexes of PD-type.

Let $\left(A^{\bullet,\bullet},\,\partial,\,\overline{\partial}\right)$ be a double complex of $\mathbb{C}$-vector spaces. Suppose that $A^{\bullet,\bullet}$ have a structure $\wedge$ of $\mathbb{C}$-algebra being compatible with the $\mathbb{Z}^2$-grading (namely, $A^{p,q}\wedge A^{p',q'}\subseteq A^{p+p',q+q'}$ for every $(p,q),(p',q')\in\mathbb{Z}^2$), and with respect to which $\mathrm{d}:=\partial+\overline{\partial}$ satisfies the Leibniz rule, namely,

$$\text{for every } a\in\mathrm{Tot}^{\hat{a}}\,A^{\bullet,\bullet}\;, \qquad [\mathrm{d},\,a\wedge\cdot\,] \;=\; \mathrm{d}\,a\wedge\cdot\;\in\;\mathrm{End}^{\hat{a}+1}\left(\mathrm{Tot}^{\bullet}\,A^{\bullet,\bullet}\right)\;.$$

Following the notation introduced in [55, §2] by the second author, $\left(A^{\bullet,\bullet},\,\partial,\,\overline{\partial}\right)$ is said to be a *bi-differential $\mathbb{Z}^2$-graded algebra of* PD*-type* if

(i) whenever $p<0$ or $q<0$, then $A^{p,q}=\{0\}$, and $A^{0,0}=\mathbb{C}\,\langle 1\rangle$;

(ii) there exists $n\in\mathbb{N}$ such that, whenever $p>n$ or $q>n$, then $A^{p,q}=\{0\}$, and $A^{n,n}=\mathbb{C}\,\langle v\rangle$; (call n the PD*-dimension of* $A^{\bullet,\bullet}$;)

(iii) for every $(h,k)\in\{0,\ldots,n\}^2$, the bi-$\mathbb{C}$-linear map $A^{h,k}\times A^{n-h,n-k}\to A^{n,n}$ induced by $\wedge$ is non-degenerate;

(iv) $\mathrm{d}\,\mathrm{Tot}^0\,A^{\bullet,\bullet}=\{0\}$ and $\mathrm{d}\,\mathrm{Tot}^{2n-1}\,A^{\bullet,\bullet}=\{0\}$.

Given a bi-differential $\mathbb{Z}^2$-graded algebra $\left(A^{\bullet,\bullet},\,\partial,\,\overline{\partial}\right)$ of PD-type, let $\langle\cdot\,|\,\cdot\cdot\rangle$ be an inner product on $A^{\bullet,\bullet}$ being compatible with the $\mathbb{Z}^2$-grading, namely, $\left\langle A^{p,q}\,\middle|\,A^{p',q'}\right\rangle=\{0\}$ whenever $(p,q)\neq(p',q')$, and being compatible with the PD-type structure, namely, $\langle v\,|\,v\rangle=1$. Define the $\mathbb{C}$-anti-linear map

$$\bar{*}_{\langle\cdot\,|\,\cdot\cdot\rangle}\colon A^{\bullet_1,\bullet_2}\to A^{n-\bullet_1,n-\bullet_2} \qquad \text{such that} \qquad \text{for every } \alpha,\beta\in A^{\bullet,\bullet}\;, \quad \alpha\wedge\bar{*}_{\langle\cdot\,|\,\cdot\cdot\rangle}\beta \;=\; \langle\alpha\,|\,\beta\rangle\cdot v$$

(as above, we will understand the scalar product $\langle\cdot\,|\,\cdot\cdot\rangle$ whenever it is clear from the context).

(By considering the Hilbert space given by the $\langle \cdot | \cdot\cdot \rangle$-completion of $A^{\bullet,\bullet}$,) one has that the operators

$$\partial^{*} \;:=\; -\bar{*}_{\langle \cdot | \cdot\cdot \rangle}\, \partial\, \bar{*}_{\langle \cdot | \cdot\cdot \rangle} \colon A^{\bullet,\bullet} \to A^{\bullet-1,\bullet} \qquad \text{and} \qquad \overline{\partial}^{*} \;:=\; -\bar{*}_{\langle \cdot | \cdot\cdot \rangle}\, \overline{\partial}\, \bar{*}_{\langle \cdot | \cdot\cdot \rangle} \colon A^{\bullet,\bullet} \to A^{\bullet,\bullet-1}$$

are actually the $\langle \cdot | \cdot\cdot \rangle$-adjoint operators $\partial^{*}_{\langle \cdot | \cdot\cdot \rangle}$, respectively $\overline{\partial}^{*}_{\langle \cdot | \cdot\cdot \rangle}$, of $\partial\colon A^{\bullet,\bullet} \to A^{\bullet+1,\bullet}$, respectively $\overline{\partial}\colon A^{\bullet,\bullet} \to A^{\bullet,\bullet+1}$, and the operator

$$\mathrm{d}^{*} \;:=\; -\bar{*}_{\langle \cdot | \cdot\cdot \rangle}\, \mathrm{d}\, \bar{*}_{\langle \cdot | \cdot\cdot \rangle} \;=\; \partial^{*} + \overline{\partial}^{*} \colon \operatorname{Tot}^{\bullet} A^{\bullet,\bullet} \to \operatorname{Tot}^{\bullet-1} A^{\bullet,\bullet}$$

is actually the $\langle \cdot | \cdot\cdot \rangle$-adjoint operator $\mathrm{d}^{*}_{\langle \cdot | \cdot\cdot \rangle}$ of $\mathrm{d} := \partial + \overline{\partial}\colon \operatorname{Tot}^{\bullet} A^{\bullet,\bullet} \to \operatorname{Tot}^{\bullet+1} A^{\bullet,\bullet}$, [55, Lemma 2.4].

The following result is an application of Corollary 1.8 to the case of bi-differential $\mathbb{Z}^2$-graded algebras of PD-type.

Proposition 2.1. *Let $\left(A^{\bullet,\bullet},\, \partial,\, \overline{\partial}\right)$ be a bi-differential $\mathbb{Z}^2$-graded algebra of* PD*-type of* PD*-dimension n. Let $\langle \cdot | \cdot\cdot \rangle$ be an inner product on $A^{\bullet,\bullet}$ being compatible with the $\mathbb{Z}^2$-grading and with the* PD*-type structure. (Consider the Hilbert space given by the $\langle \cdot | \cdot\cdot \rangle$-completion of $A^{\bullet,\bullet}$, and) suppose that the operator $\tilde{\Delta}^{BC}_{\langle \cdot | \cdot\cdot \rangle} \in \operatorname{End}^{0,0}\left(A^{\bullet,\bullet}\right)$ induces the decomposition*

$$A^{\bullet,\bullet} \;=\; \ker \tilde{\Delta}^{BC}_{\langle \cdot | \cdot\cdot \rangle} \oplus \operatorname{im} \tilde{\Delta}^{BC}_{\langle \cdot | \cdot\cdot \rangle} \;.$$

Let $\left(C^{\bullet,\bullet},\, \partial,\, \overline{\partial}\right) \hookrightarrow \left(A^{\bullet,\bullet},\, \partial,\, \overline{\partial}\right)$ be a finite-dimensional sub-complex of $\left(A^{\bullet,\bullet},\, \partial,\, \overline{\partial}\right)$ having a structure of bi-differential $\mathbb{Z}^2$-graded algebra of PD*-type of* PD*-dimension n induced by $A^{\bullet,\bullet}$. Suppose that*

$$\bar{*}_{\langle \cdot | \cdot\cdot \rangle}\lfloor_{C^{\bullet,\bullet}} \colon C^{\bullet,\bullet} \to C^{n-\bullet,n-\bullet} \;.$$

Then, for any $(p,q) \in \mathbb{Z}^2$, the induced inclusions

$$\left(\operatorname{Tot}^{\bullet}\left(C^{\bullet,\bullet}\right),\, \partial + \overline{\partial}\right) \hookrightarrow \left(\operatorname{Tot}^{\bullet} A^{\bullet,\bullet},\, \partial + \overline{\partial}\right) \;, \qquad \left(C^{\bullet,q},\, \partial\right) \hookrightarrow \left(A^{\bullet,q},\, \partial\right) \;, \qquad \left(C^{p,\bullet},\, \overline{\partial}\right) \hookrightarrow \left(A^{p,\bullet},\, \overline{\partial}\right) \;,$$

and

$$\left(C^{p-1,q-1} \stackrel{\partial\overline{\partial}}{\to} C^{p,q} \stackrel{\partial+\overline{\partial}}{\to} C^{p+1,q} \oplus C^{p,q+1}\right) \hookrightarrow \left(A^{p-1,q-1} \stackrel{\partial\overline{\partial}}{\to} A^{p,q} \stackrel{\partial+\overline{\partial}}{\to} A^{p+1,q} \oplus A^{p,q+1}\right)$$

and

$$\left(C^{p-1,q} \oplus C^{p,q-1} \stackrel{(\partial,\overline{\partial})}{\to} C^{p,q} \stackrel{\partial\overline{\partial}}{\to} C^{p+1,q+1}\right) \hookrightarrow \left(A^{p-1,q} \oplus A^{p,q-1} \stackrel{(\partial,\overline{\partial})}{\to} A^{p,q} \stackrel{\partial\overline{\partial}}{\to} A^{p+1,q+1}\right)$$

induce injective maps in cohomology.

Proof. By the hypothesis that $\bar{*}_{\langle \cdot | \cdot\cdot \rangle}\lfloor_{C^{\bullet,\bullet}} \colon C^{\bullet,\bullet} \to C^{n-\bullet,n-\bullet}$, one gets that

$$\bar{*}_{\langle \cdot | \cdot\cdot \rangle}\lfloor_{C^{\bullet,\bullet}} \;=\; \bar{*}_{\langle \cdot | \cdot\cdot \rangle_{C^{\bullet,\bullet}}}$$

(indeed, let $\alpha \in C^{\bullet,\bullet}$; then, for any $\beta \in C^{\bullet,\bullet}$, it holds that $\left(\bar{*}_{\langle \cdot | \cdot\cdot \rangle_{C^{\bullet,\bullet}}}\alpha - \bar{*}_{\langle \cdot | \cdot\cdot \rangle}\alpha\right) \wedge \beta = 0$; by taking $\beta = \bar{*}_{\langle \cdot | \cdot\cdot \rangle}\left(\bar{*}_{\langle \cdot | \cdot\cdot \rangle_{C^{\bullet,\bullet}}}\alpha - \bar{*}_{\langle \cdot | \cdot\cdot \rangle}\alpha\right) \in C^{\bullet,\bullet}$, one gets hence that actually $\bar{*}_{\langle \cdot | \cdot\cdot \rangle_{C^{\bullet,\bullet}}}\alpha = \bar{*}_{\langle \cdot | \cdot\cdot \rangle}\alpha$). In particular, it follows that

$$\partial^{*}_{\langle \cdot | \cdot\cdot \rangle}\lfloor_{C^{\bullet,\bullet}} \;=\; \left(-\bar{*}_{\langle \cdot | \cdot\cdot \rangle}\, \partial\, \bar{*}_{\langle \cdot | \cdot\cdot \rangle}\right)\lfloor_{C^{\bullet,\bullet}} \;=\; -\bar{*}_{\langle \cdot | \cdot\cdot \rangle_{C^{\bullet,\bullet}}}\, \partial\lfloor_{C^{\bullet,\bullet}}\, \bar{*}_{\langle \cdot | \cdot\cdot \rangle_{C^{\bullet,\bullet}}} \;=\; \left(\partial\lfloor_{C^{\bullet,\bullet}}\right)^{*}_{\langle \cdot | \cdot\cdot \rangle_{C^{\bullet,\bullet}}} \colon C^{\bullet,\bullet} \to C^{\bullet-1,\bullet}$$

and

$$\overline{\partial}^{*}_{\langle \cdot | \cdot\cdot \rangle}\lfloor_{C^{\bullet,\bullet}} \;=\; \left(-\bar{*}_{\langle \cdot | \cdot\cdot \rangle}\, \overline{\partial}\, \bar{*}_{\langle \cdot | \cdot\cdot \rangle}\right)\lfloor_{C^{\bullet,\bullet}} \;=\; -\bar{*}_{\langle \cdot | \cdot\cdot \rangle_{C^{\bullet,\bullet}}}\, \overline{\partial}\lfloor_{C^{\bullet,\bullet}}\, \bar{*}_{\langle \cdot | \cdot\cdot \rangle_{C^{\bullet,\bullet}}} \;=\; \left(\overline{\partial}\lfloor_{C^{\bullet,\bullet}}\right)^{*}_{\langle \cdot | \cdot\cdot \rangle_{C^{\bullet,\bullet}}} \colon C^{\bullet,\bullet} \to C^{\bullet,\bullet-1} \;.$$

Hence Corollary 1.8, see also Remark 1.9, applies. □

2.2. **Compact complex manifolds.** Let X be a compact complex manifold of complex dimension n endowed with a Hermitian metric g. (Note that all manifolds are assumed to have no boundary.)

By considering the ($\mathbb{C}$-anti-linear) Hodge-$*$-operator

$$\bar{*}_g\colon\; \wedge^{\bullet_1,\bullet_2} X \to \wedge^{n-\bullet_1,n-\bullet_2} X$$

and the inner product

$$\langle \cdot | \cdot\cdot \rangle \;:=\; \int_X \cdot \wedge \bar{*}_g(\cdot\cdot) \;,$$

one gets that the double complex $\left(\wedge^{\bullet,\bullet}X,\, \partial,\, \overline{\partial}\right)$ has a structure of bi-differential $\mathbb{Z}^2$-graded algebra of PD-type of PD-dimension n, such that $\langle \cdot | \cdot\cdot \rangle$ is compatible with the $\mathbb{Z}^2$-grading and with the PD-type structure of $\wedge^{\bullet,\bullet}X$.

The 2nd order self-$\langle \cdot | \cdot\cdot \rangle$-adjoint elliptic differential operators

$$\Delta_g \;:=\; [\mathrm{d},\, \mathrm{d}^{*}] \;\in\; \operatorname{End}^{0}\left(\wedge^{\bullet}X \otimes \mathbb{C}\right) \;,$$

and

$$\square_g \;:=\; \left[\partial,\, \partial^*\right] \;\in\; \mathrm{End}^{0,0}\left(\wedge^{\bullet,\bullet}X\right) \;, \qquad \overline{\square}_g \;:=\; \left[\overline{\partial},\, \overline{\partial}^*\right] \;\in\; \mathrm{End}^{0,0}\left(\wedge^{\bullet,\bullet}X\right) \;,$$

and the 4th order self-$\langle\cdot\,|\,\cdot\cdot\rangle$-adjoint elliptic differential operators, [57, Proposition 5], [77, §2.b, §2.c],

$$\tilde{\Delta}_g^{BC} \;:=\; \left(\partial\overline{\partial}\right)\left(\partial\overline{\partial}\right)^* + \left(\partial\overline{\partial}\right)^*\left(\partial\overline{\partial}\right) + \left(\overline{\partial}^*\partial\right)\left(\overline{\partial}^*\partial\right)^* + \left(\overline{\partial}^*\partial\right)^*\left(\overline{\partial}^*\partial\right) + \overline{\partial}^*\overline{\partial} + \partial^*\partial \;\in\; \mathrm{End}^{0,0}\left(\wedge^{\bullet,\bullet}X\right)$$

and

$$\tilde{\Delta}_g^{A} \;:=\; \partial\partial^* + \overline{\partial}\overline{\partial}^* + \left(\partial\overline{\partial}\right)^*\left(\partial\overline{\partial}\right) + \left(\partial\overline{\partial}\right)\left(\partial\overline{\partial}\right)^* + \left(\overline{\partial}\partial^*\right)^*\left(\overline{\partial}\partial^*\right) + \left(\overline{\partial}\partial^*\right)\left(\overline{\partial}\partial^*\right)^* \;\in\; \mathrm{End}^{0,0}\left(\wedge^{\bullet,\bullet}X\right) \;,$$

(from now on, the metric g will be understood whenever it is clear from the context,) induce the $\langle\cdot\,|\,\cdot\cdot\rangle$-orthogonal decompositions, [56, page 450],

$$\wedge^\bullet X \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C} \;=\; \ker\Delta \oplus \mathrm{im}\,\Delta \;=\; \ker\Delta \oplus \mathrm{im}\,\mathrm{d} \oplus \mathrm{im}\,\mathrm{d}^*$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \wedge^{\bullet,\bullet}X \;&=\; \ker\square \oplus \mathrm{im}\,\square \;=\; \ker\square \oplus \mathrm{im}\,\partial \oplus \mathrm{im}\,\partial^* \\ &=\; \ker\overline{\square} \oplus \mathrm{im}\,\overline{\square} \;=\; \ker\overline{\square} \oplus \mathrm{im}\,\overline{\partial} \oplus \mathrm{im}\,\overline{\partial}^* \;, \end{aligned}$$

and, [77, Théorème 2.2, §2.c],

$$\begin{aligned} \wedge^{\bullet,\bullet}X \;&=\; \ker\tilde{\Delta}^{BC} \oplus \mathrm{im}\,\tilde{\Delta}^{BC} \;=\; \ker\tilde{\Delta}^{BC} \oplus \mathrm{im}\,\partial\overline{\partial} \oplus \left(\mathrm{im}\,\partial^* + \mathrm{im}\,\overline{\partial}^*\right) \\ &=\; \ker\tilde{\Delta}^{A} \oplus \mathrm{im}\,\tilde{\Delta}^{A} \;=\; \ker\tilde{\Delta}^{A} \oplus \left(\mathrm{im}\,\partial + \mathrm{im}\,\overline{\partial}\right) \oplus \mathrm{im}\left(\partial\overline{\partial}\right)^* \;. \end{aligned}$$

In particular, by arguing as in Lemma 1.5, it follows that

$$H^\bullet_{dR}(X;\mathbb{C}) \;:=\; \frac{\ker\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{im}\,\mathrm{d}} \;\simeq\; \ker\Delta \;, \qquad H^{\bullet,\bullet}_{\partial}(X) \;:=\; \frac{\ker\partial}{\mathrm{im}\,\partial} \;\simeq\; \ker\square \;, \qquad H^{\bullet,\bullet}_{\overline{\partial}}(X) \;:=\; \frac{\ker\overline{\partial}}{\mathrm{im}\,\overline{\partial}} \;\simeq\; \ker\overline{\square} \;,$$

and, [77, Corollaire 2.3, §2.c],

$$H^{\bullet,\bullet}_{BC}(X) \;:=\; \frac{\ker\partial\cap\ker\overline{\partial}}{\mathrm{im}\,\partial\overline{\partial}} \;\simeq\; \ker\tilde{\Delta}^{BC} \;, \qquad H^{\bullet,\bullet}_{A}(X) \;:=\; \frac{\ker\partial\overline{\partial}}{\mathrm{im}\,\partial + \mathrm{im}\,\overline{\partial}} \;\simeq\; \ker\tilde{\Delta}^{A} \;.$$

Note that $\bar{*}_g \circ \tilde{\Delta}^{BC} = \tilde{\Delta}^{A} \circ \bar{*}_g$, and hence the Hodge-$*$-operator induces the isomorphism

$$H^{\bullet,\bullet}_{BC}(X) \overset{\simeq}{\to} H^{n-\bullet,n-\bullet}_{A}(X) \;.$$

In particular, by Proposition 2.1, one gets straightforwardly the following result, which provides a condition under which the Bott-Chern cohomology of a finite-dimensional sub-complex of $\wedge^{\bullet,\bullet}X$ is a subgroup of $H^{\bullet,\bullet}_{BC}(X)$. Such a result will be applied in the next section with the aim to study the Bott-Chern cohomology of a certain class of solvmanifolds.

Proposition 2.2. *Let X be a compact complex manifold of complex dimension n endowed with a Hermitian metric g. Let $\left(C^{\bullet,\bullet},\, \partial,\, \overline{\partial}\right) \hookrightarrow \left(\wedge^{\bullet,\bullet}X,\, \partial,\, \overline{\partial}\right)$ be a finite-dimensional sub-complex of $\left(\wedge^{\bullet,\bullet}X,\, \partial,\, \overline{\partial}\right)$ having a structure of bi-differential $\mathbb{Z}^2$-graded algebra of* PD*-type of* PD*-dimension n induced by $\wedge^{\bullet,\bullet}X$. Suppose that*

$$\bar{*}_g\lfloor_{C^{\bullet,\bullet}} \colon C^{\bullet,\bullet} \to C^{n-\bullet,n-\bullet} \;.$$

Then, for any $(p,q)\in\mathbb{N}^2$, the induced inclusions

$$\left(\mathrm{Tot}^\bullet\left(C^{\bullet,\bullet}\right),\, \partial+\overline{\partial}\right) \hookrightarrow \left(\wedge^\bullet X\otimes_{\mathbb{R}}\mathbb{C},\, \mathrm{d}\right) \;, \qquad \left(C^{\bullet,q},\, \partial\right) \hookrightarrow \left(\wedge^{\bullet,q}X,\, \partial\right) \;, \qquad \left(C^{p,\bullet},\, \overline{\partial}\right) \hookrightarrow \left(\wedge^{p,\bullet}X,\, \overline{\partial}\right) \;,$$

and

$$\left(C^{p-1,q-1} \overset{\partial\overline{\partial}}{\to} C^{p,q} \overset{\partial+\overline{\partial}}{\to} C^{p+1,q} \oplus C^{p,q+1}\right) \hookrightarrow \left(\wedge^{p-1,q-1}X \overset{\partial\overline{\partial}}{\to} \wedge^{p,q}X \overset{\partial+\overline{\partial}}{\to} \wedge^{p+1,q}X \oplus \wedge^{p,q+1}X\right)$$

and

$$\left(C^{p-1,q} \oplus C^{p,q-1} \overset{\left(\partial,\,\overline{\partial}\right)}{\to} C^{p,q} \overset{\partial\overline{\partial}}{\to} C^{p+1,q+1}\right) \hookrightarrow \left(\wedge^{p-1,q}X \oplus \wedge^{p,q-1}X \overset{\left(\partial,\,\overline{\partial}\right)}{\to} \wedge^{p,q}X \overset{\partial\overline{\partial}}{\to} \wedge^{p+1,q+1}X\right)$$

induce injective maps in cohomology.

Proof. The proof follows straightforwardly by [77, Théorème 2.2, §2.c] and [56, page 450], and by Proposition 2.1. $\square$

Remark 2.3. By applying Corollary 1.7 to the $\langle\cdot\,|\,\cdot\cdot\rangle$-completion of $\wedge^{\bullet,\bullet}X$, the same conclusion of Proposition 2.2 holds true for a (possibly non-finite-dimensional) closed sub-complex $\left(C^{\bullet,\bullet},\,\partial,\,\overline{\partial}\right) \hookrightarrow \left(\wedge^{\bullet,\bullet}X,\,\partial,\,\overline{\partial}\right)$ such that $\pi^{C^{\bullet,\bullet}}\circ\partial = \partial\circ\pi^{C^{\bullet,\bullet}}\colon\ \wedge^{\bullet,\bullet}X \to C^{\bullet,\bullet}$ and $\pi^{C^{\bullet,\bullet}}\circ\overline{\partial} = \overline{\partial}\circ\pi^{C^{\bullet,\bullet}}\colon\ \wedge^{\bullet,\bullet}X \to C^{\bullet,\bullet}$.

In order to study cohomologies of solvmanifolds, we need also the following result.

To simplify the notation, we say that a sub-complex $\left(C^{\bullet,\bullet},\,\partial,\,\overline{\partial}\right) \hookrightarrow \left(\wedge^{\bullet,\bullet}X,\,\partial,\,\overline{\partial}\right)$ *suffices in computing the de Rham, respectively conjugate Dolbeault, respectively Dolbeault, respectively Bott-Chern, respectively Aeppli cohomology of* X if the induced inclusion

$$\left(\mathrm{Tot}^\bullet\, C^{\bullet,\bullet},\,\partial+\overline{\partial}\right) \hookrightarrow \left(\wedge^\bullet X\otimes_{\mathbb{R}}\mathbb{C},\,\mathrm{d}\right)\ ,$$

respectively, for any $q\in\mathbb{N}$,

$$\left(C^{\bullet,q},\,\partial\right) \hookrightarrow \left(\wedge^{\bullet,q},\,\partial\right)\ ,$$

respectively, for any $p\in\mathbb{N}$,

$$\left(C^{p,\bullet},\,\overline{\partial}\right) \hookrightarrow \left(\wedge^{p,\bullet},\,\overline{\partial}\right)\ ,$$

respectively, for any $(p,q)\in\mathbb{N}^2$,

$$\left(C^{p-1,q-1}\stackrel{\partial\overline{\partial}}{\to}C^{p,q}\stackrel{\partial+\overline{\partial}}{\to}C^{p+1,q}\oplus C^{p,q+1}\right)\hookrightarrow\left(\wedge^{p-1,q-1}X\stackrel{\partial\overline{\partial}}{\to}\wedge^{p,q}X\stackrel{\partial+\overline{\partial}}{\to}\wedge^{p+1,q}X\oplus\wedge^{p,q+1}X\right)$$

respectively, for any $(p,q)\in\mathbb{N}^2$,

$$\left(C^{p-1,q}\oplus C^{p,q-1}\stackrel{(\partial,\overline{\partial})}{\to}C^{p,q}\stackrel{\partial\overline{\partial}}{\to}C^{p+1,q+1}\right)\hookrightarrow\left(\wedge^{p-1,q}X\oplus\wedge^{p,q-1}X\stackrel{(\partial,\overline{\partial})}{\to}\wedge^{p,q}X\stackrel{\partial\overline{\partial}}{\to}\wedge^{p+1,q+1}X\right)$$

is a quasi-isomorphism.

Proposition 2.4. *Let X be a compact complex manifold of complex dimension n endowed with a Hermitian metric g. Let $\left(C^{\bullet,\bullet},\,\partial,\,\overline{\partial}\right) \hookrightarrow \left(\wedge^{\bullet,\bullet}X,\,\partial,\,\overline{\partial}\right)$ be a finite-dimensional sub-complex of $\left(\wedge^{\bullet,\bullet}X,\,\partial,\,\overline{\partial}\right)$ having a structure of bi-differential $\mathbb{Z}^2$-graded algebra of* PD*-type of* PD*-dimension n induced by $\wedge^{\bullet,\bullet}X$ and such that*

$$\bar{*}_g\lfloor_{C^{\bullet,\bullet}}\colon\ C^{\bullet,\bullet}\to C^{n-\bullet,n-\bullet}\ .$$

Let $\left(B^{\bullet,\bullet},\,\partial,\,\overline{\partial}\right) \hookrightarrow \left(C^{\bullet,\bullet},\,\partial,\,\overline{\partial}\right)$ be a sub-complex of $\left(C^{\bullet,\bullet},\,\partial,\,\overline{\partial}\right)$ having a structure of bi-differential $\mathbb{Z}^2$-graded algebra of PD*-type of* PD*-dimension n induced by $C^{\bullet,\bullet}$ and such that*

$$\bar{*}_g\lfloor_{B^{\bullet,\bullet}}\colon\ B^{\bullet,\bullet}\to B^{n-\bullet,n-\bullet}\ .$$

If $\left(B^{\bullet,\bullet},\,\partial,\,\overline{\partial}\right)$ suffices in computing the cohomologies of X, then also $\left(C^{\bullet,\bullet},\,\partial,\,\overline{\partial}\right)$ suffices in computing the corresponding cohomologies of X.

Proof. By Proposition 2.1 and Proposition 2.2, both the inclusions $B^{\bullet,\bullet}\hookrightarrow C^{\bullet,\bullet}$ and $C^{\bullet,\bullet}\hookrightarrow\wedge^{\bullet,\bullet}X$ induce injective maps in cohomology, whose composition is an isomorphism by the hypothesis. □

2.3. **Complex nilmanifolds.** Let $X=\Gamma\backslash G$ be a *solvmanifold* (respectively, a *nilmanifold*), namely, a compact quotient of a connected simply-connected solvable (respectively, nilpotent) Lie group G by a co-compact discrete subgroup Γ, endowed with a G-left-invariant (almost-)complex structure J. We recall that a solvmanifold is called *completely-solvable* if, for any $g\in G$, all the eigenvalues of $\mathrm{Ad}_g := \mathrm{d}\left(\psi_g\right)_e\in\mathrm{Aut}(\mathfrak{g})$ are real, equivalently, for any $X\in\mathfrak{g}$, all the eigenvalues of $\mathrm{ad}_X := [X,\cdot]\in\mathrm{End}(\mathfrak{g})$ are real, where $\psi\colon G\ni g\mapsto\left(\psi_g\colon h\mapsto g\,h\,g^{-1}\right)\in\mathrm{Aut}(G)$ and e is the identity element of G.

Recall that, by J. Milnor's Lemma [66, Lemma 6.2], G is unimodular (that is, $\det(\mathrm{Ad}_g)=1$ for any $g\in G$), and hence, in particular, there exists a G-bi-invariant volume form η on X such that $\int_X\eta=1$. Therefore, consider the *F. A. Belgun symmetrization map* in [15, Theorem 7], namely,

$$\mu\colon\ \wedge^\bullet X\otimes_{\mathbb{R}}\mathbb{C}\to\wedge^\bullet\left(\mathfrak{g}\otimes_{\mathbb{R}}\mathbb{C}\right)^*\ ,\qquad \mu(\alpha)\ :=\ \int_X\alpha\lfloor_x\,\eta(x)\ .$$

Note, [15, Theorem 7], that μ commutes with d and with J, and hence also with ∂ and $\overline{\partial}$, and that $\mu\lfloor_{\wedge^\bullet(\mathfrak{g}\otimes_{\mathbb{R}}\mathbb{C})^*}=\mathrm{id}_{\wedge^\bullet(\mathfrak{g}\otimes_{\mathbb{R}}\mathbb{C})^*}$.

Lemma 2.5. *Let $\Gamma\backslash G$ be a solvmanifold, and consider the the F. A. Belgun symmetrization map $\mu\colon\wedge^\bullet X\otimes_{\mathbb{R}}\mathbb{C}\to\wedge^\bullet\left(\mathfrak{g}\otimes_{\mathbb{R}}\mathbb{C}\right)^*$ in* [15, Theorem 7]*. For a G-left-invariant differential form θ on $\Gamma\backslash G$ and for a differential form ω on $\Gamma\backslash G$, we have*

$$\mu(\theta\wedge\omega)=\theta\wedge\mu(\omega).$$

Proof. Suppose that θ is a G-left-invariant 1-form on $\Gamma\backslash G$. Let ω be a p-form on $\Gamma\backslash G$. Then for $X_1, \ldots, X_{p+1} \in \mathfrak{g}$, since $\theta(X_j)$ is constant for every $j \in \{1, \ldots, p+1\}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\mu(\theta\wedge\omega)(X_1,\ldots,X_{p+1}) &= \int_{\Gamma\backslash G} \sum_{\sigma\in\mathfrak{S}_{p+1}} \theta_x\left(X_{\sigma(1)}\right)\cdot\omega\left(X_{\sigma(2)},\ldots,X_{\sigma(p+1)}\right)\,\eta(x) \\
&= \sum_{\sigma\in\mathfrak{S}_{p+1}} \theta\left(X_{\sigma(1)}\right)\cdot\int_{\Gamma\backslash G} \omega_x\left(X_{\sigma(2)},\ldots,X_{\sigma(p+1)}\right)\,\eta(x) \\
&= \left(\theta\wedge\mu(\omega)\right)\left(X_1,\ldots,X_{p+1}\right)\;,
\end{aligned}$$

where $\mathfrak{S}_{p+1}$ is the set of permutations of $p+1$ elements. Hence in this case, the lemma holds. Inductively we can easily check that the lemma holds in general case. □

Lemma 2.6 (see [11, Proposition 5.4])**.** *Let $X = \Gamma\backslash G$ be a completely-solvable solvmanifold endowed with a G-left-invariant complex structure J. Consider the sub-complex*

$$j\colon\; \left(\wedge^\bullet\left(\mathfrak{g}\otimes_{\mathbb{R}}\mathbb{C}\right)^*,\, \mathrm{d}\right) \hookrightarrow \left(\wedge^\bullet X\otimes_{\mathbb{R}}\mathbb{C},\, \mathrm{d}\right)\;,$$

which is a quasi-isomorphism by A. Hattori's theorem [48, Corollary 4.2]. *The induced map*

$$\begin{aligned}
j\colon & \frac{\ker\left(\mathrm{d}\colon\; \wedge^{p+q}\left(\mathfrak{g}\otimes_{\mathbb{R}}\mathbb{C}\right)^* \to \wedge^{p+q+1}\left(\mathfrak{g}\otimes_{\mathbb{R}}\mathbb{C}\right)^*\right)\cap\wedge^{p,q}\mathfrak{g}^*}{\mathrm{im}\left(\mathrm{d}\colon\; \wedge^{p+q-1}\left(\mathfrak{g}\otimes_{\mathbb{R}}\mathbb{C}\right)^* \to \wedge^{p+q}\left(\mathfrak{g}\otimes_{\mathbb{R}}\mathbb{C}\right)^*\right)} \\
&\to\quad \frac{\ker\left(\mathrm{d}\colon\; \wedge^{p+q}X\otimes_{\mathbb{R}}\mathbb{C} \to \wedge^{p+q+1}X\otimes_{\mathbb{R}}\mathbb{C}\right)\cap\wedge^{p,q}X}{\mathrm{im}\left(\mathrm{d}\colon\; \wedge^{p+q-1}X\otimes_{\mathbb{R}}\mathbb{C} \to \wedge^{p+q}X\otimes_{\mathbb{R}}\mathbb{C}\right)}
\end{aligned}$$

is surjective, in fact an isomorphism.

Proof. For the sake of completeness, we recall here the argument of the proof (note that the statement holds, more in general, in the almost-complex setting).

The F. A. Belgun symmetrization map $\mu\colon\; \wedge^\bullet X\otimes_{\mathbb{R}}\mathbb{C} \to \wedge^\bullet\left(\mathfrak{g}\otimes_{\mathbb{R}}\mathbb{C}\right)^*$ induces the map

$$\begin{aligned}
\mu\colon & \frac{\ker\left(\mathrm{d}\colon\; \wedge^{p+q}X\otimes_{\mathbb{R}}\mathbb{C} \to \wedge^{p+q+1}X\otimes_{\mathbb{R}}\mathbb{C}\right)\cap\wedge^{p,q}X}{\mathrm{im}\left(\mathrm{d}\colon\; \wedge^{p+q-1}X\otimes_{\mathbb{R}}\mathbb{C} \to \wedge^{p+q}X\otimes_{\mathbb{R}}\mathbb{C}\right)} \\
&\to\quad \frac{\ker\left(\mathrm{d}\colon\; \wedge^{p+q}\left(\mathfrak{g}\otimes_{\mathbb{R}}\mathbb{C}\right)^* \to \wedge^{p+q+1}\left(\mathfrak{g}\otimes_{\mathbb{R}}\mathbb{C}\right)^*\right)\cap\wedge^{p,q}\mathfrak{g}^*}{\mathrm{im}\left(\mathrm{d}\colon\; \wedge^{p+q-1}\left(\mathfrak{g}\otimes_{\mathbb{R}}\mathbb{C}\right)^* \to \wedge^{p+q}\left(\mathfrak{g}\right)^*\otimes_{\mathbb{R}}\mathbb{C}\right)}\;.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence, one gets the commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\frac{\ker\left(\mathrm{d}\colon \wedge^{p+q}(\mathfrak{g}\otimes_{\mathbb{R}}\mathbb{C})^*\to\wedge^{p+q+1}(\mathfrak{g}\otimes_{\mathbb{R}}\mathbb{C})^*\right)\cap\wedge^{p,q}(\mathfrak{g}\otimes_{\mathbb{R}}\mathbb{C})^*}{\mathrm{im}(\mathrm{d}\colon \wedge^{p+q-1}(\mathfrak{g}\otimes_{\mathbb{R}}\mathbb{C})^*\to\wedge^{p+q}(\mathfrak{g}\otimes_{\mathbb{R}}\mathbb{C})^*)} \arrow[d, "j"] \arrow[dd, bend right=60, "\mathrm{id}"'] \\
\frac{\ker\left(\mathrm{d}\colon \wedge^{p+q}X\otimes_{\mathbb{R}}\mathbb{C}\to\wedge^{p+q+1}X\otimes_{\mathbb{R}}\mathbb{C}\right)\cap\wedge^{p,q}X}{\mathrm{im}(\mathrm{d}\colon \wedge^{p+q-1}X\otimes_{\mathbb{R}}\mathbb{C}\to\wedge^{p+q}X\otimes_{\mathbb{R}}\mathbb{C})} \arrow[d, "\mu"] \\
\frac{\ker\left(\mathrm{d}\colon \wedge^{p+q}(\mathfrak{g}\otimes_{\mathbb{R}}\mathbb{C})^*\to\wedge^{p+q+1}(\mathfrak{g}\otimes_{\mathbb{R}}\mathbb{C})^*\right)\cap\wedge^{p,q}(\mathfrak{g}\otimes_{\mathbb{R}}\mathbb{C})^*}{\mathrm{im}(\mathrm{d}\colon \wedge^{p+q-1}(\mathfrak{g}\otimes_{\mathbb{R}}\mathbb{C})^*\to\wedge^{p+q}(\mathfrak{g}\otimes_{\mathbb{R}}\mathbb{C})^*)}
\end{tikzcd}\;,$$

from which one gets that

$$\begin{aligned}
j\colon & \frac{\ker\left(\mathrm{d}\colon\; \wedge^{p+q}\left(\mathfrak{g}\otimes_{\mathbb{R}}\mathbb{C}\right)^* \to \wedge^{p+q+1}\left(\mathfrak{g}\otimes_{\mathbb{R}}\mathbb{C}\right)^*\right)\cap\wedge^{p,q}\left(\mathfrak{g}\otimes_{\mathbb{R}}\mathbb{C}\right)^*}{\mathrm{im}\left(\mathrm{d}\colon\; \wedge^{p+q-1}\left(\mathfrak{g}\otimes_{\mathbb{R}}\mathbb{C}\right)^* \to \wedge^{p+q}\left(\mathfrak{g}\otimes_{\mathbb{R}}\mathbb{C}\right)^*\right)} \\
&\to\quad \frac{\ker\left(\mathrm{d}\colon\; \wedge^{p+q}X\otimes_{\mathbb{R}}\mathbb{C} \to \wedge^{p+q+1}X\otimes_{\mathbb{R}}\mathbb{C}\right)\cap\wedge^{p,q}X}{\mathrm{im}\left(\mathrm{d}\colon\; \wedge^{p+q-1}X\otimes_{\mathbb{R}}\mathbb{C} \to \wedge^{p+q}X\otimes_{\mathbb{R}}\mathbb{C}\right)}
\end{aligned}$$

is injective, and that

$$\mu\colon \frac{\ker\left(\mathrm{d}\colon \wedge^{p+q} X \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C} \to \wedge^{p+q+1} X \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}\right) \cap \wedge^{p,q} X}{\mathrm{im}\,(\mathrm{d}\colon \wedge^{p+q-1} X \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C} \to \wedge^{p+q} X \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C})}$$

$$\to \quad \frac{\ker\left(\mathrm{d}\colon \wedge^{p+q}\left(\mathfrak{g}\otimes_{\mathbb{R}}\mathbb{C}\right)^* \to \wedge^{p+q+1}\left(\mathfrak{g}\otimes_{\mathbb{R}}\mathbb{C}\right)^*\right) \cap \wedge^{p,q}\left(\mathfrak{g}\otimes_{\mathbb{R}}\mathbb{C}\right)^*}{\mathrm{im}\left(\mathrm{d}\colon \wedge^{p+q-1}\left(\mathfrak{g}\otimes_{\mathbb{R}}\mathbb{C}\right)^* \to \wedge^{p+q}\left(\mathfrak{g}\otimes_{\mathbb{R}}\mathbb{C}\right)^*\right)}$$

is surjective.

Moreover, since $j\colon \left(\wedge^\bullet\left(\mathfrak{g}\otimes_{\mathbb{R}}\mathbb{C}\right)^*,\, \mathrm{d}\right) \hookrightarrow \left(\wedge^\bullet X \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C},\, \mathrm{d}\right)$ is a quasi-isomorphism by A. Hattori's theorem [48, Theorem 4.2], one gets that $\mu\colon H^\bullet_{dR}(X;\mathbb{C}) \to H^\bullet\left(\wedge^\bullet\left(\mathfrak{g}\otimes_{\mathbb{R}}\mathbb{C}\right)^*,\, \mathrm{d}\right)$ is in fact the identity map, and hence

$$\mu\colon \frac{\ker\left(\mathrm{d}\colon \wedge^{p+q} X \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C} \to \wedge^{p+q+1} X \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}\right) \cap \wedge^{p,q} X}{\mathrm{im}\,(\mathrm{d}\colon \wedge^{p+q-1} X \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C} \to \wedge^{p+q} X \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C})}$$

$$\to \quad \frac{\ker\left(\mathrm{d}\colon \wedge^{p+q}\left(\mathfrak{g}\otimes_{\mathbb{R}}\mathbb{C}\right)^* \to \wedge^{p+q+1}\left(\mathfrak{g}\otimes_{\mathbb{R}}\mathbb{C}\right)^*\right) \cap \wedge^{p,q}\left(\mathfrak{g}\otimes_{\mathbb{R}}\mathbb{C}\right)^*}{\mathrm{im}\left(\mathrm{d}\colon \wedge^{p+q-1}\left(\mathfrak{g}\otimes_{\mathbb{R}}\mathbb{C}\right)^* \to \wedge^{p+q}\left(\mathfrak{g}\otimes_{\mathbb{R}}\mathbb{C}\right)^*\right)}$$

is also injective.

Since X is compact, the dimension of $H^\bullet_{dR}(X;\mathbb{C})$ is finite, and hence μ is in fact an isomorphism. ☐

As an application of Theorem 1.3 and Proposition 2.2, one recovers the following results, concerning the Bott-Chern cohomology of nilmanifolds. (We refer to [84, 68, 14, 3, 32, 29, 72, 75] for definitions and notation.)

Corollary 2.7 ([4, Theorem 3.8])**.** *Let $X = \Gamma\backslash G$ be a nilmanifold endowed with a G-left-invariant complex structure J, and denote the Lie algebra naturally associated to G by $\mathfrak{g}$. Suppose that one of the following conditions holds:*

- *X is complex parallelizable;*
- *J is an Abelian complex structure;*
- *J is a nilpotent complex structure;*
- *J is a rational complex structure;*
- *$\mathfrak{g}$ admits a torus-bundle series compatible with J and with the rational structure induced by Γ;*
- *$\dim_{\mathbb{R}} \mathfrak{g} = 6$ and $\mathfrak{g}$ is not isomorphic to $\mathfrak{h}_7 := \left(0^3,\, 12,\, 13,\, 23\right)$.*

Then the inclusion $j\colon \left(\wedge^{\bullet,\bullet}\left(\mathfrak{g}\otimes_{\mathbb{R}}\mathbb{C}\right)^*,\, \partial,\, \overline{\partial}\right) \hookrightarrow \left(\wedge^{\bullet,\bullet}X,\, \partial,\, \overline{\partial}\right)$ induces the isomorphisms

$$H^{\bullet,\bullet}_{BC}(X) \;\simeq\; \frac{\ker\left(\mathrm{d}\colon \wedge^{\bullet,\bullet}\left(\mathfrak{g}\otimes_{\mathbb{R}}\mathbb{C}\right)^* \to \wedge^{\bullet+\bullet+1}\left(\mathfrak{g}\otimes_{\mathbb{R}}\mathbb{C}\right)^*\right)}{\mathrm{im}\left(\partial\overline{\partial}\colon \wedge^{\bullet-1,\bullet-1}\left(\mathfrak{g}\otimes_{\mathbb{R}}\mathbb{C}\right)^* \to \wedge^{\bullet,\bullet}\left(\mathfrak{g}\otimes_{\mathbb{R}}\mathbb{C}\right)^*\right)}$$

and

$$H^{\bullet,\bullet}_{A}(X) \;\simeq\; \frac{\ker\left(\partial\overline{\partial}\colon \wedge^{\bullet,\bullet}\left(\mathfrak{g}\otimes_{\mathbb{R}}\mathbb{C}\right)^* \to \wedge^{\bullet+1,\bullet+1}\left(\mathfrak{g}\otimes_{\mathbb{R}}\mathbb{C}\right)^*\right)}{\mathrm{im}\left(\partial\colon \wedge^{\bullet-1,\bullet}\left(\mathfrak{g}\otimes_{\mathbb{R}}\mathbb{C}\right)^* \to \wedge^{\bullet,\bullet}\left(\mathfrak{g}\otimes_{\mathbb{R}}\mathbb{C}\right)^*\right) + \mathrm{im}\left(\overline{\partial}\colon \wedge^{\bullet,\bullet-1}\left(\mathfrak{g}\otimes_{\mathbb{R}}\mathbb{C}\right)^* \to \wedge^{\bullet,\bullet}\left(\mathfrak{g}\otimes_{\mathbb{R}}\mathbb{C}\right)^*\right)}\,.$$

Proof. Choose a G-left-invariant Hermitian metric g on X. The sub-complex $\left(\wedge^{\bullet,\bullet}\left(\mathfrak{g}\otimes_{\mathbb{R}}\mathbb{C}\right)^*,\, \partial,\, \overline{\partial}\right)$ being finite-dimensional, the induced maps in Bott-Chern, respectively Aeppli cohomologies are injective by Proposition 2.2.

Under the hypothesis, by [74, Theorem 1], [32, Main Theorem], [29, Theorem 2, Remark 4], [72, Theorem 1.10], and [73, Corollary 3.10], one has that, for any fixed $p \in \mathbb{N}$, the induced map

$$j\colon \left(\wedge^{p,\bullet}\left(\mathfrak{g}\otimes_{\mathbb{R}}\mathbb{C}\right)^*,\, \overline{\partial}\right) \hookrightarrow \left(\wedge^{p,\bullet}X,\, \overline{\partial}\right)$$

is a quasi-isomorphism. By conjugation one has also that, for any fixed $q \in \mathbb{N}$, the induced map

$$j\colon \left(\wedge^{\bullet,q}\left(\mathfrak{g}\otimes_{\mathbb{R}}\mathbb{C}\right)^*,\, \partial\right) \hookrightarrow \left(\wedge^{\bullet,q}X,\, \partial\right)$$

is a quasi-isomorphism. Lastly, condition *(iii)* in Theorem 1.3 is satisfied by Lemma 2.6. Hence, by Theorem 1.3, the induced map in Bott-Chern cohomology is surjective.

As regards Aeppli cohomologies, it suffices to note that the Hodge-$*$-operator $\bar{*}_g$ induces the isomorphisms $H^{\bullet,\bullet}_{BC}(X) \stackrel{\simeq}{\to} H^{n-\bullet,n-\bullet}_{A}(X)$ and $\frac{\ker \mathrm{d}\lfloor_{\wedge^{\bullet,\bullet}(\mathfrak{g}\otimes_{\mathbb{R}}\mathbb{C})^*}}{\mathrm{im}\,\partial\overline{\partial}} \stackrel{\simeq}{\to} \frac{\ker \partial\overline{\partial}\lfloor_{\wedge^{n-\bullet,n-\bullet}(\mathfrak{g}\otimes_{\mathbb{R}}\mathbb{C})^*}}{\mathrm{im}\,\partial + \mathrm{im}\,\overline{\partial}}$, where n is the complex dimension of X. ☐

The previous result can be used to compute the cohomology of the left-invariant complex structures classified by M. Ceballos, A. Otal, L. Ugarte, and R. Villacampa in [26], as in [6] and [60].

2.4. **Complex solvmanifolds.** Let G be a connected simply-connected n-dimensional solvable Lie group admitting a discrete co-compact subgroup Γ, and denote by $\mathfrak{g}$ the (solvable) Lie algebra of G. Set $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}} := \mathfrak{g} \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}$.

Consider the *adjoint action*

$$\mathrm{ad}\colon \mathfrak{g} \to \mathfrak{gl}(\mathfrak{g}) \;, \qquad \mathrm{ad}_X \;:=\; [X, \cdot] \;;$$

by denoting by $\mathrm{Der}(\mathfrak{g}) := \{D \in \mathfrak{gl}(\mathfrak{g}) \;:\; \forall X \in \mathfrak{g},\; [D, \mathrm{ad}_X] = \mathrm{ad}_{DX}\}$ the $\mathbb{R}$-vector space of *derivations* on $\mathfrak{g}$, one has that $\mathrm{ad}(\mathfrak{g}) \subseteq \mathrm{Der}(\mathfrak{g})$. One has that every derivation ad_X, for $X \in \mathfrak{g}$, admits a unique *Jordan decomposition*, see, e.g., [39, II.1.10], namely,

$$\mathrm{ad}_X \;=\; (\mathrm{ad}_X)_{\mathrm{s}} + (\mathrm{ad}_X)_{\mathrm{n}} \;,$$

where $(\mathrm{ad}_X)_{\mathrm{s}} \in \mathfrak{gl}(\mathfrak{g})$ is *semi-simple* (that is, each $(\mathrm{ad}_X)_{\mathrm{s}}$-invariant sub-space of $\mathfrak{g}$ admits an $(\mathrm{ad}_X)_{\mathrm{s}}$-invariant complementary sub-space in $\mathfrak{g}$), and $(\mathrm{ad}_X)_{\mathrm{n}} \in \mathfrak{gl}(\mathfrak{g})$ is *nilpotent* (that is, there exists $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $(\mathrm{ad}_X)_{\mathrm{n}}^N = 0$).

Let $\mathfrak{n}$ be the *nilradical* of $\mathfrak{g}$, that is, the maximal nilpotent ideal in $\mathfrak{g}$. Since $\mathfrak{g}$ is solvable, there exists an $\mathbb{R}$-vector sub-space V (which is not necessarily a Lie algebra) of $\mathfrak{g}$ so that *(i)* $\mathfrak{g} = V \oplus \mathfrak{n}$ as the direct sum of $\mathbb{R}$-vector spaces, and, *(ii)* for any $A, B \in V$, it holds that $(\mathrm{ad}_A)_{\mathrm{s}}(B) = 0$, see, e.g., [39, Proposition II I.1.1]. Hence, one can define the map

$$\mathrm{ad}_{\mathrm{s}}\colon \mathfrak{g} \to \mathrm{Der}(\mathfrak{g}) \;, \qquad \mathfrak{g} = V \oplus \mathfrak{n} \ni (A, X) \mapsto (\mathrm{ad}_{\mathrm{s}})_{A+X} := (\mathrm{ad}_A)_{\mathrm{s}} \in \mathrm{Der}(\mathfrak{g}) \;.$$

Moreover, one has that *(iii)* $[\mathrm{ad}_{\mathrm{s}}(\mathfrak{g}), \mathrm{ad}_{\mathrm{s}}(\mathfrak{g})] = \{0\}$, and *(iv)* $\mathrm{ad}_{\mathrm{s}}\colon \mathfrak{g} \to \mathfrak{gl}(\mathfrak{g})$ is $\mathbb{R}$-linear, see, e.g., [39, Proposition III.1.1].

Since we have $[\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}] \subseteq \mathfrak{n}$, see, e.g., [39, II.1.9], and $\mathrm{ad}_{\mathrm{s}}(\mathfrak{n}) = \{0\}$, the map $\mathrm{ad}_{\mathrm{s}}\colon \mathfrak{g} \to \mathfrak{gl}(\mathfrak{g})$ is a representation of $\mathfrak{g}$, whose image $\mathrm{ad}_{\mathrm{s}}(\mathfrak{g})$ is Abelian and consists of semi-simple elements. Hence, denote by

$$\mathrm{Ad}_{\mathrm{s}}\colon G \to \mathrm{Aut}(\mathfrak{g}) \;, \qquad \text{respectively } \; \mathrm{Ad}_{\mathrm{s}}\colon G \to \mathrm{Aut}\left(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}\right) \;,$$

the unique representation which lifts $\mathrm{ad}_{\mathrm{s}}\colon \mathfrak{g} \to \mathfrak{gl}(\mathfrak{g})$, see, e.g., [85, Theorem 3.27], respectively the natural $\mathbb{C}$-linear extension.

Let T be the Zariski-closure of $\mathrm{Ad}_{\mathrm{s}}(G)$ in $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}})$. Denote by $\mathrm{Char}(T) := \mathrm{Hom}(T; \mathbb{C}^*)$ the set of all 1-dimensional algebraic group representations of T. Set

$$\mathcal{C}_\Gamma \;:=\; \{\beta \circ \mathrm{Ad}_{\mathrm{s}} \in \mathrm{Hom}\left(G; \mathbb{C}^*\right) \;:\; \beta \in \mathrm{Char}(T),\; (\beta \circ \mathrm{Ad}_{\mathrm{s}}) \lfloor_\Gamma = 1\} \;.$$

We consider the differential graded sub-algebra

$$\bigoplus_{\alpha \in \mathcal{C}_\Gamma} \alpha \cdot \wedge^\bullet \mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}^*$$

of $\wedge^\bullet \Gamma\backslash G \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}$. (Note that we have used left-translations on G to identify the elements of $\wedge^\bullet \mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}^*$ with the G-left-invariant complex forms in $\wedge^\bullet \Gamma\backslash G \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}$, namely, the complex forms being invariant for the action of the Lie group G on $\Gamma\backslash G$ given by left-translations.) By $\mathrm{Ad}_{\mathrm{s}}(G) \subseteq \mathrm{Aut}(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}})$ we have the $\mathrm{Ad}_{\mathrm{s}}(G)$-action on the differential graded algebra $\bigoplus_{\alpha \in \mathcal{C}_\Gamma} \alpha \cdot \wedge^\bullet \mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}^*$. We denote by $A_\Gamma^\bullet$ the space consisting of the $\mathrm{Ad}_{\mathrm{s}}(G)$-invariant elements of $\bigoplus_{\alpha \in \mathcal{C}_\Gamma} \alpha \cdot \wedge^\bullet \mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}^*$, namely,

$$A_\Gamma^\bullet \;:=\; \left\{ \varphi \in \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \mathcal{C}_\Gamma} \alpha \cdot \wedge^\bullet \mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}^* \;:\; (\mathrm{Ad}_{\mathrm{s}})_g(\varphi) = \varphi \text{ for every } g \in G \right\} \;. \tag{1}$$

Now we consider the inclusion

$$A_\Gamma^\bullet \subseteq \wedge^\bullet \Gamma\backslash G \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}$$

of differential graded algebras. We have the following result.

Theorem 2.8 ([50, Corollary 7.6]). *Let $\Gamma\backslash G$ be a solvmanifold, and consider $A_\Gamma^\bullet$ as defined in* (1). *Then the inclusion*

$$(A_\Gamma^\bullet, \mathrm{d}) \hookrightarrow (\wedge^\bullet \Gamma\backslash G \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}, \mathrm{d})$$

of differential graded algebras induces an isomorphism in cohomology.

Note that $\mathrm{Ad}_{\mathrm{s}}(G) \subseteq \mathrm{Aut}(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}})$ consists of simultaneously diagonalizable elements. Let $\{X_1, \ldots, X_n\}$ be a basis of $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}$ with respect to which

$$\mathrm{Ad}_{\mathrm{s}} \;=\; \mathrm{diag}\left(\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n\right) : G \to \mathrm{Aut}(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}})$$

for some characters

$$\alpha_1 \in \mathrm{Hom}(G;\mathbb{C}^*), \ldots, \alpha_n \in \mathrm{Hom}(G;\mathbb{C}^*) \;.$$

Let $\{x_1, \ldots, x_n\}$ be the dual basis of $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}^*$ of $\{X_1, \ldots, X_n\}$. For the basis $\left\{x_{i_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge x_{i_p}\right\}_{1 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \cdots < i_p \leq n}$ of $\wedge^\bullet \mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}^*$, for $\alpha \in \mathcal{C}_\Gamma$, we have

$$(\mathrm{Ad}_{\mathrm{s}})_g \left(\alpha\, x_{i_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge x_{i_p}\right) \;=\; \alpha(g)\, \alpha_{i_1 \cdots i_p}^{-1}(g)\, \alpha\, x_{i_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge x_{i_p} \;,$$

where we have shortened $\alpha_{i_1 \cdots i_p} := \alpha_{i_1} \cdot \cdots \cdot \alpha_{i_p} \in \mathrm{Hom}\left(G;\mathbb{C}^*\right)$. Then the basis

$$\left\{\alpha\, x_{i_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge x_{i_p} \;\middle|\; 1 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \cdots < i_p \leq n \text{ and } \alpha \in \mathcal{C}_\Gamma\right\}$$

of $\bigoplus_{\alpha \in \mathcal{C}_\Gamma} \alpha \cdot \wedge^\bullet \mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}^*$ diagonalizes the $\mathrm{Ad}_{\mathrm{s}}(G)$-action, and $\alpha\, x_{i_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge x_{i_p} \in A_\Gamma^\bullet$ if and only if $\alpha = \alpha_{i_1 \cdots i_p}$ and $\alpha_{i_1 \cdots i_p} \lfloor_\Gamma = 1$. Hence the differential graded algebra $A_\Gamma^\bullet$ is written as

$$A_\Gamma^p \;=\; \mathbb{C}\left\langle \alpha_{i_1 \cdots i_p}\, x_{i_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge x_{i_p} \;\middle|\; 1 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \cdots < i_p \leq n \;\text{ such that } \alpha_{i_1 \cdots i_p} \lfloor_\Gamma = 1\right\rangle \;. \tag{2}$$

In fact, the following result holds.

Theorem 2.9. *Let $\Gamma \backslash G$ be a solvmanifold. Let $\{X_1, \ldots, X_n\}$ be a basis of the $\mathbb{C}$-vector space $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}$ with respect to which $\mathrm{Ad}_{\mathrm{s}} = \mathrm{diag}\left(\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n\right)$ for some characters $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n \in \mathrm{Hom}(G;\mathbb{C}^*)$. Consider the finite set of characters*

$$\mathcal{A}_\Gamma \;:=\; \left\{\alpha_{i_1 \cdots i_p} \in \mathrm{Hom}(G;\mathbb{C}^*) \;:\; 1 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \cdots < i_p \leq n \;\text{ such that } \alpha_{i_1 \cdots i_p} \lfloor_\Gamma = 1\right\} \;.$$

Then the sub-complex

$$\iota\colon \left(\bigoplus_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}_\Gamma} \alpha \cdot \wedge^\bullet \mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}^*,\, \mathrm{d}\right) \hookrightarrow \left(\wedge^\bullet\, \Gamma \backslash G \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C},\, \mathrm{d}\right)$$

induces an isomorphism in cohomology.

Suppose furthermore that G is endowed with a G-left-invariant complex structure. Consider the bi-graded $\mathbb{C}$-vector sub-space

$$\iota\colon \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}_\Gamma} \alpha \cdot \wedge^{\bullet,\bullet} \mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}^* \hookrightarrow \wedge^{\bullet,\bullet}\, \Gamma \backslash G \;\;;$$

then ι induces, for any $(p, q) \in \mathbb{Z}^2$, the isomorphism

$$\iota^*\colon \frac{\ker \mathrm{d}\lfloor_{\bigoplus_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}_\Gamma} \alpha \cdot \wedge^{p,q} \mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}^*}}{\mathrm{d}\left(\bigoplus_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}_\Gamma} \alpha \cdot \wedge^{p+q-1} \mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}^*\right)} \xrightarrow{\simeq} \frac{\ker \mathrm{d}\lfloor_{\wedge^{p,q}\, \Gamma \backslash G}}{\mathrm{d}\left(\wedge^{p+q-1}\, \Gamma \backslash G \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}\right)} \;.$$

Proof. Consider the G-left-invariant Hermitian metric

$$g \;:=\; \sum_{j=1}^{n} x_j \odot \bar{x}_j$$

on $\Gamma \backslash G$, and the associated $\mathbb{C}$-anti-linear Hodge-$*$-operator $\bar{*}_g\colon \wedge^\bullet\, \Gamma \backslash G \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C} \to \wedge^{n-\bullet}\, \Gamma \backslash G \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}$, where n is the dimension of $\Gamma \backslash G$. If the restriction of a character α of G on Γ is trivial, then α induces a function on $\Gamma \backslash G$ and the image $\alpha(G)$ is a compact subgroup of $\mathbb{C}^*$, and hence α is unitary. For $\alpha_{i_1 \cdots i_p} := \alpha_{i_1} \cdot \cdots \cdot \alpha_{i_p} \in \mathcal{A}_\Gamma$, since G is unimodular, [66, Lemma 6.2], for the complement $\{j_1, \ldots, j_{n-p}\} = \{1, \ldots, n\} \setminus \{i_1, \ldots, i_p\}$ we have

$$\bar{\alpha}_{i_1 \ldots i_p} = \alpha_{i_1 \cdots i_p}^{-1} = \alpha_{j_1 \ldots j_{n-p}}.$$

By this, we have

$$\bar{*}_g \left(\alpha_{i_1 \cdots i_p} \cdot \wedge^\bullet \mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}^*\right) \;=\; \alpha_{j_1 \ldots j_{n-p}} \cdot \wedge^{n-\bullet} \mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}^*$$

and, for $\alpha_{i_1 \ldots i_p}\, x_{i_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge x_{i_p} \in A_\Gamma^\bullet$, we have

$$\bar{*}_g \left(\alpha_{i_1 \ldots i_p}\, x_{i_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge x_{i_p}\right) \;=\; \alpha_{j_1 \ldots j_{n-p}}\, x_{j_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge x_{j_{n-p}} \in A_\Gamma^{n-\bullet} \;.$$

Hence the sub-complexes

$$\left(A_\Gamma^\bullet,\, \mathrm{d}\right) \hookrightarrow \left(\bigoplus_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}_\Gamma} \alpha \cdot \wedge^\bullet \mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}^*,\, \mathrm{d}\right) \hookrightarrow \left(\wedge^\bullet\, \Gamma \backslash G \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C},\, \mathrm{d}\right)$$

are such that

$$\bar{*}_g \lfloor_{A_\Gamma^\bullet} \colon A_\Gamma^\bullet \to A_\Gamma^{n-\bullet} \qquad \text{and} \qquad \bar{*}_g \lfloor_{\bigoplus_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}_\Gamma} \alpha \cdot \wedge^\bullet \mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}^*} \colon \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}_\Gamma} \alpha \cdot \wedge^\bullet \mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}^* \to \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}_\Gamma} \alpha \cdot \wedge^{n-\bullet} \mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}^* \;,$$

therefore the first assertion follows from Theorem 2.8 and Proposition 2.4.

Consider the F. A. Belgun symmetrization map $\mu\colon \wedge^\bullet X \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C} \to \wedge^\bullet \mathfrak{g}^*_{\mathbb{C}}$, [15, Theorem 7]. For $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}_\Gamma$, we define the map

$$\varphi_\alpha\colon \wedge^\bullet \Gamma\backslash G \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C} \to \alpha \cdot \wedge^\bullet \mathfrak{g}^*_{\mathbb{C}} \;, \qquad \varphi_\alpha(\omega) \;:=\; \alpha \cdot \mu\left(\frac{\omega}{\alpha}\right) \;.$$

By the definition of μ, for a G-left-invariant differential form θ on $\Gamma\backslash G$ and for a differential form ω on $\Gamma\backslash G$, we have $\mu(\theta \wedge \omega) = \theta \wedge \mu(\omega)$, see Lemma 2.5. By this we have, for any $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}_\Gamma$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\varphi_\alpha(\mathrm{d}\,\omega) &= \alpha \cdot \mu\left(\frac{\mathrm{d}\,\omega}{\alpha}\right) \;=\; \alpha \cdot \mu\left(\mathrm{d}\left(\frac{\omega}{\alpha}\right) + \frac{\mathrm{d}\,\alpha}{\alpha} \wedge \frac{\omega}{\alpha}\right) \\
&= \alpha \cdot \mathrm{d}\,\mu\left(\frac{\omega}{\alpha}\right) + \mathrm{d}\,\alpha \wedge \mu\left(\frac{\omega}{\alpha}\right) \;=\; \mathrm{d}\left(\alpha \cdot \mu\left(\frac{\omega}{\alpha}\right)\right) \\
&= \mathrm{d}\,\varphi_\alpha(\omega) \;,
\end{aligned}$$

and hence φ_α is a morphism of cochain complexes. Furthermore, for $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}_\Gamma$, by considering the inclusion

$$\iota_\alpha\colon \alpha \cdot \wedge^\bullet \mathfrak{g}^*_{\mathbb{C}} \hookrightarrow \wedge^\bullet \Gamma\backslash G \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C} \;,$$

we have that

$$\varphi_\alpha \circ \iota_\alpha \;=\; \mathrm{id}_{\alpha \cdot \wedge^\bullet \mathfrak{g}^*_{\mathbb{C}}} \;.$$

For distinct characters $\alpha, \alpha' \in \mathcal{A}_\Gamma$, for the G-left-invariant form $\frac{\alpha'}{\alpha}\,\mathrm{d}\left(\frac{\alpha}{\alpha'}\right)$, since η is a G-left-invariant volume form, we can choose $\lambda \in \wedge^{\dim G - 1} \mathfrak{g}^*_{\mathbb{C}}$ such that $\frac{\alpha'}{\alpha}\,\mathrm{d}\left(\frac{\alpha}{\alpha'}\right) \wedge \lambda = \eta$. Then we have

$$\mathrm{d}\left(\frac{\alpha}{\alpha'}\lambda\right) \;=\; \frac{\alpha}{\alpha'}\,\frac{\alpha'}{\alpha}\,\mathrm{d}\left(\frac{\alpha}{\alpha'}\right) \wedge \lambda \;=\; \frac{\alpha}{\alpha'}\,\eta \;.$$

By this, using Stokes' theorem, for $\alpha\,\omega \in \alpha \cdot \wedge^p \mathfrak{g}^*_{\mathbb{C}}$ and for $X_1, \ldots, X_p \in \mathfrak{g} \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\mu\left(\frac{\alpha}{\alpha'}\,\omega\right)(X_1, \ldots, X_p) &= \int_{\Gamma\backslash G} \frac{\alpha(x)}{\alpha'(x)}\,\omega\lfloor_x(X_1\lfloor_x, \ldots, X_p\lfloor_x)\,\eta(x) \;=\; \omega\,(X_1, \ldots X_p) \int_{\Gamma\backslash G} \frac{\alpha(x)}{\alpha'(x)}\,\eta(x) \\
&= \omega\,(X_1, \ldots X_p) \int_{\Gamma\backslash G} \mathrm{d}\left(\frac{\alpha}{\alpha'}\,\lambda\right) \;=\; 0
\end{aligned}$$

and hence we have

$$\varphi_{\alpha'} \circ \iota_\alpha \;=\; 0 \;.$$

By the definition and since the complex structure on $\Gamma\backslash G$ is G-left-invariant, we have that, for any $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}_\Gamma$, for any $(p, q) \in \mathbb{N}^2$,

$$\varphi_\alpha\left(\wedge^{p,q} \Gamma\backslash G\right) \subseteq \alpha \cdot \wedge^{p,q} \mathfrak{g}^*_{\mathbb{C}} \;.$$

By noting that the set $\mathcal{A}_\Gamma$ is finite, we define the map

$$\Phi \;:=\; \sum_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}_\Gamma} \varphi_\alpha\colon \wedge^{\bullet,\bullet} \Gamma\backslash G \to \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}_\Gamma} \alpha \cdot \wedge^{\bullet,\bullet} \mathfrak{g}^*_{\mathbb{C}} \;;$$

note that Φ is a morphism of cochain complexes and we have, for any $(p, q) \in \mathbb{N}^2$,

$$\Phi\left(\wedge^{p,q} \Gamma\backslash G\right) \subset \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}_\Gamma} \alpha \cdot \wedge^{p,q} \mathfrak{g}^*_{\mathbb{C}} \qquad \text{and} \qquad \Phi \circ \iota \;=\; \mathrm{id}_{\bigoplus_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}_\Gamma} \alpha \cdot \wedge^{p,q} \mathfrak{g}^*_{\mathbb{C}}} \;,$$

where ι denotes the inclusion $\iota\colon \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}_\Gamma} \alpha \cdot \wedge^{\bullet,\bullet} \mathfrak{g}^*_{\mathbb{C}} \hookrightarrow \wedge^{\bullet,\bullet} \Gamma\backslash G$. Consider the induced maps

$$\iota^*\colon H^\bullet\left(\mathrm{Tot}^\bullet \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}_\Gamma} \alpha \cdot \wedge^{\bullet,\bullet} \mathfrak{g}^*_{\mathbb{C}},\, \mathrm{d}\right) \to H^\bullet_{dR}\left(\Gamma\backslash G\,; \mathbb{C}\right)$$

and

$$\Phi^*\colon H^\bullet_{dR}\left(\Gamma\backslash G\,; \mathbb{C}\right) \to H^\bullet\left(\mathrm{Tot}^\bullet \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}_\Gamma} \alpha \cdot \wedge^{\bullet,\bullet} \mathfrak{g}^*_{\mathbb{C}},\, \mathrm{d}\right) \;.$$

Since ι^* is an isomorphism by the first assertion and $\Phi^* \circ \iota^* = \mathrm{id}$, then Φ^* is the inverse of ι^*. By $\Phi\left(\wedge^{p,q} \Gamma\backslash G\right) \subset \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}_\Gamma} \alpha \cdot \wedge^{p,q} \mathfrak{g}^*_{\mathbb{C}}$, we have

$$\Phi^*\left(\frac{\ker \mathrm{d}\lfloor_{\wedge^{p,q} \Gamma\backslash G}}{\mathrm{d}\left(\wedge^{p+q-1} \Gamma\backslash G \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}\right)}\right) \subset \frac{\ker \mathrm{d}\lfloor_{\bigoplus_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}_\Gamma} \alpha \cdot \wedge^{p,q} \mathfrak{g}^*_{\mathbb{C}}}}{\mathrm{d}\left(\bigoplus_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}_\Gamma} \alpha \cdot \wedge^{p+q-1} \mathfrak{g}^*_{\mathbb{C}}\right)} \;.$$

Hence the restriction of Φ^* to $\frac{\ker \mathrm{d}\lfloor_{\wedge^{p,q}\Gamma\backslash G}}{\mathrm{d}(\wedge^{p+q-1}\Gamma\backslash G)}$ is the inverse of the restriction of ι^* to $\frac{\ker \mathrm{d}\lfloor_{\bigoplus_{\alpha\in\mathcal{A}_\Gamma}\alpha\cdot\wedge^{p,q}\mathfrak{g}^*_{\mathbb{C}}}}{\mathrm{d}\left(\bigoplus_{\alpha\in\mathcal{A}_\Gamma}\alpha\cdot\wedge^{p+q-1}\mathfrak{g}^*_{\mathbb{C}}\right)}$, which is hence an an isomorphism. Therefore the second assertion follows. $\square$

Corollary 2.10. *Let $\Gamma\backslash G$ be a solvmanifold. Let J be a G-left-invariant complex structure on G satisfying, for all $g \in G$,*

$$J \circ (\mathrm{Ad_s})_g \;=\; (\mathrm{Ad_s})_g \circ J \;.$$

Then, by setting $A^{p,q}_\Gamma := A^\bullet_\Gamma \cap \wedge^{p,q}\Gamma\backslash G$ for any $(p,q) \in \mathbb{Z}^2$, we have that the differential graded sub-algebra $(A^\bullet_\Gamma, \mathrm{d}) \hookrightarrow (\wedge^\bullet \Gamma\backslash G \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}, \mathrm{d})$ defined in (1) *is actually $\mathbb{Z}^2$-graded,*

$$A^\bullet_\Gamma \;=\; \bigoplus_{p+q=\bullet} A^{p,q}_\Gamma \;,$$

and the inclusion $A^{\bullet,\bullet}_\Gamma \subset \wedge^{p,q}\Gamma\backslash G$ induces the isomorphism

$$\frac{\ker \mathrm{d}\lfloor_{A^{p,q}_\Gamma}}{\mathrm{d}\left(A^{p+q-1}_\Gamma\right)} \stackrel{\simeq}{\to} \frac{\ker \mathrm{d}\lfloor_{\wedge^{p,q}\Gamma\backslash G}}{\mathrm{d}\left(\wedge^{p+q-1}\Gamma\backslash G \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}\right)} \;.$$

Proof. Consider the $\mathrm{Ad_s}(G)$-action on $\bigoplus_{\alpha\in\mathcal{A}_\Gamma}\alpha\cdot\wedge^{\bullet,\bullet}\mathfrak{g}^*_{\mathbb{C}}$. Then $A^{\bullet,\bullet}_\Gamma$ is the sub-complex that consists of the elements of $\bigoplus_{\alpha\in\mathcal{A}_\Gamma}\alpha\cdot\wedge^{\bullet,\bullet}\mathfrak{g}^*_{\mathbb{C}}$ fixed by this action. Since $\mathrm{Ad_s}$ is diagonalizable, we have the decomposition

$$\bigoplus_{\alpha\in\mathcal{A}_\Gamma}\alpha\cdot\wedge^\bullet\mathfrak{g}^*_{\mathbb{C}} \;=\; A^\bullet_\Gamma \oplus D^\bullet$$

such that $D^\bullet$ is a sub-complex and this decomposition is a direct sum of cochain complexes. By the assumption $J \circ (\mathrm{Ad_s})_g = (\mathrm{Ad_s})_g \circ J$ for any $g \in G$, the $\mathrm{Ad_s}(G)$-action is compatible with the bi-grading $\bigoplus_{\alpha\in\mathcal{A}_\Gamma}\alpha\cdot\wedge^{\bullet,\bullet}\mathfrak{g}^*_{\mathbb{C}}$. Hence we have in fact

$$\bigoplus_{\alpha\in\mathcal{A}_\Gamma}\alpha\cdot\wedge^{\bullet,\bullet}\mathfrak{g}^*_{\mathbb{C}} \;=\; A^{\bullet,\bullet}_\Gamma \oplus D^{\bullet,\bullet} \;.$$

Consider the projection $p\colon \bigoplus_{\alpha\in\mathcal{A}_\Gamma}\alpha\cdot\wedge^{\bullet,\bullet}\mathfrak{g}^*_{\mathbb{C}} \to A^{\bullet,\bullet}_\Gamma$ and the inclusion $\iota\colon A^{\bullet,\bullet}_\Gamma \hookrightarrow \bigoplus_{\alpha\in\mathcal{A}_\Gamma}\alpha\cdot\wedge^{\bullet,\bullet}\mathfrak{g}^*_{\mathbb{C}}$. Then we have $p \circ \iota = \mathrm{id}_{A^{\bullet,\bullet}_\Gamma}$. As similar to the proof of Theorem 2.9, we have that ι induces, for any $(p,q) \in \mathbb{Z}^2$, the isomorphism

$$\iota^* \colon \frac{\ker \mathrm{d}\lfloor_{A^{p,q}_\Gamma}}{\mathrm{d}\left(A^{p+q-1}_\Gamma\right)} \stackrel{\simeq}{\to} \frac{\ker \mathrm{d}\lfloor_{\bigoplus_{\alpha\in\mathcal{A}_\Gamma}\alpha\cdot\wedge^{p,q}\mathfrak{g}^*_{\mathbb{C}}}}{\mathrm{d}\left(\bigoplus_{\alpha\in\mathcal{A}_\Gamma}\alpha\cdot\wedge^{p+q-1}\mathfrak{g}^*_{\mathbb{C}}\right)} \;.$$

Hence the corollary follows from Theorem 2.9. $\square$

2.4.1. *Complex solvmanifolds of splitting type.*

We consider now solvmanifolds of the following type.

Assumption 2.11. *Consider a solvmanifold $X = \Gamma\backslash G$ endowed with a G-left-invariant complex structure J. Assume that G is the semi-direct product $\mathbb{C}^n \ltimes_\phi N$ so that:*

- *(i) N is a connected simply-connected $2m$-dimensional nilpotent Lie group endowed with an N-left-invariant complex structure J_N; (denote the Lie algebras of $\mathbb{C}^n$ and N by $\mathfrak{a}$ and, respectively, $\mathfrak{n}$;)*
- *(ii) for any $t \in \mathbb{C}^n$, it holds that $\phi(t) \in \mathrm{GL}(N)$ is a holomorphic automorphism of N with respect to J_N;*
- *(iii) ϕ induces a semi-simple action on $\mathfrak{n}$;*
- *(iv) G has a lattice Γ; (then Γ can be written as $\Gamma = \Gamma_{\mathbb{C}^n} \ltimes_\phi \Gamma_N$ such that $\Gamma_{\mathbb{C}^n}$ and Γ_N are lattices of $\mathbb{C}^n$ and, respectively, N, and, for any $t \in \Gamma'$, it holds $\phi(t)\left(\Gamma_N\right) \subseteq \Gamma_N$;)*
- *(v) the inclusion $\wedge^{\bullet,\bullet}\left(\mathfrak{n}\otimes_{\mathbb{R}}\mathbb{C}\right)^* \hookrightarrow \wedge^{\bullet,\bullet}\left(\Gamma_N\backslash N\right)$ induces the isomorphism*

$$H^\bullet\left(\wedge^{\bullet,\bullet}\left(\mathfrak{n}\otimes_{\mathbb{R}}\mathbb{C}\right)^*, \overline{\partial}\right) \stackrel{\simeq}{\to} H^{\bullet,\bullet}_{\overline{\partial}}\left(\Gamma_N\backslash N\right) \;.$$

Consider the standard basis $\{X_1, \ldots, X_n\}$ of $\mathbb{C}^n$. Consider the decomposition $\mathfrak{n}\otimes_{\mathbb{R}}\mathbb{C} = \mathfrak{n}^{1,0}\oplus\mathfrak{n}^{0,1}$ induced by J_N. By the condition *(ii)*, this decomposition is a direct sum of $\mathbb{C}^n$-modules. By the condition *(iii)*, we have a basis $\{Y_1, \ldots, Y_m\}$ of $\mathfrak{n}^{1,0}$ and characters $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_m \in \mathrm{Hom}(\mathbb{C}^n; \mathbb{C}^*)$ such that the induced action ϕ on $\mathfrak{n}^{1,0}$ is represented by

$$\mathbb{C}^n \ni t \mapsto \phi(t) \;=\; \mathrm{diag}\left(\alpha_1(t), \ldots, \alpha_m(t)\right) \in \mathrm{GL}(\mathfrak{n}^{1,0}) \;.$$

For any $j \in \{1, \ldots, m\}$, since Y_j is an N-left-invariant $(1,0)$-vector field on N, the $(1,0)$-vector field $\alpha_j Y_j$ on $\mathbb{C}^n \ltimes_\phi N$ is $(\mathbb{C}^n \ltimes_\phi N)$-left-invariant. Consider the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ of G and the decomposition $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}} := \mathfrak{g} \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C} = \mathfrak{g}^{1,0} \oplus \mathfrak{g}^{0,1}$ induced by J. Hence we have a basis $\{X_1, \ldots, X_n, \alpha_1 Y_1, \ldots, \alpha_m Y_m\}$ of $\mathfrak{g}^{1,0}$, and let $\left\{x_1, \ldots, x_n, \alpha_1^{-1} y_1, \ldots, \alpha_m^{-1} y_m\right\}$ be its dual basis of $\wedge^{1,0}\mathfrak{g}^*_{\mathbb{C}}$. Then we have

$$\wedge^{p,q}\mathfrak{g}^*_{\mathbb{C}} \;=\; \wedge^p \left\langle x_1, \ldots, x_n, \alpha_1^{-1} y_1, \ldots, \alpha_m^{-1} y_m \right\rangle \otimes \wedge^q \left\langle \bar{x}_1, \ldots, \bar{x}_n, \bar{\alpha}_1^{-1} \bar{y}_1, \ldots, \bar{\alpha}_m^{-1} \bar{y}_m \right\rangle \;.$$

The following lemma holds.

Lemma 2.12 ([51, Lemma 2.2])**.** *Let $X = \Gamma\backslash G$ be a solvmanifold endowed with a G-left-invariant complex structure J as in Assumption 2.11. Consider a basis $\{Y_1, \ldots, Y_m\}$ of $\mathfrak{n}^{1,0}$ such that the induced action ϕ on $\mathfrak{n}^{1,0}$ is represented by $\phi(t) = \operatorname{diag}(\alpha_1(t), \ldots, \alpha_m(t))$ for $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_m \in \operatorname{Hom}(\mathbb{C}^n; \mathbb{C}^*)$ characters of $\mathbb{C}^n$. For any $j \in \{1, \ldots, m\}$, there exist unique unitary characters $\beta_j \in \operatorname{Hom}(\mathbb{C}^n; \mathbb{C}^*)$ and $\gamma_j \in \operatorname{Hom}(\mathbb{C}^n; \mathbb{C}^*)$ on $\mathbb{C}^n$ such that $\alpha_j \beta_j^{-1}$ and $\bar{\alpha}_j \gamma_j^{-1}$ are holomorphic.*

We recall the following result by the second author.

Theorem 2.13. ([51, Corollary 4.2]) *Let $X = \Gamma\backslash G$ be a solvmanifold endowed with a G-left-invariant complex structure J as in Assumption 2.11. Consider the standard basis $\{X_1, \ldots, X_n\}$ of $\mathbb{C}^n$. Consider a basis $\{Y_1, \ldots, Y_m\}$ of $\mathfrak{n}^{1,0}$ such that the induced action ϕ on $\mathfrak{n}^{1,0}$ is represented by $\phi(t) = \operatorname{diag}(\alpha_1(t), \ldots, \alpha_m(t))$ for $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_m \in \operatorname{Hom}(\mathbb{C}^n; \mathbb{C}^*)$ characters of $\mathbb{C}^n$. Let $\left\{x_1, \ldots, x_n, \alpha_1^{-1} y_1, \ldots, \alpha_m^{-1} y_m\right\}$ be the basis of $\wedge^{1,0}\mathfrak{g}^*_{\mathbb{C}}$ which is dual to $\{X_1, \ldots, X_n, \alpha_1 Y_1, \ldots, \alpha_m Y_m\}$. For any $j \in \{1, \ldots, m\}$, let β_j and γ_j be the unique unitary characters on $\mathbb{C}^n$ such that $\alpha_j \beta_j^{-1}$ and $\bar{\alpha}_j \gamma_j^{-1}$ are holomorphic, as in Lemma 2.12. Define the differential bi-graded sub-algebra $B^{\bullet,\bullet}_\Gamma \subset \wedge^{\bullet,\bullet}\Gamma\backslash G$, for $(p,q) \in \mathbb{Z}^2$, as*

$$B^{p,q}_\Gamma \;:=\; \mathbb{C}\left\langle x_I \wedge \left(\alpha_J^{-1}\beta_J\right) y_J \wedge \bar{x}_K \wedge \left(\bar{\alpha}_L^{-1}\gamma_L\right) \bar{y}_L \;\middle|\; |I|+|J| = p \text{ and } |K|+|L| = q \right. \\ \left. \text{such that } \left(\beta_J \gamma_L\right)\lfloor_\Gamma = 1 \right\rangle \;. \tag{3}$$

Then the inclusion $B^{\bullet,\bullet}_\Gamma \subset \wedge^{\bullet,\bullet}\Gamma\backslash G$ induces the cohomology isomorphism

$$H^{\bullet,\bullet}\left(B^{\bullet,\bullet}_\Gamma, \bar\partial\right) \stackrel{\simeq}{\to} H^{\bullet,\bullet}_{\bar\partial}(\Gamma\backslash G) \;.$$

As a straightforward consequence, by means of conjugation, we get the following result.

Corollary 2.14. *Let $X = \Gamma\backslash G$ be a solvmanifold endowed with a G-left-invariant complex structure J as in Assumption 2.11. Consider $B^{\bullet,\bullet}_\Gamma$ as in* (3)*, and let*

$$\bar{B}^{\bullet,\bullet}_\Gamma \;:=\; \left\{\bar\omega \in \wedge^{\bullet,\bullet}\Gamma\backslash G \;:\; \omega \in B^{\bullet,\bullet}_\Gamma\right\} \;. \tag{4}$$

The inclusion $\bar{B}^{\bullet,\bullet}_\Gamma \hookrightarrow \wedge^{\bullet,\bullet}\Gamma\backslash G$ induces the cohomology isomorphism

$$H^{\bullet,\bullet}\left(\bar{B}^{\bullet,\bullet}_\Gamma, \partial\right) \stackrel{\simeq}{\to} H^{\bullet,\bullet}_{\partial}(\Gamma\backslash G) \;.$$

Hence we get the following result.

Corollary 2.15. *Let $\Gamma\backslash G$ be a solvmanifold endowed with a G-left-invariant complex structure J as in Assumption 2.11. Consider $B^{\bullet,\bullet}_\Gamma$ as in* (3)*, and $\bar{B}^{\bullet,\bullet}_\Gamma$ as in* (4)*. Let*

$$C^{\bullet,\bullet}_\Gamma \;:=\; B^{\bullet,\bullet}_\Gamma + \bar{B}^{\bullet,\bullet}_\Gamma \;. \tag{5}$$

Then we have

- *(i) the inclusion $C^{\bullet,\bullet}_\Gamma \hookrightarrow \wedge^{\bullet,\bullet}\Gamma\backslash G$ induces the cohomology isomorphism*

$$H^{\bullet,\bullet}\left(C^{\bullet,\bullet}_\Gamma, \partial\right) \stackrel{\simeq}{\to} H^{\bullet,\bullet}_{\partial}(\Gamma\backslash G) \;;$$

- *(ii) the inclusion $C^{\bullet,\bullet}_\Gamma \hookrightarrow \wedge^{\bullet,\bullet}\Gamma\backslash G$ induces the cohomology isomorphism*

$$H^{\bullet,\bullet}\left(C^{\bullet,\bullet}_\Gamma, \bar\partial\right) \stackrel{\simeq}{\to} H^{\bullet,\bullet}_{\bar\partial}(\Gamma\backslash G) \;;$$

- *(iii) for any $(p,q) \in \mathbb{N}^2$, the inclusion $C^{\bullet,\bullet}_\Gamma \hookrightarrow \wedge^{\bullet,\bullet}\Gamma\backslash G$ induces the surjective map*

$$\frac{\ker \mathrm{d}\lfloor_{C^{p,q}_\Gamma}}{\mathrm{d}\left(\operatorname{Tot}^{p+q-1} C^{\bullet,\bullet}_\Gamma\right)} \to \frac{\ker \mathrm{d}\lfloor_{\wedge^{p,q}\Gamma\backslash G}}{\mathrm{d}\left(\wedge^{p+q-1}\Gamma\backslash G \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}\right)} \;.$$

Proof. Let g be the G-left-invariant Hermitian metric on G defined by

$$g \;:=\; \sum_{j=1}^{n} x_j \odot \bar{x}_j + \sum_{k=1}^{m} \alpha_k^{-1} \bar{\alpha}_k^{-1} y_k \odot \bar{y}_k \;,$$

and consider its associated $\mathbb{C}$-anti-linear Hodge-$*$-operator $\bar{*}_g \colon \wedge^{\bullet} \Gamma\backslash G \to \wedge^{2N-\bullet} \Gamma\backslash G$, where $2N := 2n+2m = \dim_{\mathbb{R}} \Gamma\backslash G$. Then for multi-indices $I, J \subset \{1, \ldots, n\}$, $K, L \subset \{1, \ldots, m\}$ and their complements $I', J' \subset \{1, \ldots, n\}$, $K', L' \subset \{1, \ldots, m\}$, we have

$$\bar{*}_g \left(x_I \wedge \left(\alpha_J^{-1} \beta_J\right) y_J \wedge \bar{x}_K \wedge \left(\bar{\alpha}_L^{-1} \gamma_L\right) \bar{y}_L \right) = x_{I'} \wedge \left(\alpha_{J'}^{-1} \bar{\beta}_J\right) y_{J'} \wedge \bar{x}_{K'} \wedge \left(\bar{\alpha}_{L'}^{-1} \bar{\gamma}_L\right) \bar{y}_{L'} .$$

Since G is unimodular by the existence of a lattice, [66, Lemma 6.2], we have $\alpha_J \alpha_{J'} \bar{\alpha}_L \bar{\alpha}_{L'} = 1$ and so we have $\beta_{J'} \gamma_{L'} = \beta_J^{-1} \gamma_L^{-1} = \bar{\beta}_J \bar{\gamma}_L^{-1}$. This implies

$$x_{I'} \wedge \left(\alpha_{J'}^{-1} \bar{\beta}_J\right) y_{J'} \wedge \bar{x}_{K'} \wedge \left(\bar{\alpha}_{L'}^{-1} \bar{\gamma}_L\right) \bar{y}_{L'} = x_{I'} \wedge \left(\alpha_{J'}^{-1} \beta_{J'}\right) y_{J'} \wedge \bar{x}_{K'} \wedge \left(\bar{\alpha}_{L'}^{-1} \gamma_{L'}\right) \bar{y}_{L'} \in B_{\Gamma}^{\bullet,\bullet} .$$

Then we have $\bar{*}_g \left(B_{\Gamma}^{\bullet,\bullet}\right) \subseteq B_{\Gamma}^{N-\bullet,N-\bullet}$ and so also

$$\bar{*}_g \left(C_{\Gamma}^{\bullet,\bullet}\right) \;\subseteq\; C_{\Gamma}^{N-\bullet,N-\bullet} \;.$$

Hence *(i)*, respectively *(ii)*, follows from Theorem 2.13, respectively Corollary 2.14, and Proposition 2.4.

We consider the sub-complex $A_{\Gamma}^{\bullet} \subseteq \wedge^{\bullet} \Gamma\backslash G \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}$ defined in (1). Consider the standard basis $\{X_1, \ldots, X_n\}$ of $\mathbb{C}^n$. Consider a basis $\{Y_1, \ldots, Y_m\}$ of $\mathfrak{n}^{1,0}$ such that the induced action ϕ on $\mathfrak{n}^{1,0}$ is represented by $\phi(t) = \mathrm{diag}\left(\alpha_1(t), \ldots, \alpha_m(t)\right)$ for $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_m \in \mathrm{Hom}(\mathbb{C}^n; \mathbb{C}^*)$ characters of $\mathbb{C}^n$. Then, with respect to the basis $\left\{X_1, \ldots, X_n, \bar{X}_1, \ldots, \bar{X}_n, \alpha_1 Y_1, \ldots, \alpha_m Y_m, \bar{\alpha}_1 \bar{Y}_1, \ldots, \bar{\alpha}_m \bar{Y}_m\right\}$ of $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}} = \mathfrak{g}^{1,0} \oplus \mathfrak{g}^{0,1}$, we have, for $(t, n) \in G = \mathbb{C}^n \ltimes_{\phi} N$,

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathrm{Ad}_{\mathrm{s}})_{(t,n)} \;&=\; \left(\begin{array}{c|c} \mathrm{id}_{(\mathbb{C}^n)^{1,0} \oplus (\mathbb{C}^n)^{0,1}} & 0 \\ \hline 0 & \phi_* \lfloor_{\mathfrak{n}^{1,0} \oplus \mathfrak{n}^{0,1}} (t) \end{array}\right) \\ &=\; \mathrm{diag}\left(\underbrace{1, \ldots, 1}_{2n \text{ times}}, \alpha_1(t), \ldots, \alpha_m(t), \bar{\alpha}_1(t), \ldots, \bar{\alpha}_m(t) \right) \;. \end{aligned}$$

Hence we have $J \circ (\mathrm{Ad}_{\mathrm{s}})_{(t,n)} = (\mathrm{Ad}_{\mathrm{s}})_{(t,n)} \circ J$, and we can easily see that $A_{\Gamma}^{\bullet,\bullet} \subseteq C_{\Gamma}^{\bullet,\bullet} \subseteq \wedge^{\bullet,\bullet} \Gamma\backslash G$. Since the composition

$$\frac{\ker \mathrm{d}\lfloor_{A_{\Gamma}^{p,q}}}{\mathrm{d}\left(A_{\Gamma}^{p+q-1}\right)} \to \frac{\ker \mathrm{d}\lfloor_{C^{p,q}}}{\mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{Tot}^{p+q-1} C_{\Gamma}^{\bullet,\bullet}\right)} \to \frac{\ker \mathrm{d}\lfloor_{\wedge^{p,q} \Gamma\backslash G}}{\mathrm{d}\left(\wedge^{p-q-1} \Gamma\backslash G \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}\right)}$$

is an isomorphism, then *(iii)* of the corollary follows. □

Finally we get the following theorem.

Theorem 2.16. *Let $\Gamma\backslash G$ be a solvmanifold endowed with a G-left-invariant complex structure J as in Assumption 2.11. Consider $C_{\Gamma}^{\bullet,\bullet}$ as in* (5)*. For any $(p, q) \in \mathbb{Z}^2$, the inclusion $C_{\Gamma}^{\bullet,\bullet} \subseteq \wedge^{\bullet,\bullet} \Gamma\backslash G$ induces the isomorphism*

$$H\left(C_{\Gamma}^{p-1,q-1} \overset{\partial\bar{\partial}}{\to} C_{\Gamma}^{p,q} \overset{\partial+\bar{\partial}}{\to} C_{\Gamma}^{p+1,q} \oplus C_{\Gamma}^{p,q+1} \right) \overset{\simeq}{\to} H_{BC}^{p,q}\left(\Gamma\backslash G\right) \;.$$

Proof. By Corollary 2.15, the surjectivity follows from Theorem 1.3. The injectivity follows from Proposition 2.2. □

Example 2.17 (The completely-solvable Nakamura manifold, [51, Example 1])**.** The completely-solvable Nakamura manifold, firstly studied by I. Nakamura in [68, page 90], is an example of a cohomologically Kähler non-Kähler solvmanifold, [33], [41, Example 3.1], [34, §3].

Let $G := \mathbb{C} \ltimes_{\phi} \mathbb{C}^2$, where

$$\phi\left(x + \sqrt{-1}\, y\right) \;:=\; \left(\begin{array}{cc} \mathrm{e}^{x} & 0 \\ 0 & \mathrm{e}^{-x} \end{array}\right) \in \mathrm{GL}\left(\mathbb{C}^2\right) \;.$$

Then for some $a \in \mathbb{R}$ the matrix $\left(\begin{array}{cc} \mathrm{e}^{x} & 0 \\ 0 & \mathrm{e}^{-x} \end{array}\right)$ is conjugate to an element of $\mathrm{SL}(2; \mathbb{Z})$. We have a lattice $\Gamma := \left(a\,\mathbb{Z} + b\,\sqrt{-1}\,\mathbb{Z}\right) \ltimes_{\phi} \Gamma''$ such that Γ'' is a lattice of $\mathbb{C}^2$. Consider the completely-solvable solvmanifold $\Gamma\backslash G$.

(As a matter of notation, we consider holomorphic coordinates $\{z_1, z_2, z_3\}$, where $\left\{z_1 := x + \sqrt{-1}\, y\right\}$ is the holomorphic coordinate on $\mathbb{C}$, and we shorten, for example, $\mathrm{e}^{-z_1}\, \mathrm{d}\, z_{12\bar{1}} := \mathrm{e}^{-z_1}\, \mathrm{d}\, z_1 \wedge \mathrm{d}\, z_2 \wedge \mathrm{d}\, \bar{z}_1$.)

By A. Hattori's theorem, [48, Corollary 4.2], the de Rham cohomology of $\Gamma\backslash G$ does not depend on Γ and can be computed using just G-left-invariant forms on $\Gamma\backslash G$; more precisely, one gets

$$\begin{aligned}
H^0_{dR}(\Gamma\backslash G\,;\mathbb{R}) &= \mathbb{R}\left\langle 1\right\rangle \;, \\
H^1_{dR}(\Gamma\backslash G\,;\mathbb{R}) &= \mathbb{R}\left\langle \mathrm{d}\,z_1,\; \mathrm{d}\,\bar{z}_1\right\rangle \;, \\
H^2_{dR}(\Gamma\backslash G\,;\mathbb{R}) &= \mathbb{R}\left\langle \mathrm{d}\,z_{23},\; \mathrm{d}\,z_{1\bar{1}},\; \mathrm{d}\,z_{2\bar{3}},\; \mathrm{d}\,z_{3\bar{2}},\; \mathrm{d}\,z_{\bar{2}\bar{3}}\right\rangle \;, \\
H^3_{dR}(\Gamma\backslash G\,;\mathbb{R}) &= \mathbb{R}\left\langle \mathrm{d}\,z_{123},\; \mathrm{d}\,z_{23\bar{1}},\; \mathrm{d}\,z_{12\bar{3}},\; \mathrm{d}\,z_{13\bar{2}},\; \mathrm{d}\,z_{1\bar{2}\bar{3}},\; \mathrm{d}\,z_{2\bar{1}\bar{3}},\; \mathrm{d}\,z_{3\bar{1}\bar{2}},\; \mathrm{d}\,z_{\bar{1}\bar{2}\bar{3}}\right\rangle \;, \\
H^4_{dR}(\Gamma\backslash G\,;\mathbb{R}) &= \mathbb{R}\left\langle \mathrm{d}\,z_{123\bar{1}},\; \mathrm{d}\,z_{12\bar{1}\bar{3}},\; \mathrm{d}\,z_{23\bar{2}\bar{3}},\; \mathrm{d}\,z_{13\bar{1}\bar{2}},\; \mathrm{d}\,z_{1\bar{1}\bar{2}\bar{3}}\right\rangle \;, \\
H^5_{dR}(\Gamma\backslash G\,;\mathbb{R}) &= \mathbb{R}\left\langle \mathrm{d}\,z_{123\bar{2}\bar{3}},\; \mathrm{d}\,z_{23\bar{1}\bar{2}\bar{3}}\right\rangle \;, \\
H^6_{dR}(\Gamma\backslash G\,;\mathbb{R}) &= \mathbb{R}\left\langle \mathrm{d}\,z_{123\bar{1}\bar{2}\bar{3}}\right\rangle \;,
\end{aligned}$$

where we have listed the harmonic representatives with respect to the G-left-invariant Hermitian metric $g := \mathrm{d}\,z_1 \odot \mathrm{d}\,\bar{z}_1 + \mathrm{e}^{-z_1-\bar{z}_1}\,\mathrm{d}\,z_2 \odot \mathrm{d}\,\bar{z}_2 + \mathrm{e}^{z_1+\bar{z}_1}\,\mathrm{d}\,z_3 \odot \mathrm{d}\,\bar{z}_3$ instead of their cohomology classes.

We consider $C^{\bullet,\bullet}_{\Gamma}$ as in (5). The bi-differential bi-graded algebra $B^{\bullet,\bullet}_{\Gamma}$ varies for a choice of b. By using Theorem 2.16, we compute $H^{\bullet,\bullet}_{BC}(\Gamma\backslash G) \simeq H^{\bullet,\bullet}_{BC}(C^{\bullet,\bullet}_{\Gamma})$, case by case:

(i) $b = 2m\pi$ for some integer $m \in \mathbb{Z}$;

(ii) $b = (2m+1)\pi$ for some integer $m \in \mathbb{Z}$;

(iii) $b \neq m\pi$ for any integer $m \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Firstly, we write down $C^{\bullet,\bullet}_{\Gamma}$ case by case in Table 1, Table 2, and Table 3.

case *(i)*	$C^{\bullet,\bullet}_{\Gamma}$
$(\mathbf{0},\mathbf{0})$	$\mathbb{C}\left\langle 1\right\rangle$
$(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{0})$	$\mathbb{C}\left\langle \mathrm{d}\,z_1,\; \mathrm{e}^{-z_1}\,\mathrm{d}\,z_2,\; \mathrm{e}^{z_1}\,\mathrm{d}\,z_3,\; \mathrm{e}^{-\bar{z}_1}\,\mathrm{d}\,z_2,\; \mathrm{e}^{\bar{z}_1}\,\mathrm{d}\,z_3\right\rangle$
$(\mathbf{0},\mathbf{1})$	$\mathbb{C}\left\langle \mathrm{d}\,z_{\bar{1}},\; \mathrm{e}^{-z_1}\,\mathrm{d}\,z_{\bar{2}},\; \mathrm{e}^{z_1}\,\mathrm{d}\,z_{\bar{3}},\; \mathrm{e}^{-\bar{z}_1}\,\mathrm{d}\,z_{\bar{2}},\; \mathrm{e}^{\bar{z}_1}\,\mathrm{d}\,z_{\bar{3}}\right\rangle$
$(\mathbf{2},\mathbf{0})$	$\mathbb{C}\left\langle \mathrm{e}^{-z_1}\,\mathrm{d}\,z_{12},\; \mathrm{e}^{z_1}\,\mathrm{d}\,z_{13},\; \mathrm{d}\,z_{23},\; \mathrm{e}^{-\bar{z}_1}\,\mathrm{d}\,z_{12},\; \mathrm{e}^{\bar{z}_1}\,\mathrm{d}\,z_{13}\right\rangle$
$(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})$	$\mathbb{C}\left\langle \mathrm{d}\,z_{1\bar{1}},\; \mathrm{e}^{-z_1}\,\mathrm{d}\,z_{1\bar{2}},\; \mathrm{e}^{z_1}\,\mathrm{d}\,z_{1\bar{3}},\; \mathrm{e}^{-z_1}\,\mathrm{d}\,z_{2\bar{1}},\; \mathrm{e}^{-2z_1}\,\mathrm{d}\,z_{2\bar{2}},\; \mathrm{d}\,z_{2\bar{3}},\; \mathrm{e}^{z_1}\,\mathrm{d}\,z_{3\bar{1}},\; \mathrm{d}\,z_{3\bar{2}},\; \mathrm{e}^{2z_1}\,\mathrm{d}\,z_{3\bar{3}},\right.$ $\left. \mathrm{e}^{-\bar{z}_1}\,\mathrm{d}\,z_{2\bar{1}},\; \mathrm{e}^{-\bar{z}_1}\,\mathrm{d}\,z_{1\bar{2}},\; \mathrm{e}^{\bar{z}_1}\,\mathrm{d}\,z_{1\bar{3}},\; \mathrm{e}^{\bar{z}_1}\,\mathrm{d}\,z_{3\bar{1}},\; \mathrm{e}^{-2\bar{z}_1}\,\mathrm{d}\,z_{2\bar{2}},\; \mathrm{e}^{2\bar{z}_1}\,\mathrm{d}\,z_{3\bar{3}}\right\rangle$
$(\mathbf{0},\mathbf{2})$	$\mathbb{C}\left\langle \mathrm{e}^{-z_1}\,\mathrm{d}\,z_{\bar{1}\bar{2}},\; \mathrm{e}^{z_1}\,\mathrm{d}\,z_{\bar{1}\bar{3}},\; \mathrm{d}\,z_{\bar{2}\bar{3}},\; \mathrm{e}^{-\bar{z}_1}\,\mathrm{d}\,z_{\bar{1}\bar{2}},\; \mathrm{e}^{\bar{z}_1}\,\mathrm{d}\,z_{\bar{1}\bar{3}}\right\rangle$
$(\mathbf{3},\mathbf{0})$	$\mathbb{C}\left\langle \mathrm{d}\,z_{123}\right\rangle$
$(\mathbf{2},\mathbf{1})$	$\mathbb{C}\left\langle \mathrm{e}^{-z_1}\,\mathrm{d}\,z_{12\bar{1}},\; \mathrm{e}^{-2z_1}\,\mathrm{d}\,z_{12\bar{2}},\; \mathrm{d}\,z_{12\bar{3}},\; \mathrm{e}^{z_1}\,\mathrm{d}\,z_{13\bar{1}},\; \mathrm{d}\,z_{13\bar{2}},\; \mathrm{e}^{2z_1}\,\mathrm{d}\,z_{13\bar{3}},\; \mathrm{d}\,z_{23\bar{1}},\; \mathrm{e}^{-z_1}\,\mathrm{d}\,z_{23\bar{2}},\; \mathrm{e}^{z_1}\,\mathrm{d}\,z_{23\bar{3}},\right.$ $\left. \mathrm{e}^{-\bar{z}_1}\,\mathrm{d}\,z_{12\bar{1}},\; \mathrm{e}^{\bar{z}_1}\,\mathrm{d}\,z_{13\bar{1}},\; \mathrm{e}^{-2\bar{z}_1}\,\mathrm{d}\,z_{12\bar{2}},\; \mathrm{e}^{-\bar{z}_1}\,\mathrm{d}\,z_{23\bar{2}},\; \mathrm{e}^{2\bar{z}_1}\,\mathrm{d}\,z_{13\bar{3}},\; \mathrm{e}^{\bar{z}_1}\,\mathrm{d}\,z_{23\bar{3}}\right\rangle$
$(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})$	$\mathbb{C}\left\langle \mathrm{e}^{-\bar{z}_1}\,\mathrm{d}\,z_{1\bar{1}\bar{2}},\; \mathrm{e}^{-2\bar{z}_1}\,\mathrm{d}\,z_{2\bar{1}\bar{2}},\; \mathrm{d}\,z_{3\bar{1}\bar{2}},\; \mathrm{e}^{\bar{z}_1}\,\mathrm{d}\,z_{1\bar{1}\bar{3}},\; \mathrm{d}\,z_{2\bar{1}\bar{3}},\; \mathrm{e}^{2\bar{z}_1}\,\mathrm{d}\,z_{3\bar{1}\bar{3}},\; \mathrm{d}\,z_{1\bar{2}\bar{3}},\; \mathrm{e}^{-\bar{z}_1}\,\mathrm{d}\,z_{2\bar{2}\bar{3}},\; \mathrm{e}^{\bar{z}_1}\,\mathrm{d}\,z_{3\bar{2}\bar{3}},\right.$ $\left. \mathrm{e}^{-z_1}\,\mathrm{d}\,z_{1\bar{1}\bar{2}},\; \mathrm{e}^{z_1}\,\mathrm{d}\,z_{1\bar{1}\bar{3}},\; \mathrm{e}^{-2z_1}\,\mathrm{d}\,z_{2\bar{1}\bar{2}},\; \mathrm{e}^{-z_1}\,\mathrm{d}\,z_{2\bar{2}\bar{3}},\; \mathrm{e}^{2z_1}\,\mathrm{d}\,z_{3\bar{1}\bar{3}},\; \mathrm{e}^{z_1}\,\mathrm{d}\,z_{3\bar{2}\bar{3}}\right\rangle$
$(\mathbf{0},\mathbf{3})$	$\mathbb{C}\left\langle \mathrm{d}\,z_{\bar{1}\bar{2}\bar{3}}\right\rangle$
$(\mathbf{3},\mathbf{1})$	$\mathbb{C}\left\langle \mathrm{d}\,z_{123\bar{1}},\; \mathrm{e}^{-z_1}\,\mathrm{d}\,z_{123\bar{2}},\; \mathrm{e}^{z_1}\,\mathrm{d}\,z_{123\bar{3}},\; \mathrm{e}^{-\bar{z}_1}\,\mathrm{d}\,z_{123\bar{2}},\; \mathrm{e}^{\bar{z}_1}\,\mathrm{d}\,z_{123\bar{3}}\right\rangle$
$(\mathbf{2},\mathbf{2})$	$\mathbb{C}\left\langle \mathrm{e}^{-2z_1}\,\mathrm{d}\,z_{12\bar{1}\bar{2}},\; \mathrm{d}\,z_{12\bar{1}\bar{3}},\; \mathrm{e}^{-z_1}\,\mathrm{d}\,z_{12\bar{2}\bar{3}},\; \mathrm{d}\,z_{13\bar{1}\bar{2}},\; \mathrm{e}^{2z_1}\,\mathrm{d}\,z_{13\bar{1}\bar{3}},\; \mathrm{e}^{z_1}\,\mathrm{d}\,z_{13\bar{2}\bar{3}},\; \mathrm{e}^{-z_1}\,\mathrm{d}\,z_{23\bar{1}\bar{2}},\; \mathrm{e}^{z_1}\,\mathrm{d}\,z_{23\bar{1}\bar{3}},\right.$ $\left. \mathrm{d}\,z_{23\bar{2}\bar{3}},\; \mathrm{e}^{-2\bar{z}_1}\,\mathrm{d}\,z_{12\bar{1}\bar{2}},\; \mathrm{e}^{-\bar{z}_1}\,\mathrm{d}\,z_{23\bar{1}\bar{2}},\; \mathrm{e}^{-\bar{z}_1}\,\mathrm{d}\,z_{12\bar{2}\bar{3}},\; \mathrm{e}^{\bar{z}_1}\,\mathrm{d}\,z_{13\bar{2}\bar{3}},\; \mathrm{e}^{2\bar{z}_1}\,\mathrm{d}\,z_{13\bar{1}\bar{3}},\; \mathrm{e}^{\bar{z}_1}\,\mathrm{d}\,z_{23\bar{1}\bar{3}}\right\rangle$
$(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{3})$	$\mathbb{C}\left\langle \mathrm{d}\,z_{1\bar{1}\bar{2}\bar{3}},\; \mathrm{e}^{-\bar{z}_1}\,\mathrm{d}\,z_{2\bar{1}\bar{2}\bar{3}},\; \mathrm{e}^{\bar{z}_1}\,\mathrm{d}\,z_{3\bar{1}\bar{2}\bar{3}},\; \mathrm{e}^{-z_1}\,\mathrm{d}\,z_{2\bar{1}\bar{2}\bar{3}},\; \mathrm{e}^{z_1}\,\mathrm{d}\,z_{3\bar{1}\bar{2}\bar{3}}\right\rangle$
$(\mathbf{3},\mathbf{2})$	$\mathbb{C}\left\langle \mathrm{e}^{-z_1}\,\mathrm{d}\,z_{123\bar{1}\bar{2}},\; \mathrm{e}^{z_1}\,\mathrm{d}\,z_{123\bar{1}\bar{3}},\; \mathrm{d}\,z_{123\bar{2}\bar{3}},\; \mathrm{e}^{-\bar{z}_1}\,\mathrm{d}\,z_{123\bar{1}\bar{2}},\; \mathrm{e}^{\bar{z}_1}\,\mathrm{d}\,z_{123\bar{1}\bar{3}}\right\rangle$
$(\mathbf{2},\mathbf{3})$	$\mathbb{C}\left\langle \mathrm{e}^{-z_1}\,\mathrm{d}\,z_{12\bar{1}\bar{2}\bar{3}},\; \mathrm{e}^{z_1}\,\mathrm{d}\,z_{13\bar{1}\bar{2}\bar{3}},\; \mathrm{d}\,z_{23\bar{1}\bar{2}\bar{3}},\; \mathrm{e}^{-\bar{z}_1}\,\mathrm{d}\,z_{12\bar{1}\bar{2}\bar{3}},\; \mathrm{e}^{\bar{z}_1}\,\mathrm{d}\,z_{13\bar{1}\bar{2}\bar{3}}\right\rangle$
$(\mathbf{3},\mathbf{3})$	$\mathbb{C}\left\langle \mathrm{d}\,z_{123\bar{1}\bar{2}\bar{3}}\right\rangle$

TABLE 1. The bi-differential bi-graded algebra $C^{\bullet,\bullet}_{\Gamma}$ for the completely-solvable Nakamura manifold in case *(i)*.

case *(ii)*	$C_\Gamma^{\bullet,\bullet}$
$(\mathbf{0},\mathbf{0})$	$\mathbb{C}\left\langle 1 \right\rangle$
$(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{0})$	$\mathbb{C}\left\langle \mathrm{d}\, z_{1} \right\rangle$
$(\mathbf{0},\mathbf{1})$	$\mathbb{C}\left\langle \mathrm{d}\, z_{\bar{1}} \right\rangle$
$(\mathbf{2},\mathbf{0})$	$\mathbb{C}\left\langle \mathrm{d}\, z_{23} \right\rangle$
$(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})$	$\mathbb{C}\left\langle \mathrm{d}\, z_{1\bar{1}},\ \mathrm{e}^{-2z_1}\,\mathrm{d}\, z_{2\bar{2}},\ \mathrm{e}^{-2\bar{z}_1}\,\mathrm{d}\, z_{2\bar{2}},\ \mathrm{e}^{2z_1}\,\mathrm{d}\, z_{3\bar{3}},\ \mathrm{e}^{2\bar{z}_1}\,\mathrm{d}\, z_{3\bar{3}},\ \mathrm{d}\, z_{2\bar{3}},\ \mathrm{d}\, z_{3\bar{2}} \right\rangle$
$(\mathbf{0},\mathbf{2})$	$\mathbb{C}\left\langle \mathrm{d}\, z_{\bar{2}\bar{3}} \right\rangle$
$(\mathbf{3},\mathbf{0})$	$\mathbb{C}\left\langle \mathrm{d}\, z_{123} \right\rangle$
$(\mathbf{2},\mathbf{1})$	$\mathbb{C}\left\langle \mathrm{d}\, z_{23\bar{1}},\ \mathrm{e}^{-2z_1}\,\mathrm{d}\, z_{12\bar{2}},\ \mathrm{e}^{-2\bar{z}_1}\,\mathrm{d}\, z_{12\bar{2}},\ \mathrm{e}^{2z_1}\,\mathrm{d}\, z_{13\bar{3}},\ \mathrm{e}^{2\bar{z}_1}\,\mathrm{d}\, z_{13\bar{3}},\ \mathrm{d}\, z_{12\bar{3}},\ \mathrm{d}\, z_{13\bar{2}} \right\rangle$
$(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})$	$\mathbb{C}\left\langle \mathrm{d}\, z_{1\bar{2}\bar{3}},\ \mathrm{e}^{-2z_1}\,\mathrm{d}\, z_{2\bar{1}\bar{2}},\ \mathrm{e}^{-2\bar{z}_1}\,\mathrm{d}\, z_{2\bar{1}\bar{2}},\ \mathrm{e}^{2z_1}\,\mathrm{d}\, z_{3\bar{1}\bar{3}},\ \mathrm{e}^{2\bar{z}_1}\,\mathrm{d}\, z_{3\bar{1}\bar{3}},\ \mathrm{d}\, z_{2\bar{1}\bar{3}},\ \mathrm{d}\, z_{3\bar{1}\bar{2}} \right\rangle$
$(\mathbf{0},\mathbf{3})$	$\mathbb{C}\left\langle \mathrm{d}\, z_{\bar{1}\bar{2}\bar{3}} \right\rangle$
$(\mathbf{3},\mathbf{1})$	$\mathbb{C}\left\langle \mathrm{d}\, z_{123\bar{1}} \right\rangle$
$(\mathbf{2},\mathbf{2})$	$\mathbb{C}\left\langle \mathrm{d}\, z_{12\bar{1}\bar{3}},\ \mathrm{e}^{-2z_1}\,\mathrm{d}\, z_{12\bar{1}\bar{2}},\ \mathrm{e}^{-2\bar{z}_1}\,\mathrm{d}\, z_{12\bar{1}\bar{2}},\ \mathrm{e}^{2z_1}\,\mathrm{d}\, z_{13\bar{1}\bar{3}},\ \mathrm{e}^{2\bar{z}_1}\,\mathrm{d}\, z_{13\bar{1}\bar{3}},\ \mathrm{d}\, z_{23\bar{2}\bar{3}},\ \mathrm{d}\, z_{13\bar{1}\bar{2}} \right\rangle$
$(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{3})$	$\mathbb{C}\left\langle \mathrm{d}\, z_{1\bar{1}\bar{2}\bar{3}} \right\rangle$
$(\mathbf{3},\mathbf{2})$	$\mathbb{C}\left\langle \mathrm{d}\, z_{123\bar{2}\bar{3}} \right\rangle$
$(\mathbf{2},\mathbf{3})$	$\mathbb{C}\left\langle \mathrm{d}\, z_{23\bar{1}\bar{2}\bar{3}} \right\rangle$
$(\mathbf{3},\mathbf{3})$	$\mathbb{C}\left\langle \mathrm{d}\, z_{123\bar{1}\bar{2}\bar{3}} \right\rangle$

TABLE 2. The bi-differential bi-graded algebra $C_\Gamma^{\bullet,\bullet}$ for the completely-solvable Nakamura manifold in case *(ii)*.

Note that, since $\partial\overline{\partial}\left(C_\Gamma^{\bullet,\bullet}\right) = \{0\}$ for each case, we have, by using Theorem 2.16,

$$H^{\bullet,\bullet}_{BC}(\Gamma\backslash G) \;\simeq\; H^{\bullet,\bullet}_{BC}\left(C_\Gamma^{\bullet,\bullet}\right) \;=\; \ker \mathrm{d}\lfloor_{C_\Gamma^{\bullet,\bullet}} .$$

Hence, we compute the Bott-Chern cohomology of the Nakamura manifold case by case in Table 4 and Table 5; note that, in the case *(iii)*, simply we have:

$$H^{\bullet,\bullet}_{BC}\left(\Gamma\backslash G\right) \simeq C_\Gamma^{\bullet,\bullet} \qquad \text{in case } \textit{(iii)}\,. \tag{6}$$

We summarize in Table 6 the results of the computations of the Bott-Chern cohomology as done in Table 4 and Table 5 and (6), and of the Dolbeault cohomology, as done in [51, Example 1].

Remark 2.18. Note that in any case the canonical map $\mathrm{Tot}^\bullet H^{\bullet,\bullet}_{BC}\left(\Gamma\backslash G\right) \to H^\bullet_{dR}\left(\Gamma\backslash G\right)$ is surjective. (With the notation of [61, 7], this means that, in any case, $\Gamma\backslash G$ is *complex-$\mathcal{C}^\infty$-pure-and-full at every stage*, namely, the de Rham cohomology admits a decomposition in pure-type subgroups with respect to the complex structure.) In the case *(iii)*, by Proposition 1.1, we have $H^\bullet_{dR}\left(\Gamma\backslash G\right) \simeq H^\bullet\left(\mathrm{Tot}^\bullet C_\Gamma^{\bullet,\bullet}\right) = \mathrm{Tot}^\bullet C_\Gamma^{\bullet,\bullet}$ and hence the canonical map $\mathrm{Tot}^\bullet H^{\bullet,\bullet}_{BC}\left(\Gamma\backslash G\right) \to H^\bullet_{dR}\left(\Gamma\backslash G\right)$ induced by the identity is in fact an isomorphism: this implies that $\Gamma\backslash G$ in case *(iii)* satisfies the $\partial\bar{\partial}$-Lemma (namely, every ∂-closed $\overline{\partial}$-closed d-exact form is $\partial\overline{\partial}$-exact too, see [36]). In [51], it is shown that for some left-invariant Hermitian metric the space of harmonic forms admits the Hodge decomposition and symmetry (see also [52] for higher dimensional examples with the Hodge decomposition and symmetry).

Remark 2.19. In view of [8, Theorem A, Theorem B], stating that, for every compact complex manifold X, for any $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, the inequality

$$\sum_{p+q=k}\left(\dim_{\mathbb{C}} H^{p,q}_{BC}(X) + \dim_{\mathbb{C}} H^{p,q}_{A}(X)\right) \;\geq\; \sum_{p+q=k}\left(\dim_{\mathbb{C}} H^{p,q}_{\partial}(X) + \dim_{\mathbb{C}} H^{p,q}_{\overline{\partial}}(X)\right) \;\geq\; 2\,\dim_{\mathbb{C}} H^{k}_{dR}(X;\mathbb{C})$$

case *(iii)*	$C_\Gamma^{\bullet,\bullet}$
$(\mathbf{0},\mathbf{0})$	$\mathbb{C}\left\langle 1 \right\rangle$
$(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{0})$	$\mathbb{C}\left\langle \mathrm{d}\, z_{1} \right\rangle$
$(\mathbf{0},\mathbf{1})$	$\mathbb{C}\left\langle \mathrm{d}\, z_{\bar{1}} \right\rangle$
$(\mathbf{2},\mathbf{0})$	$\mathbb{C}\left\langle \mathrm{d}\, z_{23} \right\rangle$
$(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})$	$\mathbb{C}\left\langle \mathrm{d}\, z_{1\bar{1}},\; \mathrm{d}\, z_{2\bar{3}},\; \mathrm{d}\, z_{3\bar{2}} \right\rangle$
$(\mathbf{0},\mathbf{2})$	$\mathbb{C}\left\langle \mathrm{d}\, z_{\bar{2}\bar{3}} \right\rangle$
$(\mathbf{3},\mathbf{0})$	$\mathbb{C}\left\langle \mathrm{d}\, z_{123} \right\rangle$
$(\mathbf{2},\mathbf{1})$	$\mathbb{C}\left\langle \mathrm{d}\, z_{23\bar{1}},\; \mathrm{d}\, z_{12\bar{3}},\; \mathrm{d}\, z_{13\bar{2}} \right\rangle$
$(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})$	$\mathbb{C}\left\langle \mathrm{d}\, z_{1\bar{2}\bar{3}},\; \mathrm{d}\, z_{2\bar{1}\bar{3}},\; \mathrm{d}\, z_{3\bar{1}\bar{2}} \right\rangle$
$(\mathbf{0},\mathbf{3})$	$\mathbb{C}\left\langle \mathrm{d}\, z_{\bar{1}\bar{2}\bar{3}} \right\rangle$
$(\mathbf{3},\mathbf{1})$	$\mathbb{C}\left\langle \mathrm{d}\, z_{123\bar{1}} \right\rangle$
$(\mathbf{2},\mathbf{2})$	$\mathbb{C}\left\langle \mathrm{d}\, z_{12\bar{1}\bar{3}},\; \mathrm{d}\, z_{23\bar{2}\bar{3}},\; \mathrm{d}\, z_{13\bar{1}\bar{2}} \right\rangle$
$(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{3})$	$\mathbb{C}\left\langle \mathrm{d}\, z_{1\bar{1}\bar{2}\bar{3}} \right\rangle$
$(\mathbf{3},\mathbf{2})$	$\mathbb{C}\left\langle \mathrm{d}\, z_{123\bar{2}\bar{3}} \right\rangle$
$(\mathbf{2},\mathbf{3})$	$\mathbb{C}\left\langle \mathrm{d}\, z_{23\bar{1}\bar{2}\bar{3}} \right\rangle$
$(\mathbf{3},\mathbf{3})$	$\mathbb{C}\left\langle \mathrm{d}\, z_{123\bar{1}\bar{2}\bar{3}} \right\rangle$

Table 3. The bi-differential bi-graded algebra $C_\Gamma^{\bullet,\bullet}$ for the completely-solvable Nakamura manifold in case *(iii)*.

holds, and that equalities hold for any $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ if and only if X satisfies the $\partial\overline{\partial}$-Lemma, one gets that the non-negative integer numbers $\sum_{p+q=k}\left(\dim_{\mathbb{C}} H^{p,q}_{BC}(X) + \dim_{\mathbb{C}} H^{p,q}_{A}(X)\right) - 2\,\dim_{\mathbb{C}} H^{k}_{dR}(X;\mathbb{C}) \in \mathbb{N}$, varying $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, provide a "measure" of the non-Kählerianity of X.

Note that, for the completely-solvable Nakamura manifold, in any case, one has

$$\dim_{\mathbb{C}} H^{p,q}_{BC}(X) + \dim_{\mathbb{C}} H^{p,q}_{A}(X) \;=\; \dim_{\mathbb{C}} H^{p,q}_{\partial}(X) + \dim_{\mathbb{C}} H^{p,q}_{\overline{\partial}}(X)$$

for any $(p,q) \in \mathbb{Z}^2$. On the other hand,

$$\sum_{p+q=k}\left(\dim_{\mathbb{C}} H^{p,q}_{BC}(X) + \dim_{\mathbb{C}} H^{p,q}_{A}(X)\right) - 2\,\dim_{\mathbb{C}} H^{k}_{dR}(X;\mathbb{C}) \;=\; \begin{cases} 8 & \text{for } k \in \{1,\,5\} \\ 20 & \text{for } k \in \{2,\,4\} \\ 24 & \text{for } k = 3 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \qquad \text{in case } \textit{(i)}\,,$$

and

$$\sum_{p+q=k}\left(\dim_{\mathbb{C}} H^{p,q}_{BC}(X) + \dim_{\mathbb{C}} H^{p,q}_{A}(X)\right) - 2\,\dim_{\mathbb{C}} H^{k}_{dR}(X;\mathbb{C}) \;=\; \begin{cases} 0 & \text{for } k \in \{1,\,5\} \\ 4 & \text{for } k \in \{2,\,4\} \\ 8 & \text{for } k = 3 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \qquad \text{in case } \textit{(ii)}\,,$$

and

$$\sum_{p+q=k}\left(\dim_{\mathbb{C}} H^{p,q}_{BC}(X) + \dim_{\mathbb{C}} H^{p,q}_{A}(X)\right) - 2\,\dim_{\mathbb{C}} H^{k}_{dR}(X;\mathbb{C}) \;=\; \begin{cases} 0 & \text{for } k \in \{1,\,5\} \\ 0 & \text{for } k \in \{2,\,4\} \\ 0 & \text{for } k = 3 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \qquad \text{in case } \textit{(iii)}\,.$$

In particular, by [8, Theorem B], one gets that $\Gamma\backslash G$ in case *(iii)* satisfies the $\partial\overline{\partial}$-Lemma, as noticed also in Remark 2.18.

case *(i)*	$H^{\bullet,\bullet}_{BC}(\Gamma\backslash G)$
$(\mathbf{0},\mathbf{0})$	$\mathbb{C}\left\langle 1 \right\rangle$
$(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{0})$	$\mathbb{C}\left\langle [\mathrm{d}\, z_{1}] \right\rangle$
$(\mathbf{0},\mathbf{1})$	$\mathbb{C}\left\langle [\mathrm{d}\, z_{\bar{1}}] \right\rangle$
$(\mathbf{2},\mathbf{0})$	$\mathbb{C}\left\langle [\mathrm{e}^{-z_1}\,\mathrm{d}\, z_{12}],\ [\mathrm{e}^{z_1}\,\mathrm{d}\, z_{13}],\ [\mathrm{d}\, z_{23}] \right\rangle$
$(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})$	$\mathbb{C}\left\langle [\mathrm{d}\, z_{1\bar{1}}],\ [\mathrm{e}^{-z_1}\,\mathrm{d}\, z_{1\bar{2}}],\ [\mathrm{e}^{z_1}\,\mathrm{d}\, z_{1\bar{3}}],\ [\mathrm{d}\, z_{2\bar{3}}],\ [\mathrm{d}\, z_{3\bar{2}}],\ [\mathrm{e}^{-\bar{z}_1}\,\mathrm{d}\, z_{2\bar{1}}],\ [\mathrm{e}^{\bar{z}_1}\,\mathrm{d}\, z_{3\bar{1}}] \right\rangle$
$(\mathbf{0},\mathbf{2})$	$\mathbb{C}\left\langle [\mathrm{d}\, z_{\bar{2}\bar{3}}],\ [\mathrm{e}^{-\bar{z}_1}\,\mathrm{d}\, z_{\bar{1}\bar{2}}],\ [\mathrm{e}^{\bar{z}_1}\,\mathrm{d}\, z_{\bar{1}\bar{3}}] \right\rangle$
$(\mathbf{3},\mathbf{0})$	$\mathbb{C}\left\langle [\mathrm{d}\, z_{123}] \right\rangle$
$(\mathbf{2},\mathbf{1})$	$\mathbb{C}\left\langle [\mathrm{e}^{-z_1}\,\mathrm{d}\, z_{12\bar{1}}],\ [\mathrm{e}^{-2z_1}\,\mathrm{d}\, z_{12\bar{2}}],\ [\mathrm{d}\, z_{12\bar{3}}],\ [\mathrm{e}^{z_1}\,\mathrm{d}\, z_{13\bar{1}}],\ [\mathrm{d}\, z_{13\bar{2}}],\ [\mathrm{e}^{2z_1}\,\mathrm{d}\, z_{13\bar{3}}],\ [\mathrm{d}\, z_{23\bar{1}}],\right.$ $\left.[\mathrm{e}^{-\bar{z}_1}\,\mathrm{d}\, z_{12\bar{1}}],\ [\mathrm{e}^{\bar{z}_1}\,\mathrm{d}\, z_{13\bar{1}}] \right\rangle$
$(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})$	$\mathbb{C}\left\langle [\mathrm{e}^{-\bar{z}_1}\,\mathrm{d}\, z_{1\bar{1}\bar{2}}],\ [\mathrm{e}^{-2\bar{z}_1}\,\mathrm{d}\, z_{2\bar{1}\bar{2}}],\ [\mathrm{d}\, z_{3\bar{1}\bar{2}}],\ [\mathrm{e}^{\bar{z}_1}\,\mathrm{d}\, z_{1\bar{1}\bar{3}}],\ [\mathrm{d}\, z_{2\bar{1}\bar{3}}],\ [\mathrm{e}^{2\bar{z}_1}\,\mathrm{d}\, z_{3\bar{1}\bar{3}}],\ [\mathrm{d}\, z_{1\bar{2}\bar{3}}],\right.$ $\left.[\mathrm{e}^{-z_1}\,\mathrm{d}\, z_{1\bar{1}\bar{2}}],\ [\mathrm{e}^{z_1}\,\mathrm{d}\, z_{1\bar{1}\bar{3}}] \right\rangle$
$(\mathbf{0},\mathbf{3})$	$\mathbb{C}\left\langle [\mathrm{d}\, z_{\bar{1}\bar{2}\bar{3}}] \right\rangle$
$(\mathbf{3},\mathbf{1})$	$\mathbb{C}\left\langle [\mathrm{d}\, z_{123\bar{1}}],\ [\mathrm{e}^{-z_1}\,\mathrm{d}\, z_{123\bar{2}}],\ [\mathrm{e}^{z_1}\,\mathrm{d}\, z_{123\bar{3}}] \right\rangle$
$(\mathbf{2},\mathbf{2})$	$\mathbb{C}\left\langle [\mathrm{e}^{-2z_1}\,\mathrm{d}\, z_{12\bar{1}\bar{2}}],\ [\mathrm{d}\, z_{12\bar{1}\bar{3}}],\ [\mathrm{e}^{-z_1}\,\mathrm{d}\, z_{12\bar{2}\bar{3}}],\ [\mathrm{d}\, z_{13\bar{1}\bar{2}}],\ [\mathrm{e}^{2z_1}\,\mathrm{d}\, z_{13\bar{1}\bar{3}}],\ [\mathrm{e}^{z_1}\,\mathrm{d}\, z_{13\bar{2}\bar{3}}],\ [\mathrm{d}\, z_{23\bar{2}\bar{3}}],\right.$ $\left.[\mathrm{e}^{-2\bar{z}_1}\,\mathrm{d}\, z_{12\bar{1}\bar{2}}],\ [\mathrm{e}^{-\bar{z}_1}\,\mathrm{d}\, z_{23\bar{1}\bar{2}}],\ [\mathrm{e}^{2\bar{z}_1}\,\mathrm{d}\, z_{13\bar{1}\bar{3}}],\ [\mathrm{e}^{\bar{z}_1}\,\mathrm{d}\, z_{23\bar{1}\bar{3}}] \right\rangle$
$(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{3})$	$\mathbb{C}\left\langle [\mathrm{d}\, z_{1\bar{1}\bar{2}\bar{3}}],\ [\mathrm{e}^{-\bar{z}_1}\,\mathrm{d}\, z_{2\bar{1}\bar{2}\bar{3}}],\ [\mathrm{e}^{\bar{z}_1}\,\mathrm{d}\, z_{3\bar{1}\bar{2}\bar{3}}] \right\rangle$
$(\mathbf{3},\mathbf{2})$	$\mathbb{C}\left\langle [\mathrm{e}^{-z_1}\,\mathrm{d}\, z_{123\bar{1}\bar{2}}],\ [\mathrm{e}^{z_1}\,\mathrm{d}\, z_{123\bar{1}\bar{3}}],\ [\mathrm{d}\, z_{123\bar{2}\bar{3}}],\ [\mathrm{e}^{-\bar{z}_1}\,\mathrm{d}\, z_{123\bar{1}\bar{2}}],\ [\mathrm{e}^{\bar{z}_1}\,\mathrm{d}\, z_{123\bar{1}\bar{3}}] \right\rangle$
$(\mathbf{2},\mathbf{3})$	$\mathbb{C}\left\langle [\mathrm{e}^{-z_1}\,\mathrm{d}\, z_{12\bar{1}\bar{2}\bar{3}}],\ [\mathrm{e}^{z_1}\,\mathrm{d}\, z_{13\bar{1}\bar{2}\bar{3}}],\ [\mathrm{d}\, z_{23\bar{1}\bar{2}\bar{3}}],\ [\mathrm{e}^{-\bar{z}_1}\,\mathrm{d}\, z_{12\bar{1}\bar{2}\bar{3}}],\ [\mathrm{e}^{\bar{z}_1}\,\mathrm{d}\, z_{13\bar{1}\bar{2}\bar{3}}] \right\rangle$
$(\mathbf{3},\mathbf{3})$	$\mathbb{C}\left\langle [\mathrm{d}\, z_{123\bar{1}\bar{2}\bar{3}}] \right\rangle$

TABLE 4. The Bott-Chern cohomology of the completely-solvable Nakamura manifold in case *(i)*.

Given a property depending on the complex structure, one says that it is *open under small deformations* (respectively, *open under small deformations*) if, for any complex-analytic families of compact complex manifolds parametrized by $\mathcal{B}$, the set of parameter for which the property holds is open (respectively, closed) in the strong topology of $\mathcal{B}$.

We recall that satisfying $\partial\overline{\partial}$-Lemma is an open property under small deformations, see [83, Proposition 9.21], [86, Theorem 5.12], [78, §B], [8, Corollary 2.7]. On the other hand, as pointed out by L. Ugarte, the completely-solvable Nakamura manifold provide a counterexample to the closedness of the property of satisfying the $\partial\overline{\partial}$-Lemma: indeed, complex structures in class *(iii)* satisfy the $\partial\overline{\partial}$-Lemma while complex structures in classes *(i)* and *(ii)* do not. We have hence the following theorem.

Theorem 2.20. *Satisfying the $\partial\overline{\partial}$-Lemma is not a closed property under small deformations of the complex structure.*

2.4.2. *Complex parallelizable solvmanifolds.* Let G be a connected simply-connected complex solvable Lie group admitting a lattice Γ, and denote by $2n$ the real dimension of G. Denote the Lie algebra naturally associated to G by $\mathfrak{g}$. We use the following lemma.

Lemma 2.21. *Let α, β be holomorphic characters of a connected simply-connected complex solvable Lie group G. If $\alpha\bar{\beta}$ is a unitary character, then $\alpha = \beta^{-1}$.*

Proof. Since we have $\alpha([G,G]) = [\alpha(G), \alpha(G)] = 1$ and $\beta([G,G]) = [\beta(G), \beta(G)] = 1$, we can regard α and β as characters of $G/[G,G]$. Since G is connected simply-connected, $G/[G,G]$ is also connected simply-connected, see [35, Theorem 3.5]. Since $G/[G,G]$ is Abelian, it is sufficient to show the lemma in the case $G = \mathbb{C}^n$. For the coordinate set $(z_1, \ldots, z_n)$ of $\mathbb{C}^n$, we write $\alpha = \exp\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} a_j z_j\right)$ and $\beta = \exp\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} b_j z_j\right)$, for some $a_1, \ldots, a_n, b_1, \ldots, b_n \in \mathbb{C}$. If $\alpha\bar{\beta}$ is unitary, then we have

case *(ii)*	$H^{\bullet,\bullet}_{BC}(\Gamma\backslash G)$
$(\mathbf{0},\mathbf{0})$	$\mathbb{C}\left\langle 1\right\rangle$
$(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{0})$	$\mathbb{C}\left\langle [\mathrm{d}\, z_{1}]\right\rangle$
$(\mathbf{0},\mathbf{1})$	$\mathbb{C}\left\langle [\mathrm{d}\, z_{\bar{1}}]\right\rangle$
$(\mathbf{2},\mathbf{0})$	$\mathbb{C}\left\langle [\mathrm{d}\, z_{23}]\right\rangle$
$(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})$	$\mathbb{C}\left\langle [\mathrm{d}\, z_{1\bar{1}}],\ [\mathrm{d}\, z_{2\bar{3}}],\ [\mathrm{d}\, z_{3\bar{2}}]\right\rangle$
$(\mathbf{0},\mathbf{2})$	$\mathbb{C}\left\langle [\mathrm{d}\, z_{\bar{2}\bar{3}}]\right\rangle$
$(\mathbf{3},\mathbf{0})$	$\mathbb{C}\left\langle [\mathrm{d}\, z_{123}]\right\rangle$
$(\mathbf{2},\mathbf{1})$	$\mathbb{C}\left\langle [\mathrm{d}\, z_{23\bar{1}}],\ [\mathrm{e}^{-2z_1}\,\mathrm{d}\, z_{12\bar{2}}],\ [\mathrm{e}^{2z_1}\,\mathrm{d}\, z_{13\bar{3}}],\ [\mathrm{d}\, z_{12\bar{3}}],\ [\mathrm{d}\, z_{13\bar{2}}]\right\rangle$
$(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})$	$\mathbb{C}\left\langle [\mathrm{d}\, z_{1\bar{2}\bar{3}}],\ [\mathrm{e}^{-2\bar{z}_1}\,\mathrm{d}\, z_{2\bar{1}\bar{2}}],\ [\mathrm{e}^{2\bar{z}_1}\,\mathrm{d}\, z_{3\bar{1}\bar{3}}],\ [\mathrm{d}\, z_{2\bar{1}\bar{3}}],\ [\mathrm{d}\, z_{3\bar{1}\bar{2}}]\right\rangle$
$(\mathbf{0},\mathbf{3})$	$\mathbb{C}\left\langle [\mathrm{d}\, z_{\bar{1}\bar{2}\bar{3}}]\right\rangle$
$(\mathbf{3},\mathbf{1})$	$\mathbb{C}\left\langle [\mathrm{d}\, z_{123\bar{1}}]\right\rangle$
$(\mathbf{2},\mathbf{2})$	$\mathbb{C}\left\langle [\mathrm{d}\, z_{12\bar{1}\bar{3}}],\ [\mathrm{e}^{-2z_1}\,\mathrm{d}\, z_{12\bar{1}\bar{2}}],\ [\mathrm{e}^{-2\bar{z}_1}\,\mathrm{d}\, z_{12\bar{1}\bar{2}}],\ [\mathrm{e}^{2z_1}\,\mathrm{d}\, z_{13\bar{1}\bar{3}}],\ [\mathrm{e}^{2\bar{z}_1}\,\mathrm{d}\, z_{13\bar{1}\bar{3}}],\ [\mathrm{d}\, z_{23\bar{2}\bar{3}}],\ [\mathrm{d}\, z_{13\bar{1}\bar{2}}]\right\rangle$
$(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{3})$	$\mathbb{C}\left\langle [\mathrm{d}\, z_{1\bar{1}\bar{2}\bar{3}}]\right\rangle$
$(\mathbf{3},\mathbf{2})$	$\mathbb{C}\left\langle [\mathrm{d}\, z_{123\bar{2}\bar{3}}]\right\rangle$
$(\mathbf{2},\mathbf{3})$	$\mathbb{C}\left\langle [\mathrm{d}\, z_{23\bar{1}\bar{2}\bar{3}}]\right\rangle$
$(\mathbf{3},\mathbf{3})$	$\mathbb{C}\left\langle [\mathrm{d}\, z_{123\bar{1}\bar{2}\bar{3}}]\right\rangle$

Table 5. The Bott-Chern cohomology of the completely-solvable Nakamura manifold in case *(ii)*.

$\Re\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n}\left(a_j z_j+\bar{b}_j\bar{z}_j\right)\right) = 0$. By simple computations, we have $a_j = -b_j$ for any $j \in \{1,\ldots,n\}$. Hence the lemma follows. $\square$

Denote by $\mathfrak{g}_+$ (respectively, $\mathfrak{g}_-$) the Lie algebra of the G-left-invariant holomorphic (respectively, anti-holomorphic) vector fields on G. As a (real) Lie algebra, we have an isomorphism $\mathfrak{g}_+ \simeq \mathfrak{g}_-$ by means of the complex conjugation.

Let N be the nilradical of G. We can take a connected simply-connected complex nilpotent subgroup $C \subseteq G$ such that $G = C\cdot N$, see, e.g., [35, Proposition 3.3]. Since C is nilpotent, the map

$$C \ni c \mapsto (\mathrm{Ad}_c)_{\mathrm{s}} \in \mathrm{Aut}(\mathfrak{g}_+)$$

is a homomorphism, where $(\mathrm{Ad}_c)_{\mathrm{s}}$ is the semi-simple part of the Jordan decomposition of Ad_c. Let $\mathfrak{c}$ be the Lie algebra of C; we take a subspace $V \subseteq \mathfrak{c}$ such that $\mathfrak{g} = V \oplus \mathfrak{n}$. Then the diagonalizable representation Ad_{s} constructed above, §2.4, is identified with the map

$$G = C\cdot N \ni c\cdot n \mapsto (\mathrm{Ad}_c)_s \in \mathrm{Aut}(\mathfrak{g}),$$

see [54, Remark 4].

We have a basis $\{X_1,\ldots,X_n\}$ of $\mathfrak{g}_+$ such that, for $c\in C$,

$$(\mathrm{Ad}_c)_{\mathrm{s}} \;=\; \mathrm{diag}\left(\alpha_1(c),\ldots,\alpha_n(c)\right) \;,$$

for some characters $\alpha_1,\ldots,\alpha_n$ of C. By $G = C\cdot N$, we have $G/N = C/C\cap N$ and regard $\alpha_1,\ldots,\alpha_n$ as characters of G. Let $\{x_1,\ldots,x_n\}$ be the basis of $\mathfrak{g}_+^*$ which is dual to $\{X_1,\ldots,X_n\}$.

Theorem 2.22. ([54, Corollary 6.2 and its proof]) *Let G be a connected simply-connected complex solvable Lie group admitting a lattice Γ. Denote the Lie algebra naturally associated to G by $\mathfrak{g}$. Consider a basis $\{X_1,\ldots,X_n\}$ of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}_+$ of the G-left-invariant holomorphic vector fields on G with respect to which* $(\mathrm{Ad}_c)_{\mathrm{s}} = \mathrm{diag}\left(\alpha_1(c),\ldots,\alpha_n(c)\right)$ *for some characters $\alpha_1,\ldots,\alpha_n$ of C. Regard $\alpha_1,\ldots,\alpha_n$ as characters of G. Let $B^\bullet_\Gamma$ be the sub-complex of $\left(\wedge^{0,\bullet}\Gamma\backslash G\,,\,\overline{\partial}\right)$ defined as*

$$B^\bullet_\Gamma \;:=\; \left\langle \frac{\bar\alpha_I}{\alpha_I}\,\bar{x}_I \;\middle|\; I\subseteq\{1,\ldots,n\}\ \text{s.t.}\ \left(\frac{\bar\alpha_I}{\alpha_I}\right)\lfloor_\Gamma = 1 \right\rangle \;, \tag{7}$$

	dR	case *(i)*		case *(ii)*		case *(iii)*	
		$\overline{\partial}$	BC	$\overline{\partial}$	BC	$\overline{\partial}$	BC
$(\mathbf{0},\mathbf{0})$	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
$(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{0})$	2	3	1	1	1	1	1
$(\mathbf{0},\mathbf{1})$		3	1	1	1	1	1
$(\mathbf{2},\mathbf{0})$	5	3	3	1	1	1	1
$(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})$		9	7	5	3	3	3
$(\mathbf{0},\mathbf{2})$		3	3	1	1	1	1
$(\mathbf{3},\mathbf{0})$	8	1	1	1	1	1	1
$(\mathbf{2},\mathbf{1})$		9	9	5	5	3	3
$(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})$		9	9	5	5	3	3
$(\mathbf{0},\mathbf{3})$		1	1	1	1	1	1
$(\mathbf{3},\mathbf{1})$	5	3	3	1	1	1	1
$(\mathbf{2},\mathbf{2})$		9	11	5	7	3	3
$(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{3})$		3	3	1	1	1	1
$(\mathbf{3},\mathbf{2})$	2	3	5	1	1	1	1
$(\mathbf{2},\mathbf{3})$		3	5	1	1	1	1
$(\mathbf{3},\mathbf{3})$	1	1	1	1	1	1	1

Table 6. The dimensions of the de Rham, Dolbeault, and Bott-Chern cohomologies of the completely-solvable Nakamura manifold.

(where we shorten, e.g., $\alpha_I := \alpha_{i_1} \cdot \cdots \cdot \alpha_{i_k}$ *for a multi-index* $I = (i_1, \ldots, i_k)$*). Then the inclusion* $B^{\bullet}_{\Gamma} \hookrightarrow \wedge^{0,\bullet}\,\Gamma\backslash G$ *induces the isomorphism*

$$H^{\bullet}\left(B^{\bullet}_{\Gamma},\, \overline{\partial}\right) \xrightarrow{\simeq} H^{0,\bullet}_{\overline{\partial}}(\Gamma\backslash G)\;.$$

By this theorem, since $\Gamma\backslash G$ is complex parallelizable, for the differential bi-graded algebra $\left(\wedge^{\bullet}\mathfrak{g}_{+}^{*} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} B^{\bullet}_{\Gamma},\, \bar{\partial}\right)$, the inclusion $\wedge^{\bullet_1}\mathfrak{g}_{+}^{*} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} B^{\bullet_2}_{\Gamma} \hookrightarrow \wedge^{\bullet_1,\bullet_2}\,\Gamma\backslash G$ induces the isomorphism

$$\wedge^{\bullet_1}\mathfrak{g}_{+}^{*} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} H^{\bullet_2}_{\overline{\partial}}(B^{\bullet}_{\Gamma}) \xrightarrow{\simeq} H^{\bullet_1,\bullet_2}_{\overline{\partial}}(\Gamma\backslash G)\;.$$

Consider the G-left-invariant Hermitian metric

$$g \;:=\; \sum_{j=1}^{n} x_j \odot \bar{x}_j\;.$$

Then, for $x_I \wedge \frac{\bar{\alpha}_K}{\alpha_K}\,\bar{x}_K \in \wedge^{|I|}\mathfrak{g}_{+}^{*} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} B^{|K|}_{\Gamma}$, since G is unimodular, [66, Lemma 6.2], we have

$$*_g\left(x_I \wedge \frac{\bar{\alpha}_K}{\alpha_K}\,\bar{x}_K\right) \;=\; x_{I'} \wedge \frac{\alpha_K}{\bar{\alpha}_K}\,\bar{x}_{K'} \;=\; x_{I'} \wedge \frac{\bar{\alpha}_{K'}}{\alpha_{K'}}\,\bar{x}_{K'} \;\in\; \wedge^{n-|I|}\mathfrak{g}_{+}^{*} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} B^{n-|K|}_{\Gamma}\;,$$

where $I' := \{1, \ldots, n\} \setminus I$ and $K' := \{1, \ldots, n\} \setminus K$ are the complements of I and K respectively. Hence we have $*_g(\wedge^{\bullet}\mathfrak{g}_{+}^{*} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} B^{\bullet}_{\Gamma}) \subseteq \wedge^{n-\bullet}\mathfrak{g}_{+}^{*} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} B^{n-\bullet}_{\Gamma}$.

We consider the space

$$\bar{B}^{\bullet}_{\Gamma} \;=\; \left\langle \frac{\alpha_I}{\bar{\alpha}_I}\, x_I \;\middle|\; I \subseteq \{1, \ldots, n\} \text{ such that } \left(\frac{\alpha_I}{\bar{\alpha}_I}\right)\Big\lfloor_{\Gamma} = 1 \right\rangle\;.$$

Then the inclusion $\bar{B}^{\bullet_1}_{\Gamma} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \wedge^{\bullet_2}\mathfrak{g}_{-}^{*} \subseteq \wedge^{\bullet_1,\bullet_2}\,\Gamma\backslash G$ induces the isomorphism in ∂-cohomology

$$H^{\bullet_1}\left(\bar{B}^{\bullet}_{\Gamma} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \wedge^{\bullet_2}\mathfrak{g}_{-}^{*},\, \partial\right) \xrightarrow{\simeq} H^{\bullet_1,\bullet_2}_{\partial}\left(\Gamma\backslash G\right)\;.$$

Consider

$$C^{\bullet_1,\bullet_2} \;:=\; \wedge^{\bullet_1}\mathfrak{g}_{+}^{*} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} B^{\bullet_2}_{\Gamma} + \bar{B}^{\bullet_1}_{\Gamma} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \wedge^{\bullet_2}\mathfrak{g}_{-}^{*}\;. \tag{8}$$

Then we have $*_g\left(C^{\bullet_1,\bullet_2}\right) \subseteq C^{n-\bullet_1,n-\bullet_2}$.

As similar to Corollary 2.15, we can show the following result.

Corollary 2.23. *Let G be a connected simply-connected complex solvable Lie group admitting a lattice Γ. Denote the Lie algebra naturally associated to G by $\mathfrak{g}$. Consider the sub-complex $C_\Gamma^{\bullet,\bullet} \subseteq \wedge^{\bullet,\bullet}\,\Gamma\backslash G$ as defined in* (8)*.*

(i) The inclusion $C_\Gamma^{\bullet,\bullet} \hookrightarrow \wedge^{\bullet,\bullet}\,\Gamma\backslash G$ induces the ∂-cohomology isomorphism

$$H^{\bullet,\bullet}(C_\Gamma^{\bullet,\bullet},\, \partial) \stackrel{\simeq}{\to} H^{\bullet,\bullet}_{\partial}(\Gamma\backslash G)\;.$$

(ii) The inclusion $C_\Gamma^{\bullet,\bullet} \hookrightarrow \wedge^{\bullet,\bullet}\,\Gamma\backslash G$ induces the $\overline{\partial}$-cohomology isomorphism

$$H^{\bullet,\bullet}(C_\Gamma^{\bullet,\bullet},\, \overline{\partial}) \stackrel{\simeq}{\to} H^{\bullet,\bullet}_{\overline{\partial}}(\Gamma\backslash G)\;.$$

(iii) The inclusion $C_\Gamma^{\bullet,\bullet} \hookrightarrow \wedge^{\bullet,\bullet}\,\Gamma\backslash G$ induces, for any $(p,q)\in\mathbb{Z}^2$, the surjection

$$\frac{\ker \mathrm{d}\lfloor_{C^{p,q}}}{\mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{Tot}^{p+q-1}\, C_\Gamma^{\bullet,\bullet}\right)} \to \frac{\ker \mathrm{d}\lfloor_{\wedge^{p,q}\,\Gamma\backslash G}}{\mathrm{d}\left(\wedge^{p+q-1}\,\Gamma\backslash G\otimes_{\mathbb{R}}\mathbb{C}\right)}\;.$$

Proof. By $\bar{*}_g\left(C^{\bullet_1,\bullet_2}\right) \subseteq C^{n-\bullet_1,n-\bullet_2}$, the first and second assertions follow as similar to the proof of Corollary 2.15.

By denoting the complex structure by J, for any $c\in C$, since we have $\mathrm{Ad}_c\circ J = J\circ \mathrm{Ad}_c$, we have $(\mathrm{Ad}_c)_{\mathrm{s}}\circ J = J\circ(\mathrm{Ad}_c)_{\mathrm{s}}$, and hence we have $\left(\mathrm{Ad}_{\mathrm{s}}\right)_g\circ J = J\circ\left(\mathrm{Ad}_{\mathrm{s}}\right)_g$ for any $g\in G$. We consider the sub-complex $A_\Gamma^\bullet\subseteq\wedge^\bullet\,\Gamma\backslash G\otimes_{\mathbb{R}}\mathbb{C}$ as in (1), see Theorem 2.8. By Corollary 2.10, the inclusion $A_\Gamma^{\bullet,\bullet}\hookrightarrow\wedge^{p,q}\,\Gamma\backslash G$ induces the isomorphism

$$\frac{\ker \mathrm{d}\lfloor_{A_\Gamma^{p,q}}}{\mathrm{d}\left(A_\Gamma^{p+q-1}\right)} \stackrel{\simeq}{\to} \frac{\ker \mathrm{d}\lfloor_{\wedge^{p,q}\,\Gamma\backslash G}}{\mathrm{d}\left(\wedge^{p+q-1}\,\Gamma\backslash G\otimes_{\mathbb{R}}\mathbb{C}\right)}\;.$$

We have

$$A_\Gamma^\bullet \;=\; \left\langle \alpha_I\,\bar\alpha_J\,x_I\wedge\bar x_J \mid I,J\subseteq\{1,\ldots,n\} \text{ such that } \left(\alpha_I\,\bar\alpha_J\right)\lfloor_\Gamma = 1\right\rangle\;.$$

For $\left(\alpha_I\,\bar\alpha_J\right)\lfloor_\Gamma = 1$, since we can regard $\alpha_I\,\bar\alpha_J$ as a function on $\Gamma\backslash G$, the image of $\alpha_I\,\bar\alpha_J$ is compact and hence it is unitary. By Lemma 2.21, we have $\alpha_I\,\bar\alpha_J = \frac{\bar\alpha_J}{\alpha_J}$. Hence we have the inclusion $A_\Gamma^\bullet\subseteq\mathrm{Tot}^\bullet\wedge^\bullet\mathfrak{g}_+^*\otimes B_\Gamma^\bullet$ and so we have the inclusion $A_\Gamma^{\bullet,\bullet}\subseteq C_\Gamma^{\bullet,\bullet}\subseteq\wedge^{\bullet,\bullet}\,\Gamma\backslash G$. Since the composition

$$\frac{\ker \mathrm{d}\lfloor_{A_\Gamma^{p,q}}}{\mathrm{d}\left(A_\Gamma^{p+q-1}\right)} \to \frac{\ker \mathrm{d}\lfloor_{C^{p,q}}}{\mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{Tot}^{p+q-1}\, C_\Gamma^{\bullet,\bullet}\right)} \to \frac{\ker \mathrm{d}\lfloor_{\wedge^{p,q}\,\Gamma\backslash G}}{\mathrm{d}\left(\wedge^{p-q-1}\,\Gamma\backslash G\right)}$$

is an isomorphism, then the third assertion of the corollary follows. □

By this, we get the following result.

Theorem 2.24. *Let G be a connected simply-connected complex solvable Lie group admitting a lattice Γ. Consider the sub-complex $C_\Gamma^{\bullet,\bullet}\subseteq\wedge^{\bullet,\bullet}\,\Gamma\backslash G$ as defined in* (8)*. The inclusion $C_\Gamma^{\bullet,\bullet}\hookrightarrow\wedge^{\bullet,\bullet}\,\Gamma\backslash G$ induces the isomorphism*

$$H\left(C_\Gamma^{\bullet-1,\bullet-1}\stackrel{\partial\overline{\partial}}{\to} C_\Gamma^{\bullet,\bullet}\stackrel{\mathrm{d}}{\to} C_\Gamma^{\bullet+1,\bullet}\oplus C_\Gamma^{\bullet,\bullet+1}\right)\stackrel{\simeq}{\to} H^{\bullet,\bullet}_{BC}(\Gamma\backslash G)\;.$$

Example 2.25 (The complex parallelizable Nakamura manifold)**.** Let $G=\mathbb{C}\ltimes_\phi\mathbb{C}^2$ be such that

$$\phi(z) = \begin{pmatrix} e^z & 0\\ 0 & e^{-z}\end{pmatrix}.$$

Then there exist $a+\sqrt{-1}\,b\in\mathbb{C}$ and $c+\sqrt{-1}\,d\in\mathbb{C}$ such that $\mathbb{Z}(a+\sqrt{-1}\,b)+\mathbb{Z}(c+\sqrt{-1}\,d)$ is a lattice in $\mathbb{C}$ and $\phi(a+\sqrt{-1}\,b)$ and $\phi(c+\sqrt{-1}\,d)$ are conjugate to elements of $\mathrm{SL}(4;\mathbb{Z})$, where we regard $\mathrm{SL}(2;\mathbb{C})\subset\mathrm{SL}(4;\mathbb{R})$, see [47]. Hence we have a lattice $\Gamma := \left(\mathbb{Z}\left(a+\sqrt{-1}\,b\right)+\mathbb{Z}\left(c+\sqrt{-1}\,d\right)\right)\ltimes_\phi\Gamma''$ of G such that Γ'' is a lattice of $\mathbb{C}^2$. Let $X:=\Gamma\backslash G$ be the *complex parallelizable Nakamura manifold*, [68, §2].

We take the connected simply-connected complex nilpotent subgroup $C:=\mathbb{C}\subseteq G$ such that $G=C\cdot N$, where N is the nilradical of G. Recall that $\mathfrak{g}_+$ denotes the Lie algebra of the G-left-invariant holomorphic vector fields on G. For a coordinate set (z_1,z_2,z_3) of $\mathbb{C}\ltimes_\phi\mathbb{C}^2$, we have the basis $\left\{\frac{\partial}{\partial z_1},\, \mathrm{e}^{z_1}\,\frac{\partial}{\partial z_2},\, \mathrm{e}^{-z_1}\,\frac{\partial}{\partial z_3}\right\}$ of $\mathfrak{g}_+$ such that

$$\left(\mathrm{Ad}_{(z_1,z_2,z_3)}\right)_{\mathrm{s}} \;=\; \mathrm{diag}\left(1,\,\mathrm{e}^{z_1},\,\mathrm{e}^{-z_1}\right)\;\in\;\mathrm{Aut}(\mathfrak{g}_+)\;.$$

(a) If $b \in \pi\,\mathbb{Z}$ and $d \in \pi\,\mathbb{Z}$, then, for $z \in \left(a+\sqrt{-1}\,b\right)\,\mathbb{Z} + \left(c+\sqrt{-1}\,d\right)\,\mathbb{Z}$, we have $\phi(z) \in \mathrm{SL}(2;\mathbb{R})$. Since $\left(\frac{\mathrm{e}^{z_1}}{\mathrm{e}^{\bar{z}_1}}\right)\lfloor_\Gamma = \left(\mathrm{e}^{z_1-\bar{z}_1}\right)\lfloor_\Gamma = 1$, we have

$$B^\bullet_\Gamma \;=\; \wedge^\bullet\mathbb{C}\left\langle \mathrm{d}\,z_{\bar{1}},\; \mathrm{e}^{z_1}\,\mathrm{d}\,z_{\bar{2}},\; \mathrm{e}^{z_1}\,\mathrm{d}\,z_{\bar{3}} \right\rangle \;.$$

Hence the double complex $C^{\bullet,\bullet}_\Gamma$ in case *(a)* is the one in Table 7. (We recall that, in order to shorten the notation, we write, for example, $\mathrm{e}^{\bar{z}_1}\,\mathrm{d}\,z_{1\bar{3}} := \mathrm{e}^{\bar{z}_1}\,\mathrm{d}\,z_1 \wedge \mathrm{d}\,\bar{z}_3$.)

case *(a)*	$C^{\bullet,\bullet}_\Gamma$
$(\mathbf{0},\mathbf{0})$	$\mathbb{C}\left\langle 1 \right\rangle$
$(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{0})$	$\mathbb{C}\left\langle \mathrm{d}\,z_1,\; \mathrm{e}^{-z_1}\,\mathrm{d}\,z_2,\; \mathrm{e}^{z_1}\,\mathrm{d}\,z_3,\; \mathrm{e}^{-\bar{z}_1}\,\mathrm{d}\,z_2,\; \mathrm{e}^{\bar{z}_1}\,\mathrm{d}\,z_3 \right\rangle$
$(\mathbf{0},\mathbf{1})$	$\mathbb{C}\left\langle \mathrm{d}\,z_{\bar{1}},\; \mathrm{e}^{-z_1}\,\mathrm{d}\,z_{\bar{2}},\; \mathrm{e}^{z_1}\,\mathrm{d}\,z_{\bar{3}},\; \mathrm{e}^{-\bar{z}_1}\,\mathrm{d}\,z_{\bar{2}},\; \mathrm{e}^{\bar{z}_1}\,\mathrm{d}\,z_{\bar{3}} \right\rangle$
$(\mathbf{2},\mathbf{0})$	$\mathbb{C}\left\langle \mathrm{e}^{-z_1}\,\mathrm{d}\,z_{12},\; \mathrm{e}^{z_1}\,\mathrm{d}\,z_{13},\; \mathrm{d}\,z_{23},\; \mathrm{e}^{-\bar{z}_1}\,\mathrm{d}\,z_{12},\; \mathrm{e}^{\bar{z}_1}\,\mathrm{d}\,z_{13} \right\rangle$
$(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})$	$\mathbb{C}\left\langle \mathrm{d}\,z_{1\bar{1}},\; \mathrm{e}^{-z_1}\,\mathrm{d}\,z_{1\bar{2}},\; \mathrm{e}^{z_1}\,\mathrm{d}\,z_{1\bar{3}},\; \mathrm{e}^{-z_1}\,\mathrm{d}\,z_{2\bar{1}},\; \mathrm{e}^{-2z_1}\,\mathrm{d}\,z_{2\bar{2}},\; \mathrm{d}\,z_{2\bar{3}},\; \mathrm{e}^{z_1}\,\mathrm{d}\,z_{3\bar{1}},\; \mathrm{d}\,z_{3\bar{2}},\; \mathrm{e}^{2z_1}\,\mathrm{d}\,z_{3\bar{3}},\right.$ $\left. \mathrm{e}^{-\bar{z}_1}\,\mathrm{d}\,z_{2\bar{1}},\; \mathrm{e}^{-\bar{z}_1}\,\mathrm{d}\,z_{1\bar{2}},\; \mathrm{e}^{\bar{z}_1}\,\mathrm{d}\,z_{1\bar{3}},\; \mathrm{e}^{\bar{z}_1}\,\mathrm{d}\,z_{3\bar{1}},\; \mathrm{e}^{-2\bar{z}_1}\,\mathrm{d}\,z_{2\bar{2}},\; \mathrm{e}^{2\bar{z}_1}\,\mathrm{d}\,z_{3\bar{3}} \right\rangle$
$(\mathbf{0},\mathbf{2})$	$\mathbb{C}\left\langle \mathrm{e}^{-z_1}\,\mathrm{d}\,z_{\bar{1}\bar{2}},\; \mathrm{e}^{z_1}\,\mathrm{d}\,z_{\bar{1}\bar{3}},\; \mathrm{d}\,z_{\bar{2}\bar{3}},\; \mathrm{e}^{-\bar{z}_1}\,\mathrm{d}\,z_{\bar{1}\bar{2}},\; \mathrm{e}^{\bar{z}_1}\,\mathrm{d}\,z_{\bar{1}\bar{3}} \right\rangle$
$(\mathbf{3},\mathbf{0})$	$\mathbb{C}\left\langle \mathrm{d}\,z_{123} \right\rangle$
$(\mathbf{2},\mathbf{1})$	$\mathbb{C}\left\langle \mathrm{e}^{-z_1}\,\mathrm{d}\,z_{12\bar{1}},\; \mathrm{e}^{-2z_1}\,\mathrm{d}\,z_{12\bar{2}},\; \mathrm{d}\,z_{12\bar{3}},\; \mathrm{e}^{z_1}\,\mathrm{d}\,z_{13\bar{1}},\; \mathrm{d}\,z_{13\bar{2}},\; \mathrm{e}^{2z_1}\,\mathrm{d}\,z_{13\bar{3}},\; \mathrm{d}\,z_{23\bar{1}},\; \mathrm{e}^{-z_1}\,\mathrm{d}\,z_{23\bar{2}},\; \mathrm{e}^{z_1}\,\mathrm{d}\,z_{23\bar{3}},\right.$ $\left. \mathrm{e}^{-\bar{z}_1}\,\mathrm{d}\,z_{12\bar{1}},\; \mathrm{e}^{\bar{z}_1}\,\mathrm{d}\,z_{13\bar{1}},\; \mathrm{e}^{-2\bar{z}_1}\,\mathrm{d}\,z_{12\bar{2}},\; \mathrm{e}^{-\bar{z}_1}\,\mathrm{d}\,z_{23\bar{2}},\; \mathrm{e}^{2\bar{z}_1}\,\mathrm{d}\,z_{13\bar{3}},\; \mathrm{e}^{\bar{z}_1}\,\mathrm{d}\,z_{23\bar{3}} \right\rangle$
$(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})$	$\mathbb{C}\left\langle \mathrm{e}^{-\bar{z}_1}\,\mathrm{d}\,z_{1\bar{1}\bar{2}},\; \mathrm{e}^{-2\bar{z}_1}\,\mathrm{d}\,z_{2\bar{1}\bar{2}},\; \mathrm{d}\,z_{3\bar{1}\bar{2}},\; \mathrm{e}^{\bar{z}_1}\,\mathrm{d}\,z_{1\bar{1}\bar{3}},\; \mathrm{d}\,z_{2\bar{1}\bar{3}},\; \mathrm{e}^{2\bar{z}_1}\,\mathrm{d}\,z_{3\bar{1}\bar{3}},\; \mathrm{d}\,z_{1\bar{2}\bar{3}},\; \mathrm{e}^{-\bar{z}_1}\,\mathrm{d}\,z_{2\bar{2}\bar{3}},\; \mathrm{e}^{\bar{z}_1}\,\mathrm{d}\,z_{3\bar{2}\bar{3}},\right.$ $\left. \mathrm{e}^{-z_1}\,\mathrm{d}\,z_{1\bar{1}\bar{2}},\; \mathrm{e}^{z_1}\,\mathrm{d}\,z_{1\bar{1}\bar{3}},\; \mathrm{e}^{-2z_1}\,\mathrm{d}\,z_{2\bar{1}\bar{2}},\; \mathrm{e}^{-z_1}\,\mathrm{d}\,z_{2\bar{2}\bar{3}},\; \mathrm{e}^{2z_1}\,\mathrm{d}\,z_{3\bar{1}\bar{3}},\; \mathrm{e}^{z_1}\,\mathrm{d}\,z_{3\bar{2}\bar{3}} \right\rangle$
$(\mathbf{0},\mathbf{3})$	$\mathbb{C}\left\langle \mathrm{d}\,z_{\bar{1}\bar{2}\bar{3}} \right\rangle$
$(\mathbf{3},\mathbf{1})$	$\mathbb{C}\left\langle \mathrm{d}\,z_{123\bar{1}},\; \mathrm{e}^{-z_1}\,\mathrm{d}\,z_{123\bar{2}},\; \mathrm{e}^{z_1}\,\mathrm{d}\,z_{123\bar{3}},\; \mathrm{e}^{-\bar{z}_1}\,\mathrm{d}\,z_{123\bar{2}},\; \mathrm{e}^{\bar{z}_1}\,\mathrm{d}\,z_{123\bar{3}} \right\rangle$
$(\mathbf{2},\mathbf{2})$	$\mathbb{C}\left\langle \mathrm{e}^{-2z_1}\,\mathrm{d}\,z_{12\bar{1}\bar{2}},\; \mathrm{d}\,z_{12\bar{1}\bar{3}},\; \mathrm{e}^{-z_1}\,\mathrm{d}\,z_{12\bar{2}\bar{3}},\; \mathrm{d}\,z_{13\bar{1}\bar{2}},\; \mathrm{e}^{2z_1}\,\mathrm{d}\,z_{13\bar{1}\bar{3}},\; \mathrm{e}^{z_1}\,\mathrm{d}\,z_{13\bar{2}\bar{3}},\; \mathrm{e}^{-z_1}\,\mathrm{d}\,z_{23\bar{1}\bar{2}},\; \mathrm{e}^{z_1}\,\mathrm{d}\,z_{23\bar{1}\bar{3}},\right.$ $\left. \mathrm{d}\,z_{23\bar{2}\bar{3}},\; \mathrm{e}^{-2\bar{z}_1}\,\mathrm{d}\,z_{12\bar{1}\bar{2}},\; \mathrm{e}^{-\bar{z}_1}\,\mathrm{d}\,z_{23\bar{1}\bar{2}},\; \mathrm{e}^{-\bar{z}_1}\,\mathrm{d}\,z_{12\bar{2}\bar{3}},\; \mathrm{e}^{\bar{z}_1}\,\mathrm{d}\,z_{13\bar{2}\bar{3}},\; \mathrm{e}^{2\bar{z}_1}\,\mathrm{d}\,z_{13\bar{1}\bar{3}},\; \mathrm{e}^{\bar{z}_1}\,\mathrm{d}\,z_{23\bar{1}\bar{3}} \right\rangle$
$(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{3})$	$\mathbb{C}\left\langle \mathrm{d}\,z_{1\bar{1}\bar{2}\bar{3}},\; \mathrm{e}^{-\bar{z}_1}\,\mathrm{d}\,z_{2\bar{1}\bar{2}\bar{3}},\; \mathrm{e}^{\bar{z}_1}\,\mathrm{d}\,z_{3\bar{1}\bar{2}\bar{3}},\; \mathrm{e}^{-z_1}\,\mathrm{d}\,z_{2\bar{1}\bar{2}\bar{3}},\; \mathrm{e}^{z_1}\,\mathrm{d}\,z_{3\bar{1}\bar{2}\bar{3}} \right\rangle$
$(\mathbf{3},\mathbf{2})$	$\mathbb{C}\left\langle \mathrm{e}^{-z_1}\,\mathrm{d}\,z_{123\bar{1}\bar{2}},\; \mathrm{e}^{z_1}\,\mathrm{d}\,z_{123\bar{1}\bar{3}},\; \mathrm{d}\,z_{123\bar{2}\bar{3}},\; \mathrm{e}^{-\bar{z}_1}\,\mathrm{d}\,z_{123\bar{1}\bar{2}},\; \mathrm{e}^{\bar{z}_1}\,\mathrm{d}\,z_{123\bar{1}\bar{3}} \right\rangle$
$(\mathbf{2},\mathbf{3})$	$\mathbb{C}\left\langle \mathrm{e}^{-z_1}\,\mathrm{d}\,z_{12\bar{1}\bar{2}\bar{3}},\; \mathrm{e}^{z_1}\,\mathrm{d}\,z_{13\bar{1}\bar{2}\bar{3}},\; \mathrm{d}\,z_{23\bar{1}\bar{2}\bar{3}},\; \mathrm{e}^{-\bar{z}_1}\,\mathrm{d}\,z_{12\bar{1}\bar{2}\bar{3}},\; \mathrm{e}^{\bar{z}_1}\,\mathrm{d}\,z_{13\bar{1}\bar{2}\bar{3}} \right\rangle$
$(\mathbf{3},\mathbf{3})$	$\mathbb{C}\left\langle \mathrm{d}\,z_{123\bar{1}\bar{2}\bar{3}} \right\rangle$

TABLE 7. The bi-differential bi-graded algebra $C^{\bullet,\bullet}_\Gamma$ for the complex parallelizable Nakamura manifold in case *(a)*.

We compute the Bott-Chern cohomology for the complex parallelizable Nakamura manifold in case *(a)* in Table 8.

The differential algebra $A^\bullet_\Gamma$ for the complex parallelizable Nakamura manifold in case *(a)* is summarized in Table 9.

Remark 2.26. Suppose $b \in 2\pi\,\mathbb{Z}$ and $d \in 2\pi\,\mathbb{Z}$. Considering another Lie group $H := \mathbb{C} \ltimes_\psi \mathbb{C}^2$ such that

$$\psi(z) \;:=\; \left(\begin{array}{cc} \mathrm{e}^{\frac{1}{2}(z_1+\bar{z}_1)} & 0 \\ 0 & \mathrm{e}^{-\frac{1}{2}(z_1+\bar{z}_1)} \end{array}\right) ,$$

the correspondence $G \ni (z_1,\, z_2,\, z_3) \mapsto (z_1,\, z_2,\, z_3) \in H$ gives an embedding $\Gamma \hookrightarrow H$ as a lattice and hence we can identify $\Gamma\backslash G$ with $\Gamma\backslash H$, see [87, Section 3]. Since H is equal to the solvable completely-solvable Lie group in Example 2.17, this case is identified with Case *(i)* in Example 2.17. Note that $A^\bullet_\Gamma$ is not G-left-invariant in this case (for example the 2-form $\mathrm{d}\,z_{2\bar{3}}$ is not G-left-invariant)

case *(a)*	$H^{\bullet,\bullet}_{BC}(\Gamma\backslash G)$
$(\mathbf{0},\mathbf{0})$	$\mathbb{C}\left\langle 1\right\rangle$
$(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{0})$	$\mathbb{C}\left\langle[\mathrm{d}\,z_{1}]\right\rangle$
$(\mathbf{0},\mathbf{1})$	$\mathbb{C}\left\langle[\mathrm{d}\,z_{\bar{1}}]\right\rangle$
$(\mathbf{2},\mathbf{0})$	$\mathbb{C}\left\langle[\mathrm{e}^{-z_1}\,\mathrm{d}\,z_{12}],\ [\mathrm{e}^{z_1}\,\mathrm{d}\,z_{13}],\ [\mathrm{d}\,z_{23}]\right\rangle$
$(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})$	$\mathbb{C}\left\langle[\mathrm{d}\,z_{1\bar{1}}],\ [\mathrm{e}^{-z_1}\,\mathrm{d}\,z_{1\bar{2}}],\ [\mathrm{e}^{z_1}\,\mathrm{d}\,z_{1\bar{3}}],\ [\mathrm{d}\,z_{2\bar{3}}],\ [\mathrm{d}\,z_{3\bar{2}}],\ [\mathrm{e}^{-\bar{z}_1}\,\mathrm{d}\,z_{2\bar{1}}],\ [\mathrm{e}^{\bar{z}_1}\,\mathrm{d}\,z_{3\bar{1}}]\right\rangle$
$(\mathbf{0},\mathbf{2})$	$\mathbb{C}\left\langle[\mathrm{d}\,z_{\bar{2}\bar{3}}],\ [\mathrm{e}^{-\bar{z}_1}\,\mathrm{d}\,z_{\bar{1}\bar{2}}],\ [\mathrm{e}^{\bar{z}_1}\,\mathrm{d}\,z_{\bar{1}\bar{3}}]\right\rangle$
$(\mathbf{3},\mathbf{0})$	$\mathbb{C}\left\langle[\mathrm{d}\,z_{123}]\right\rangle$
$(\mathbf{2},\mathbf{1})$	$\mathbb{C}\left\langle[\mathrm{e}^{-z_1}\,\mathrm{d}\,z_{12\bar{1}}],\ [\mathrm{e}^{-2z_1}\,\mathrm{d}\,z_{12\bar{2}}],\ [\mathrm{d}\,z_{12\bar{3}}],\ [\mathrm{e}^{z_1}\,\mathrm{d}\,z_{13\bar{1}}],\ [\mathrm{d}\,z_{13\bar{2}}],\ [\mathrm{e}^{2z_1}\,\mathrm{d}\,z_{13\bar{3}}],\right.$ $\left.[\mathrm{d}\,z_{23\bar{1}}],\ [\mathrm{e}^{-\bar{z}_1}\,\mathrm{d}\,z_{12\bar{1}}],\ [\mathrm{e}^{\bar{z}_1}\,\mathrm{d}\,z_{13\bar{1}}]\right\rangle$
$(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})$	$\mathbb{C}\left\langle[\mathrm{e}^{-\bar{z}_1}\,\mathrm{d}\,z_{1\bar{1}\bar{2}}],\ [\mathrm{e}^{-2\bar{z}_1}\,\mathrm{d}\,z_{2\bar{1}\bar{2}}],\ [\mathrm{d}\,z_{3\bar{1}\bar{2}}],\ [\mathrm{e}^{\bar{z}_1}\,\mathrm{d}\,z_{1\bar{1}\bar{3}}],\ [\mathrm{d}\,z_{2\bar{1}\bar{3}}],\ [\mathrm{e}^{2\bar{z}_1}\,\mathrm{d}\,z_{3\bar{1}\bar{3}}],\right.$ $\left.[\mathrm{d}\,z_{1\bar{2}\bar{3}}],\ [\mathrm{e}^{-z_1}\,\mathrm{d}\,z_{1\bar{1}\bar{2}}],\ [\mathrm{e}^{z_1}\,\mathrm{d}\,z_{1\bar{1}\bar{3}}]\right\rangle$
$(\mathbf{0},\mathbf{3})$	$\mathbb{C}\left\langle[\mathrm{d}\,z_{\bar{1}\bar{2}\bar{3}}]\right\rangle$
$(\mathbf{3},\mathbf{1})$	$\mathbb{C}\left\langle[\mathrm{d}\,z_{123\bar{1}}],\ [\mathrm{e}^{-z_1}\,\mathrm{d}\,z_{123\bar{2}}],\ [\mathrm{e}^{z_1}\,\mathrm{d}\,z_{123\bar{3}}]\right\rangle$
$(\mathbf{2},\mathbf{2})$	$\mathbb{C}\left\langle[\mathrm{e}^{-2z_1}\,\mathrm{d}\,z_{12\bar{1}\bar{2}}],\ [\mathrm{d}\,z_{12\bar{1}\bar{3}}],\ [\mathrm{e}^{-z_1}\,\mathrm{d}\,z_{12\bar{2}\bar{3}}],\ [\mathrm{d}\,z_{13\bar{1}\bar{2}}],\ [\mathrm{e}^{2z_1}\,\mathrm{d}\,z_{13\bar{1}\bar{3}}],\ [\mathrm{e}^{z_1}\,\mathrm{d}\,z_{13\bar{2}\bar{3}}],\right.$ $\left.[\mathrm{d}\,z_{23\bar{2}\bar{3}}],\ [\mathrm{e}^{-2\bar{z}_1}\,\mathrm{d}\,z_{12\bar{1}\bar{2}}],\ [\mathrm{e}^{-\bar{z}_1}\,\mathrm{d}\,z_{23\bar{1}\bar{2}}],\ [\mathrm{e}^{2\bar{z}_1}\,\mathrm{d}\,z_{13\bar{1}\bar{3}}],\ [\mathrm{e}^{\bar{z}_1}\,\mathrm{d}\,z_{23\bar{1}\bar{3}}]\right\rangle$
$(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{3})$	$\mathbb{C}\left\langle[\mathrm{d}\,z_{1\bar{1}\bar{2}\bar{3}}],\ [\mathrm{e}^{-\bar{z}_1}\,\mathrm{d}\,z_{2\bar{1}\bar{2}\bar{3}}],\ [\mathrm{e}^{\bar{z}_1}\,\mathrm{d}\,z_{3\bar{1}\bar{2}\bar{3}}]\right\rangle$
$(\mathbf{3},\mathbf{2})$	$\mathbb{C}\left\langle[\mathrm{e}^{-z_1}\,\mathrm{d}\,z_{123\bar{1}\bar{2}}],\ [\mathrm{e}^{z_1}\,\mathrm{d}\,z_{123\bar{1}\bar{3}}],\ [\mathrm{d}\,z_{123\bar{2}\bar{3}}],\ [\mathrm{e}^{-\bar{z}_1}\,\mathrm{d}\,z_{123\bar{1}\bar{2}}],\ [\mathrm{e}^{\bar{z}_1}\,\mathrm{d}\,z_{123\bar{1}\bar{3}}]\right\rangle$
$(\mathbf{2},\mathbf{3})$	$\mathbb{C}\left\langle[\mathrm{e}^{-z_1}\,\mathrm{d}\,z_{12\bar{1}\bar{2}\bar{3}}],\ [\mathrm{e}^{z_1}\,\mathrm{d}\,z_{13\bar{1}\bar{2}\bar{3}}],\ [\mathrm{d}\,z_{23\bar{1}\bar{2}\bar{3}}],\ [\mathrm{e}^{-\bar{z}_1}\,\mathrm{d}\,z_{12\bar{1}\bar{2}\bar{3}}],\ [\mathrm{e}^{\bar{z}_1}\,\mathrm{d}\,z_{13\bar{1}\bar{2}\bar{3}}]\right\rangle$
$(\mathbf{3},\mathbf{3})$	$\mathbb{C}\left\langle[\mathrm{d}\,z_{123\bar{1}\bar{2}\bar{3}}]\right\rangle$

Table 8. The Bott-Chern cohomology of the complex parallelizable Nakamura manifold in case *(a)*.

case *(a)*	$A^{\bullet}_{\Gamma}$
0	$\mathbb{C}\left\langle 1\right\rangle$
1	$\mathbb{C}\left\langle \mathrm{d}\,z_{1},\ \mathrm{d}\,z_{\bar{1}}\right\rangle$
2	$\mathbb{C}\left\langle \mathrm{d}\,z_{1\bar{1}},\ \mathrm{d}\,z_{23},\ \mathrm{d}\,z_{2\bar{3}},\ \mathrm{d}\,z_{3\bar{2}},\ \mathrm{d}\,z_{\bar{2}\bar{3}}\right\rangle$
3	$\mathbb{C}\left\langle \mathrm{d}\,z_{123},\ \mathrm{d}\,z_{12\bar{3}},\ \mathrm{d}\,z_{13\bar{2}},\ \mathrm{d}\,z_{3\bar{1}\bar{2}},\ \mathrm{d}\,z_{2\bar{1}\bar{3}},\ \mathrm{d}\,z_{\bar{1}\bar{2}\bar{3}},\ \mathrm{d}\,z_{\bar{1}23},\ \mathrm{d}\,z_{1\bar{2}\bar{3}}\right\rangle$
4	$\mathbb{C}\left\langle \mathrm{d}\,z_{123\bar{1}},\ \mathrm{d}\,z_{13\bar{1}\bar{2}},\ \mathrm{d}\,z_{23\bar{2}\bar{3}},\ \mathrm{d}\,z_{12\bar{1}\bar{3}},\ \mathrm{d}\,z_{1\bar{1}\bar{2}\bar{3}}\right\rangle$
5	$\mathbb{C}\left\langle \mathrm{d}\,z_{23\bar{1}\bar{2}\bar{3}},\ \mathrm{d}\,z_{123\bar{2}\bar{3}}\right\rangle$
6	$\mathbb{C}\left\langle \mathrm{d}\,z_{123\bar{1}\bar{2}\bar{3}}\right\rangle$

Table 9. The cochain complex $A^{\bullet}_{\Gamma}$ in (1) for the complex parallelizable Nakamura manifold in case *(a)*.

and hence $H^{\bullet}\left(\wedge^{\bullet}\mathfrak{g}^{*},\,\mathrm{d}\right) \not\simeq H^{\bullet}_{dR}\left(\Gamma\backslash G\,;\mathbb{R}\right)$, see also [34, Corollary 4.2]. On the other hand, we have $H^{\bullet}\left(\wedge^{\bullet}\mathfrak{h}^{*},\,\mathrm{d}\right) \simeq H^{\bullet}_{dR}\left(\Gamma\backslash H\,;\mathbb{R}\right)$, where $\mathfrak{h}$ is the Lie algebra of H. In [30, Main Theorem], it is proven that, for any solvmanifold $\Gamma\backslash G$, there exist a connected simply-connected solvable Lie group $\tilde{G}$ and a finite index subgroup $\tilde{\Gamma}\subseteq\Gamma$ such that $H^{\bullet}\left(\wedge^{\bullet}\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}^{*},\,\mathrm{d}\right)\simeq H^{\bullet}_{dR}\left(\tilde{\Gamma}\Big\backslash G\,;\mathbb{R}\right)$, where $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$ is the Lie algebra of $\tilde{G}$.

(b) If $b\not\in\pi\,\mathbb{Z}$ or $d\not\in\pi\,\mathbb{Z}$, then the sub-complex $B^{\bullet}_{\Gamma}$ defined in (7) is

$$B^{1}_{\Gamma} = \mathbb{C}\left\langle \mathrm{d}\,\bar{z}_1\right\rangle\ ,$$

$$B^{2}_{\Gamma} = \mathbb{C}\left\langle \mathrm{d}\,\bar{z}_2\wedge\mathrm{d}\,\bar{z}_3\right\rangle\ ,$$

$$B^3_\Gamma \quad = \quad \mathbb{C}\left\langle \mathrm{d}\,\bar{z}_1 \wedge \mathrm{d}\,\bar{z}_2 \wedge \mathrm{d}\,\bar{z}_3 \right\rangle \ .$$

Then the double complex $C^{\bullet,\bullet}_\Gamma$ is given in Table 10.

case *(b)*	$C^{\bullet,\bullet}_\Gamma$
$(\mathbf{0},\mathbf{0})$	$\mathbb{C}\left\langle 1 \right\rangle$
$(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{0})$	$\mathbb{C}\left\langle \mathrm{d}\,z_1,\ \mathrm{e}^{-z_1}\,\mathrm{d}\,z_2,\ \mathrm{e}^{z_1}\,\mathrm{d}\,z_3 \right\rangle$
$(\mathbf{0},\mathbf{1})$	$\mathbb{C}\left\langle \mathrm{d}\,z_{\bar{1}},\ \mathrm{e}^{-\bar{z}_1}\,\mathrm{d}\,z_{\bar{2}},\ \mathrm{e}^{\bar{z}_1}\,\mathrm{d}\,\bar{z}_3 \right\rangle$
$(\mathbf{2},\mathbf{0})$	$\mathbb{C}\left\langle \mathrm{e}^{-z_1}\,\mathrm{d}\,z_{12},\ \mathrm{e}^{z_1}\,\mathrm{d}\,z_{13},\ \mathrm{d}\,z_{23} \right\rangle$
$(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})$	$\mathbb{C}\left\langle \mathrm{d}\,z_{1\bar{1}},\ \mathrm{e}^{-z_1}\,\mathrm{d}\,z_{2\bar{1}},\ \mathrm{e}^{z_1}\,\mathrm{d}\,z_{3\bar{1}},\ \mathrm{e}^{-\bar{z}_1}\,\mathrm{d}\,z_{1\bar{2}},\ \mathrm{e}^{\bar{z}_1}\,\mathrm{d}\,z_{1\bar{3}} \right\rangle$
$(\mathbf{0},\mathbf{2})$	$\mathbb{C}\left\langle \mathrm{e}^{-\bar{z}_1}\,\mathrm{d}\,z_{\bar{1}\bar{2}},\ \mathrm{e}^{\bar{z}_1}\,\mathrm{d}\,z_{\bar{1}\bar{3}},\ \mathrm{d}\,z_{\bar{2}\bar{3}} \right\rangle$
$(\mathbf{3},\mathbf{0})$	$\mathbb{C}\left\langle \mathrm{d}\,z_{123} \right\rangle$
$(\mathbf{2},\mathbf{1})$	$\mathbb{C}\left\langle \mathrm{e}^{-z_1}\,\mathrm{d}\,z_{12\bar{1}},\ \mathrm{e}^{z_1}\,\mathrm{d}\,z_{13\bar{1}},\ \mathrm{d}\,z_{23\bar{1}},\ \mathrm{e}^{-\bar{z}_1}\,\mathrm{d}\,z_{23\bar{2}},\ \mathrm{e}^{\bar{z}_1}\,\mathrm{d}\,z_{23\bar{3}} \right\rangle$
$(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})$	$\mathbb{C}\left\langle \mathrm{e}^{-\bar{z}_1}\,\mathrm{d}\,z_{1\bar{1}\bar{2}},\ \mathrm{e}^{\bar{z}_1}\,\mathrm{d}\,z_{1\bar{1}\bar{3}},\ \mathrm{d}\,z_{1\bar{2}\bar{3}},\ \mathrm{e}^{-z_1}\,\mathrm{d}\,z_{2\bar{2}\bar{3}},\ \mathrm{e}^{z_1}\,\mathrm{d}\,z_{3\bar{2}\bar{3}} \right\rangle$
$(\mathbf{0},\mathbf{3})$	$\mathbb{C}\left\langle \mathrm{d}\,z_{\bar{1}\bar{2}\bar{3}} \right\rangle$
$(\mathbf{3},\mathbf{1})$	$\mathbb{C}\left\langle \mathrm{d}\,z_{123\bar{1}},\ \mathrm{e}^{-\bar{z}_1}\,\mathrm{d}\,z_{123\bar{2}},\ \mathrm{e}^{\bar{z}_1}\,\mathrm{d}\,z_{123\bar{3}} \right\rangle$
$(\mathbf{2},\mathbf{2})$	$\mathbb{C}\left\langle \mathrm{e}^{-z_1}\,\mathrm{d}\,z_{12\bar{2}\bar{3}},\ \mathrm{e}^{z_1}\,\mathrm{d}\,z_{13\bar{2}\bar{3}},\ \mathrm{d}\,z_{23\bar{2}\bar{3}},\ \mathrm{e}^{-\bar{z}_1}\,\mathrm{d}\,z_{23\bar{1}\bar{2}},\ \mathrm{e}^{\bar{z}_1}\,\mathrm{d}\,z_{23\bar{1}\bar{3}} \right\rangle$
$(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{3})$	$\mathbb{C}\left\langle \mathrm{d}\,z_{1\bar{1}\bar{2}\bar{3}}\ \ \mathrm{e}^{-z_1}\,\mathrm{d}\,z_{2\bar{1}\bar{2}\bar{3}},\ \mathrm{e}^{z_1}\,\mathrm{d}\,z_{3\bar{1}\bar{2}\bar{3}} \right\rangle$
$(\mathbf{3},\mathbf{2})$	$\mathbb{C}\left\langle \mathrm{e}^{-\bar{z}_1}\,\mathrm{d}\,z_{123\bar{1}\bar{2}},\ \mathrm{e}^{\bar{z}_1}\,\mathrm{d}\,z_{123\bar{1}\bar{3}},\ \mathrm{d}\,z_{123\bar{2}\bar{3}} \right\rangle$
$(\mathbf{2},\mathbf{3})$	$\mathbb{C}\left\langle \mathrm{e}^{-z_1}\,\mathrm{d}\,z_{12\bar{1}\bar{2}\bar{3}},\ \mathrm{e}^{z_1}\,\mathrm{d}\,z_{13\bar{1}\bar{2}\bar{3}},\ \mathrm{d}\,z_{23\bar{1}\bar{2}\bar{3}} \right\rangle$
$(\mathbf{3},\mathbf{3})$	$\mathbb{C}\left\langle \mathrm{d}\,z_{123\bar{1}\bar{2}\bar{3}} \right\rangle$

TABLE 10. The double complex $C^{\bullet,\bullet}_\Gamma$ in (8) for the complex parallelizable Nakamura manifold in case *(b)*.

We compute $H^{\bullet,\bullet}_{BC}(\Gamma\backslash G)$ in case *(b)*, summarizing the results in Table 11.

The cochain complex $A^\bullet_\Gamma$ in (1) in case *(b)* is given in Table 12.

Finally, we summarize the results of the computation of the dimensions of the de Rham, the Dolbeault, and the Bott-Chern cohomologies in Table 13 (see [51, Example 2] for the Dolbeault cohomology).

Remark 2.27. Note that, for any $(p,q) \in \mathbb{Z}^2$,

$$\dim_\mathbb{C} H^{p,q}_{BC}(X) + \dim_\mathbb{C} H^{p,q}_{A}(X) \;=\; \dim_\mathbb{C} H^{p,q}_{\partial}(X) + \dim_\mathbb{C} H^{p,q}_{\bar\partial}(X)$$

in both case *(a)* and case *(b)*; note also that

$$\sum_{p+q=k} \left(\dim_\mathbb{C} H^{p,q}_{BC}(X) + \dim_\mathbb{C} H^{p,q}_{A}(X)\right) - 2\,\dim_\mathbb{C} H^{k}_{dR}(X;\mathbb{C}) \;=\; \begin{cases} 8 & \text{for } k \in \{1,\,5\} \\ 20 & \text{for } k \in \{2,\,4\} \\ 24 & \text{for } k = 3 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \qquad \text{in case } (a)\ ,$$

and

$$\sum_{p+q=k} \left(\dim_\mathbb{C} H^{p,q}_{BC}(X) + \dim_\mathbb{C} H^{p,q}_{A}(X)\right) - 2\,\dim_\mathbb{C} H^{k}_{dR}(X;\mathbb{C}) \;=\; \begin{cases} 4 & \text{for } k \in \{1,\,5\} \\ 8 & \text{for } k \in \{2,\,4\} \\ 8 & \text{for } k = 3 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \qquad \text{in case } (b)\ .$$

case *(b)*	$H^{\bullet,\bullet}_{BC}(\Gamma\backslash G)$
$(\mathbf{0},\mathbf{0})$	$\mathbb{C}\left\langle 1 \right\rangle$
$(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{0})$	$\mathbb{C}\left\langle [\mathrm{d}\, z_{1}] \right\rangle$
$(\mathbf{0},\mathbf{1})$	$\mathbb{C}\left\langle [\mathrm{d}\, z_{\bar{1}}] \right\rangle$
$(\mathbf{2},\mathbf{0})$	$\mathbb{C}\left\langle [\mathrm{e}^{-z_1}\, \mathrm{d}\, z_{12}],\ [\mathrm{e}^{z_1}\, \mathrm{d}\, z_{13}],\ [\mathrm{d}\, z_{23}] \right\rangle$
$(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})$	$\mathbb{C}\left\langle [\mathrm{d}\, z_{1\bar{1}}] \right\rangle$
$(\mathbf{0},\mathbf{2})$	$\mathbb{C}\left\langle [\mathrm{e}^{-\bar{z}_1}\, \mathrm{d}\, z_{\bar{1}\bar{2}}],\ [\mathrm{e}^{\bar{z}_1}\, \mathrm{d}\, z_{\bar{1}\bar{3}}],\ [\mathrm{d}\, z_{\bar{2}\bar{3}}] \right\rangle$
$(\mathbf{3},\mathbf{0})$	$\mathbb{C}\left\langle [\mathrm{d}\, z_{123}] \right\rangle$
$(\mathbf{2},\mathbf{1})$	$\mathbb{C}\left\langle [\mathrm{e}^{-z_1}\, \mathrm{d}\, z_{12\bar{1}}],\ [\mathrm{e}^{z_1}\, \mathrm{d}\, z_{13\bar{1}}],\ [\mathrm{d}\, z_{23\bar{1}}] \right\rangle$
$(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})$	$\mathbb{C}\left\langle [\mathrm{e}^{-\bar{z}_1}\, \mathrm{d}\, z_{1\bar{1}\bar{2}}],\ [\mathrm{e}^{\bar{z}_1}\, \mathrm{d}\, z_{1\bar{1}\bar{3}}],\ [\mathrm{d}\, z_{1\bar{2}\bar{3}}], \right\rangle$
$(\mathbf{0},\mathbf{3})$	$\mathbb{C}\left\langle [\mathrm{d}\, z_{\bar{1}\bar{2}\bar{3}}] \right\rangle$
$(\mathbf{3},\mathbf{1})$	$\mathbb{C}\left\langle [\mathrm{d}\, z_{123\bar{1}}] \right\rangle$
$(\mathbf{2},\mathbf{2})$	$\mathbb{C}\left\langle [\mathrm{e}^{-z_1}\, \mathrm{d}\, z_{12\bar{2}\bar{3}}],\ [\mathrm{e}^{z_1}\, \mathrm{d}\, z_{13\bar{2}\bar{3}}],\ [\mathrm{d}\, z_{23\bar{2}\bar{3}}],\ [\mathrm{e}^{-\bar{z}_1}\, \mathrm{d}\, z_{23\bar{1}\bar{2}}],\ [\mathrm{e}^{\bar{z}_1}\, \mathrm{d}\, z_{23\bar{1}\bar{3}}] \right\rangle$
$(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{3})$	$\mathbb{C}\left\langle [\mathrm{d}\, z_{1\bar{1}\bar{2}\bar{3}}] \right\rangle$
$(\mathbf{3},\mathbf{2})$	$\mathbb{C}\left\langle [\mathrm{e}^{-\bar{z}_1}\, \mathrm{d}\, z_{123\bar{1}\bar{2}}],\ [\mathrm{e}^{\bar{z}_1}\, \mathrm{d}\, z_{123\bar{1}\bar{3}}],\ [\mathrm{d}\, z_{123\bar{2}\bar{3}}] \right\rangle$
$(\mathbf{2},\mathbf{3})$	$\mathbb{C}\left\langle [\mathrm{e}^{-z_1}\, \mathrm{d}\, z_{12\bar{1}\bar{2}\bar{3}}],\ [\mathrm{e}^{z_1}\, \mathrm{d}\, z_{13\bar{1}\bar{2}\bar{3}}],\ [\mathrm{d}\, z_{23\bar{1}\bar{2}\bar{3}}] \right\rangle$
$(\mathbf{3},\mathbf{3})$	$\mathbb{C}\left\langle [\mathrm{d}\, z_{123\bar{1}\bar{2}\bar{3}}] \right\rangle$

Table 11. The Bott-Chern cohomology of the complex parallelizable Nakamura manifold in case *(b)*.

case *(b)*	$A^{\bullet}_{\Gamma}$
0	$\mathbb{C}\left\langle 1 \right\rangle$
1	$\mathbb{C}\left\langle \mathrm{d}\, z_{1},\ \mathrm{d}\, z_{\bar{1}} \right\rangle$
2	$\mathbb{C}\left\langle \mathrm{d}\, z_{1\bar{1}},\ \mathrm{d}\, z_{23},\ \mathrm{d}\, z_{\bar{2}\bar{3}} \right\rangle$
3	$\mathbb{C}\left\langle \mathrm{d}\, z_{123},\ \mathrm{d}\, z_{\bar{1}\bar{2}\bar{3}},\ \mathrm{d}\, z_{\bar{1}23},\ \mathrm{d}\, z_{1\bar{2}\bar{3}} \right\rangle$
4	$\mathbb{C}\left\langle \mathrm{d}\, z_{123\bar{1}},\ \mathrm{d}\, z_{23\bar{2}\bar{3}},\ \mathrm{d}\, z_{1\bar{1}\bar{2}\bar{3}} \right\rangle$
5	$\mathbb{C}\left\langle \mathrm{d}\, z_{23\bar{1}\bar{2}\bar{3}},\ \mathrm{d}\, z_{123\bar{2}\bar{3}} \right\rangle$
6	$\mathbb{C}\left\langle \mathrm{d}\, z_{123\bar{1}\bar{2}\bar{3}} \right\rangle$

Table 12. The cochain complex $A^{\bullet}_{\Gamma}$ in (1) for the complex parallelizable Nakamura manifold in case *(b)*.

2.5. **Currents.** Let X be a compact complex manifold, of complex dimension n. Denote the space of currents on X by $\mathrm{D}^{\bullet,\bullet}X := \mathrm{D}_{n-\bullet,n-\bullet}X$, namely, the topological dual space of $\wedge^{n-\bullet,n-\bullet}X$; endow $\mathrm{D}^{\bullet,\bullet}X$ with a structure of double complex, by defining $\partial\colon \mathrm{D}^{\bullet,\bullet}X \to \mathrm{D}^{\bullet+1,\bullet}X$ and $\overline{\partial}\colon \mathrm{D}^{\bullet,\bullet}X \to \mathrm{D}^{\bullet,\bullet+1}X$ by duality.

By means of the injective operator

$$T_{\cdot}\colon \wedge^{\bullet,\bullet} X \to \mathrm{D}^{\bullet,\bullet}X\,, \qquad T_{\eta} := \int_X \eta \wedge \cdot\,,$$

which satisfies $T \circ \partial = \partial \circ T$ and $T \circ \overline{\partial} = \overline{\partial} \circ T$, consider the de Rham double complex $\left(\wedge^{\bullet,\bullet}X,\, \partial,\, \overline{\partial}\right)$ as a double sub-complex of $\left(\mathrm{D}^{\bullet,\bullet},\, \partial,\, \overline{\partial}\right)$.

For $(p,q) \in \mathbb{Z}^2$, denote the sheaf of p-holomorphic forms on X by Ω^p_X, denote the sheaf of (p,q)-forms on X by $\mathcal{A}^{p,q}_X$, and denote the sheaf of bi-degree (p,q)-currents by $\mathcal{D}^{p,q}_X$. Recall that, for any fixed $p \in \mathbb{Z}$, both

$$0 \to \Omega^p_X \to \left(\mathcal{A}^{p,\bullet}_X,\, \overline{\partial}\right) \qquad \text{and} \qquad 0 \to \Omega^p_X \to \left(\mathcal{D}^{p,\bullet}_X,\, \overline{\partial}\right)$$

$\dim_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbf{H}^{\bullet,\bullet}_{\sharp}\left(\mathbf{\Gamma}\backslash\mathbf{G}\right)$	case *(a)*			case *(b)*		
	dR	$\overline{\partial}$	BC	dR	$\overline{\partial}$	BC
$(\mathbf{0},\mathbf{0})$	1	1	1	1	1	1
$(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{0})$	2	3	1	2	3	1
$(\mathbf{0},\mathbf{1})$		3	1		1	1
$(\mathbf{2},\mathbf{0})$	5	3	3	3	3	3
$(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})$		9	7		3	1
$(\mathbf{0},\mathbf{2})$		3	3		1	3
$(\mathbf{3},\mathbf{0})$	8	1	1	4	1	1
$(\mathbf{2},\mathbf{1})$		9	9		3	3
$(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})$		9	9		3	3
$(\mathbf{0},\mathbf{3})$		1	1		1	1
$(\mathbf{3},\mathbf{1})$	5	3	3	3	1	1
$(\mathbf{2},\mathbf{2})$		9	11		3	5
$(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{3})$		3	3		3	1
$(\mathbf{3},\mathbf{2})$	2	3	5	2	1	3
$(\mathbf{2},\mathbf{3})$		3	5		3	3
$(\mathbf{3},\mathbf{3})$	1	1	1	1	1	1

TABLE 13. Summary of the dimensions of the cohomologies of the complex parallelizable Nakamura manifold.

are fine (and hence acyclic, see, e.g., [37, IV.4.19]) resolutions of Ω^p_X, and hence

$$\frac{\ker\left(\overline{\partial}\colon\ \wedge^{p,\bullet} X \to \wedge^{p,\bullet+1}X\right)}{\operatorname{im}\left(\overline{\partial}\colon\ \wedge^{p,\bullet-1} X \to \wedge^{p,\bullet}X\right)} \;\simeq\; \check{H}^{\bullet}\left(X;\Omega^p_X\right) \;\simeq\; \frac{\ker\left(\overline{\partial}\colon \mathrm{D}^{p,\bullet}X \to \mathrm{D}^{p,\bullet+1}X\right)}{\operatorname{im}\left(\overline{\partial}\colon \mathrm{D}^{p,\bullet-1}X \to \mathrm{D}^{p,\bullet}X\right)} \;,$$

see, e.g., [37, IV.6.4].

Remark 2.28. More precisely, given X a compact complex manifold, for any $p \in \mathbb{Z}$ and for any $q \in \mathbb{Z}$, the maps $T_{\cdot}\colon\ (\wedge^{\bullet,q}X,\, \partial) \to (\mathrm{D}^{\bullet,q}X,\, \partial)$ and $T_{\cdot}\colon\ \left(\wedge^{p,\bullet}X,\, \overline{\partial}\right) \to \left(\mathrm{D}^{p,\bullet}X,\, \overline{\partial}\right)$ are quasi-isomorphisms.

Indeed, firstly, we show that $T_{\cdot}\colon\ \left(\wedge^{p,\bullet}X,\, \overline{\partial}\right) \to \left(\mathrm{D}^{p,\bullet}X,\, \overline{\partial}\right)$ induces an injective map in cohomology. Fix g a Hermitian metric on X. If $T_{[\alpha]} = \left[\overline{\partial}S\right] = [0] \in H^{\bullet}\left(\mathrm{D}^{p,\bullet}X,\, \overline{\partial}\right)$ with α the $\overline{\Box}_g$-harmonic representative of $[\alpha] \in H^{\bullet}\left(\wedge^{p,\bullet}X,\, \overline{\partial}\right)$ and $S \in \mathrm{D}^{p,\bullet-1}X$, then in particular $T_{\alpha}\lfloor_{\ker\overline{\partial}}= 0$. Since $\bar{*}_g\alpha \in \ker\overline{\partial}$, it follows that $0 = T_{\alpha}\left(\bar{*}_g\alpha\right) = \int \alpha \wedge \bar{*}_g\alpha$ and hence $\alpha = 0$. Now, since $\frac{\ker\left(\overline{\partial}\colon\ \wedge^{p,\bullet}X \to \wedge^{p,\bullet+1}X\right)}{\operatorname{im}\left(\overline{\partial}\colon\ \wedge^{p,\bullet-1}X \to \wedge^{p,\bullet}X\right)}$ and $\frac{\ker\left(\overline{\partial}\colon\ \mathrm{D}^{p,\bullet}X \to \mathrm{D}^{p,\bullet+1}X\right)}{\operatorname{im}\left(\overline{\partial}\colon\ \mathrm{D}^{p,\bullet-1}X \to \mathrm{D}^{p,\bullet}X\right)}$ are isomorphic $\mathbb{C}$-vector space of finite dimension, it follows that $T_{\cdot}\colon\ \left(\wedge^{p,\bullet}X,\, \overline{\partial}\right) \to \left(\mathrm{D}^{p,\bullet}X,\, \overline{\partial}\right)$ is actually a quasi-isomorphism. By conjugation, also $T_{\cdot}\colon\ (\wedge^{\bullet,q}X,\, \partial) \to (\mathrm{D}^{\bullet,q}X,\, \partial)$ is a quasi-isomorphism.

By applying Proposition 1.1 to $\left(\wedge^{p,\bullet}X,\, \overline{\partial}\right) \hookrightarrow \left(\mathrm{D}^{p,\bullet}X,\, \overline{\partial}\right)$, or by noting that both $0 \to \underline{\mathbb{C}}_X \to \left(\mathcal{A}^{\bullet}_X \otimes \mathbb{C},\, \mathrm{d}\right)$ and $0 \to \underline{\mathbb{C}}_X \to \left(\mathcal{D}^{\bullet}_X \otimes \mathbb{C},\, \mathrm{d}\right)$ are acyclic resolutions of the constant sheaf $\underline{\mathbb{C}}_X$ over X (where, for $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, the sheaf of k-forms on X is denoted by $\mathcal{A}^k_X$, and the sheaf of degree k-currents is denoted by $\mathcal{D}^k_X$), one gets that

$$\frac{\ker\left(\mathrm{d}\colon\ \wedge^{\bullet} X \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C} \to \wedge^{\bullet+1}X \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}\right)}{\operatorname{im}\left(\overline{\partial}\colon\ \wedge^{\bullet-1} X \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C} \to \wedge^{\bullet}X \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}\right)} \;\simeq\; \check{H}^{\bullet}\left(X;\underline{\mathbb{C}}_X\right) \;\simeq\; \frac{\ker\left(\mathrm{d}\colon \mathrm{D}^{\bullet}X \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C} \to \mathrm{D}^{\bullet+1}X \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}\right)}{\operatorname{im}\left(\mathrm{d}\colon \mathrm{D}^{\bullet-1}X \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C} \to \mathrm{D}^{\bullet}X \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}\right)} \;.$$

Lemma 2.29. *Let X be a compact complex manifold. For any $(p,q) \in \mathbb{Z}^2$, the map $T_{\cdot}\colon\ \wedge^{\bullet,\bullet} X \to \mathrm{D}^{\bullet,\bullet}X$ induces a surjective map*

$$T_{\cdot}\colon\ \frac{\ker\left(\mathrm{d}\colon\ \wedge^{p,q} X \to \wedge^{p+q+1}X \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}\right)}{\operatorname{im}\left(\mathrm{d}\colon\ \wedge^{p+q-1} X \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C} \to \wedge^{p+q}X \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}\right)} \to \frac{\ker\left(\mathrm{d}\colon \mathrm{D}^{p,q}X \to \mathrm{D}^{p+q+1}X \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}\right)}{\operatorname{im}\left(\mathrm{d}\colon \mathrm{D}^{p+q-1}X \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C} \to \mathrm{D}^{p+q}X \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}\right)} \;,$$

in fact an isomorphism.

Proof. Consider the regularization process in [38, Theorem III.12]: there exist $R\colon \mathrm{D}^{\bullet,\bullet}X \to \wedge^{\bullet,\bullet}X$ and $A\colon \mathrm{D}^{\bullet}X \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C} \to \mathrm{D}^{\bullet+1}X \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}$ linear operators such that

$$\mathrm{id}_{\mathrm{D}^{\bullet,\bullet}X} \;=\; R + \mathrm{d}\,A + A\,\mathrm{d}\,, \qquad \text{and} \qquad R\lfloor_{\wedge^{\bullet,\bullet}X} \;=\; \mathrm{id}_{\wedge^{\bullet,\bullet}X} \;\text{ and }\; A\lfloor_{\wedge^{\bullet,\bullet}X} \;=\; 0\,.$$

Take $S \in \frac{\ker\left(\mathrm{d}\colon\, \mathrm{D}^{p,q}X \to \mathrm{D}^{p+q+1}X\otimes_{\mathbb{R}}\mathbb{C}\right)}{\mathrm{im}(\mathrm{d}\colon\, \mathrm{D}^{p+q-1}X\otimes_{\mathbb{R}}\mathbb{C} \to \mathrm{D}^{p+q}X\otimes_{\mathbb{R}}\mathbb{C})}$. Since the map $T_{\cdot}\colon\, \wedge^{\bullet,\bullet}X \to \mathrm{D}^{\bullet,\bullet}X$ is a quasi-isomorphism, then there exist $\eta \in \ker\mathrm{d} \cap \wedge^{p,q}X$ and $U \in \mathrm{D}^{p+q-1}X \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}$ such that

$$S \;=\; T_\eta + \mathrm{d}\,U\;;$$

hence one gets

$$RS \;=\; T_\eta + \mathrm{d}\left(U - AS\right)\;,$$

and hence the lemma follows. ☐

As a consequence, by using Theorem 1.3, we get another proof of the following result by M. Schweitzer: see [77], and also [58, §3.4], where it is noticed as a consequence of the hypercohomological interpretation of the Bott-Chern cohomology, see also [37, IV.12.1].

Corollary 2.30 (see [77, §4.d])**.** *Let X be a compact complex manifold. Then, for any $(p,q) \in \mathbb{Z}^2$, the natural map*

$$T_{\cdot}\colon\; \frac{\ker\left(\partial+\overline{\partial}\colon\; \wedge^{p,q}X \to \wedge^{p+1,q}X \oplus \wedge^{p,q+1}X\right)}{\mathrm{im}\left(\partial\overline{\partial}\colon\; \wedge^{p-1,q-1}X \to \wedge^{p,q}X\right)} \to \frac{\ker\left(\partial+\overline{\partial}\colon\, \mathrm{D}^{p,q}X \to \mathrm{D}^{p+1,q}X \oplus \mathrm{D}^{p,q+1}X\right)}{\mathrm{im}\left(\partial\overline{\partial}\colon\, \mathrm{D}^{p-1,q-1}X \to \mathrm{D}^{p,q}X\right)}$$

induced by $T_{\cdot}\colon\, \wedge^{\bullet,\bullet}X \ni \eta \mapsto T_\eta := \int_X \eta \wedge \cdot \in \mathrm{D}^{\bullet,\bullet}X$ is an isomorphism.

Proof. We firstly prove that $T_{\cdot}$ induces an injective map in Bott-Chern cohomology. Indeed, let $\mathfrak{a} = [\alpha] \in H^{p,q}_{BC}(X)$ be such that $[T_{\mathfrak{a}}] = 0 \in \frac{\ker\left(\partial+\overline{\partial}\colon\, \mathrm{D}^{p,q}X \to \mathrm{D}^{p+1,q}X\oplus\mathrm{D}^{p,q+1}X\right)}{\mathrm{im}\left(\partial\overline{\partial}\colon\, \mathrm{D}^{p-1,q-1}X \to \mathrm{D}^{p,q}X\right)}$. Choose g a Hermitian metric on X, and let $\alpha \in \wedge^{p,q}X$ be the $\tilde{\Delta}^{BC}$-harmonic representative of $\mathfrak{a}$ with respect to g. Therefore, there exists $S \in \mathrm{D}^{p-1,q-1}X$ such that $T_\alpha = \partial\overline{\partial}S$. In particular, $T_\alpha\lfloor_{\ker\partial\overline{\partial}} = 0$. Since $\bar{*}_g\alpha \in \ker\partial\overline{\partial}$, it follows that $0 = T_\alpha\left(\bar{*}_g\alpha\right) = \int_X \alpha \wedge \bar{*}_g\alpha$, and hence $\mathfrak{a} = [\alpha] = 0$.

We prove now that $T_{\cdot}$ induces a surjective map in Bott-Chern cohomology. Firstly, by Remark 2.28, for any $p \in \mathbb{N}$ and for any $q \in \mathbb{N}$, the maps $T_{\cdot}\colon\, (\wedge^{\bullet,q}X,\, \partial) \to (\mathrm{D}^{\bullet,q}X,\, \partial)$ and $T_{\cdot}\colon\, \left(\wedge^{p,\bullet}X,\, \overline{\partial}\right) \to \left(\mathrm{D}^{p,\bullet}X,\, \overline{\partial}\right)$ are quasi-isomorphisms. Furthermore, by Lemma 2.29, the induced map

$$T_{\cdot}\colon\; \frac{\ker\left(\mathrm{d}\colon\; \wedge^{\bullet}X \otimes \mathbb{C} \to \wedge^{\bullet+1}X \otimes \mathbb{C}\right) \cap \wedge^{p,q}X}{\mathrm{im}\left(\mathrm{d}\colon\; \wedge^{\bullet-1}X \otimes \mathbb{C} \to \wedge^{\bullet}X \otimes \mathbb{C}\right)} \to \frac{\ker\left(\mathrm{d}\colon\, \mathrm{D}^{\bullet}X \otimes \mathbb{C} \to \mathrm{D}^{\bullet+1}X \otimes \mathbb{C}\right) \cap \mathrm{D}^{p,q}X}{\mathrm{im}\left(\mathrm{d}\colon\, \mathrm{D}^{\bullet-1}X \otimes \mathbb{C} \to \mathrm{D}^{\bullet}X \otimes \mathbb{C}\right)}$$

is surjective. Hence, Theorem 1.3 applies, yielding that the map $T_{\cdot}$ induces a surjective map in Bott-Chern cohomology. ☐

Remark 2.31. Given X a compact complex manifold of complex dimension n and G a finite group of biholomorphisms of X, consider the compact complex *orbifold* $\tilde{X} := X/G$ of complex dimension n (namely, [76, Definition 2], X is a singular complex space whose singularities are locally isomorphic to quotient singularities $\mathbb{C}^n/G$ with $G \subset \mathrm{GL}\left(\mathbb{C}^n\right)$ finite; see [19, Theorem 1], see also [70, Theorem 1.7.2]).

By extending the action of G on X to $\wedge^{\bullet}X$, respectively $\wedge^{\bullet,\bullet}X$, set $\wedge^{\bullet}\tilde{X}$ the space of G-invariant forms in $\wedge^{\bullet}X$, respectively $\wedge^{\bullet,\bullet}\tilde{X}$ the space of G-invariant forms in $\wedge^{\bullet,\bullet}X$. Analogously, consider $\mathrm{D}^{\bullet}\tilde{X}$ the space of G-invariant currents in $\mathrm{D}^{\bullet}X$, respectively $\mathrm{D}^{\bullet,\bullet}\tilde{X}$ the space of G-invariant currents in $\mathrm{D}^{\bullet,\bullet}X$.

Consider the sub-complex $T_{\cdot}\colon\, \left(\wedge^{\bullet,\bullet}\tilde{X},\, \partial,\, \overline{\partial}\right) \hookrightarrow \left(\mathrm{D}^{\bullet,\bullet}\tilde{X},\, \partial,\, \overline{\partial}\right)$. By W. L. Baily's result [13, page 807], and arguing as in Remark 1.9 by means of a Hermitian metric on $\tilde{X}$, namely, a G-invariant Hermitian metric on X, it follows that, for any $p \in \mathbb{N}$, the induced inclusion $T_{\cdot}\colon\, \left(\wedge^{p,\bullet}\tilde{X},\, \overline{\partial}\right) \hookrightarrow \left(\mathrm{D}^{p,\bullet}\tilde{X},\, \overline{\partial}\right)$ is a quasi-isomorphism; by conjugation, it follows also that, for any $q \in \mathbb{N}$, the induced inclusion $T_{\cdot}\colon\, \left(\wedge^{\bullet,q}\tilde{X},\, \partial\right) \hookrightarrow \left(\mathrm{D}^{\bullet,q}\tilde{X},\, \partial\right)$ is a quasi-isomorphism. In particular, by using Proposition 1.1, one recovers that the induced inclusion $T_{\cdot}\colon\, \left(\wedge^{\bullet}\tilde{X},\, \mathrm{d}\right) \hookrightarrow \left(\mathrm{D}^{\bullet}\tilde{X},\, \mathrm{d}\right)$ is a quasi-isomorphism, as proved also by I. Satake, [76, Theorem 1].

We note that the inclusion $T_{\cdot}\colon \wedge^{\bullet,\bullet}\tilde{X} \to \mathrm{D}^{\bullet,\bullet}\tilde{X}$ induces a surjective map

$$T_{\cdot}\colon \frac{\ker\left(\mathrm{d}\colon \wedge^{p+q}\tilde{X}\otimes_{\mathbb{R}}\mathbb{C} \to \wedge^{p+q+1}\tilde{X}\otimes_{\mathbb{R}}\mathbb{C}\right)\cap\wedge^{p,q}\tilde{X}}{\mathrm{im}\left(\mathrm{d}\colon \wedge^{p+q-1}\tilde{X}\otimes_{\mathbb{R}}\mathbb{C}\to\wedge^{p+q}\tilde{X}\otimes_{\mathbb{R}}\mathbb{C}\right)}$$

$$\to \quad \frac{\ker\left(\mathrm{d}\colon \mathrm{D}^{p+q}\tilde{X}\otimes_{\mathbb{R}}\mathbb{C}\to\mathrm{D}^{p+q+1}\tilde{X}\otimes_{\mathbb{R}}\mathbb{C}\right)\cap\mathrm{D}^{p,q}\tilde{X}}{\mathrm{im}\left(\mathrm{d}\colon \mathrm{D}^{p+q-1}\tilde{X}\otimes_{\mathbb{R}}\mathbb{C}\to\mathrm{D}^{p+q}\tilde{X}\otimes_{\mathbb{R}}\mathbb{C}\right)} \;;$$

indeed, since $g^*\circ T\circ g^* = T$ for any $g\in G$, the regularization (see [38, Theorem III.12]) of a G-invariant current of bidegree (p,q) gives a G-invariant (p,q)-form.

Hence, Theorem 1.3 applies, yielding that, for any $(p,q)\in\mathbb{N}^2$, the inclusion $T_{\cdot}$ induces an isomorphism

$$T_{\cdot}\colon \frac{\ker\left(\mathrm{d}\colon \wedge^{p,q}\tilde{X}\to\wedge^{p+1,q}\tilde{X}\oplus\wedge^{p,q+1}\tilde{X}\right)}{\mathrm{im}\left(\partial\overline{\partial}\colon \wedge^{p-1,q-1}\tilde{X}\to\wedge^{p,q}\tilde{X}\right)} \xrightarrow{\simeq} \frac{\ker\left(\mathrm{d}\colon \mathrm{D}^{p,q}\tilde{X}\to\mathrm{D}^{p+1,q}\tilde{X}\oplus\mathrm{D}^{p,q+1}\tilde{X}\right)}{\mathrm{im}\left(\partial\overline{\partial}\colon \mathrm{D}^{p-1,q-1}\tilde{X}\to\mathrm{D}^{p,q}\tilde{X}\right)} \;,$$

as proved also in [5, Theorem 2.1].

Note that one can argue also by means of the sheaf-theoretic interpretation of the Bott-Chern and Aeppli cohomologies, developed by J.-P. Demailly, [37, §V I.12.1] and M. Schweitzer, [77, §4], see also [58, §3.2].

2.6. **Symplectic manifolds.** Let X be a $2n$-dimensional compact manifold endowed with a *symplectic structure* ω, namely, a non-degenerate d-closed 2-form on X. The symplectic form ω yields the natural isomorphism

$$I\colon TX\to T^*X\;,\qquad I(\cdot)(\cdot\cdot)\;:=\;\omega(\cdot,\cdot\cdot)\;.$$

Define the *canonical Poisson bi-vector* associated to ω as

$$\Pi\;=:\;\omega^{-1}\;:=\;\omega\left(I^{-1}\cdot, I^{-1}\cdot\cdot\right)\;\in\;\wedge^2 TX\;,$$

and, for $k\in\mathbb{N}$, let $\left(\omega^{-1}\right)^k$ be a bi-linear form on $\wedge^k X$ defined, on the simple elements $\alpha^1\wedge\cdots\wedge\alpha^k\in\wedge^k X$ and $\beta^1\wedge\cdots\wedge\beta^k\in\wedge^k X$, as

$$\left(\omega^{-1}\right)^k\left(\alpha^1\wedge\cdots\wedge\alpha^k,\,\beta^1\wedge\cdots\wedge\beta^k\right)\;:=\;\det\left(\omega^{-1}\left(\alpha^i,\,\beta^j\right)\right)_{i,j\in\{1,\ldots,k\}}\;.$$

Define the *symplectic-$\star$-operator*, [22, §2],

$$\star_\omega\colon\;\wedge^\bullet X\to\wedge^{2n-\bullet}X$$

by requiring that, for every $\alpha,\beta\in\wedge^k X$,

$$\alpha\wedge\star_\omega\beta\;=\;\left(\omega^{-1}\right)^k(\alpha,\beta)\,\omega^n\;.$$

The operators

$$\begin{aligned} L\;&\in\;\mathrm{End}^2\left(\wedge^\bullet X\right)\;, &\qquad L(\alpha)\;&:=\;\omega\wedge\alpha\;,\\ \Lambda\;&\in\;\mathrm{End}^{-2}\left(\wedge^\bullet X\right)\;, &\qquad \Lambda(\alpha)\;&:=\;-\iota_\Pi\alpha\;,\\ H\;&\in\;\mathrm{End}^0\left(\wedge^\bullet X\right)\;, &\qquad H(\alpha)\;&:=\;\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}}(n-k)\,\pi_{\wedge^k X}\alpha\;,\end{aligned}$$

yield an $\mathfrak{sl}(2;\mathbb{R})$-representation on $\wedge^\bullet X$, see, e.g., [88, Corollary 1.6] (where $\iota_\xi\colon\wedge^\bullet X\to\wedge^{\bullet-2}X$ denotes the interior product with $\xi\in\wedge^2(TX)$, and $\pi_{\wedge^k X}\colon\wedge^\bullet X\to\wedge^k X$ denotes the natural projection onto $\wedge^k X$, for $k\in\mathbb{N}$).

Define the *symplectic co-differential operator* as

$$\mathrm{d}^\Lambda\;:=\;[\mathrm{d},\Lambda]\;\in\;\mathrm{End}^{-1}\left(\wedge^\bullet X\right)\;;$$

one has that

$$\mathrm{d}^2\;=\;\left(\mathrm{d}^\Lambda\right)^2\;=\;\left[\mathrm{d},\,\mathrm{d}^\Lambda\right]\;=\;0\;,$$

see, e.g., [59, page 266, page 265], [22, Proposition 1.2.3, Theorem 1.3.1].

Miming the Bott-Chern cohomology for complex manifolds, other than the cohomologies

$$H^\bullet_{dR}(X;\mathbb{R})\;:=\;\frac{\ker\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{im}\,\mathrm{d}}\qquad\text{and}\qquad H^\bullet_{\mathrm{d}^\Lambda}(X;\mathbb{R})\;:=\;\frac{\ker\mathrm{d}^\Lambda}{\mathrm{im}\,\mathrm{d}^\Lambda}\;,$$

one can define, following L.-S. Tseng and S.-T. Yau, [79, §3.2, §3.3], see also [80, 81],

$$H^\bullet_{BC}(X;\mathbb{R}) \;:=\; \frac{\ker \mathrm{d} \cap \ker \mathrm{d}^\Lambda}{\mathrm{im}\, \mathrm{d}\, \mathrm{d}^\Lambda} \qquad \text{and} \qquad H^\bullet_{A}(X;\mathbb{R}) \;:=\; \frac{\ker \mathrm{d}\, \mathrm{d}^\Lambda}{\mathrm{im}\, \mathrm{d} + \mathrm{im}\, \mathrm{d}^\Lambda} \;.$$

Note that $\star_\omega^2 = \mathrm{id}_{\wedge^\bullet X}$, [22, Lemma 2.1.2], and that $\mathrm{d}^\Lambda\lfloor_{\wedge^k X} = (-1)^{k+1} \star_\omega \mathrm{d} \star_\omega$ for any $k \in \mathbb{N}$, [22, Theorem 2.2.1]. In particular, it follows that the symplectic Hodge-$\star$-operator induces the isomorphism, [22, Corollary 2.2.2],

$$\star_\omega \colon H^\bullet_{dR}(X;\mathbb{R}) \stackrel{\simeq}{\to} H^{2n-\bullet}_{\mathrm{d}^\Lambda}(X;\mathbb{R}) \;.$$

Let J be an ω-compatible almost-complex structure on X, namely, $g := \omega(\cdot,\, J\cdot\cdot)$ is a J-Hermitian metric on X. As a consequence of the Hodge theory for L.-S. Tseng and S.-T. Yau's symplectic cohomologies developed in [79, §3.2, §3.3], one gets that the Hodge-$*$-operator $*_g \colon \wedge^\bullet X \to \wedge^{2n-\bullet} X$ induces the isomorphism, [79, Corollary 3.25],

$$*_g \colon H^\bullet_{BC}(X;\mathbb{R}) \stackrel{\simeq}{\to} H^{2n-\bullet}_{A}(X;\mathbb{R}) \;.$$

Let $X = \Gamma\backslash G$ be a solvmanifold endowed with a G-left-invariant symplectic structure ω. Denote the Lie algebra associated to G by $\mathfrak{g}$: it is endowed with the linear symplectic structure $\omega \in \wedge^2\mathfrak{g}^*$. By means of left-translations, one has the $\mathbb{Z}$-graded $\mathbb{R}$-vector sub-space $\iota\colon \wedge^\bullet \mathfrak{g}^* \hookrightarrow \wedge^\bullet X$; since ω is G-left-invariant, the space $\wedge^\bullet\mathfrak{g}^*$ is endowed with the (restrictions of the) differentials d and d^Λ. Therefore one can consider the $\mathbb{Z}$-graded $\mathbb{R}$-vector spaces

$$H^\bullet_{dR}(\mathfrak{g};\mathbb{R}) \;:=\; \frac{\ker \mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{im}\, \mathrm{d}} \;, \qquad H^\bullet_{\mathrm{d}^\Lambda}(\mathfrak{g};\mathbb{R}) \;:=\; \frac{\ker \mathrm{d}^\Lambda}{\mathrm{im}\, \mathrm{d}^\Lambda} \;,$$

and

$$H^\bullet_{BC}(\mathfrak{g};\mathbb{R}) \;:=\; \frac{\ker \mathrm{d} \cap \ker \mathrm{d}^\Lambda}{\mathrm{im}\, \mathrm{d}\, \mathrm{d}^\Lambda} \;, \qquad H^\bullet_{A}(\mathfrak{g};\mathbb{R}) \;:=\; \frac{\ker \mathrm{d}\, \mathrm{d}^\Lambda}{\mathrm{im}\, \mathrm{d} + \mathrm{im}\, \mathrm{d}^\Lambda} \;.$$

The inclusion $\iota\colon \wedge^\bullet \mathfrak{g}^* \hookrightarrow \wedge^\bullet X$ induces, for $\sharp \in \left\{dR,\, \mathrm{d}^\Lambda,\, BC,\, A\right\}$, the natural map

$$\iota \colon H^\bullet_\sharp(\mathfrak{g};\mathbb{R}) \to H^\bullet_\sharp(X;\mathbb{R}) \;.$$

By A. Hattori's theorem [48, Corollary 4.2], if G is completely-solvable, then the natural map $H^\bullet_{dR}(\mathfrak{g};\mathbb{R}) \to H^\bullet_{dR}(X;\mathbb{R})$ is an isomorphism.

The following result states that, for a completely-solvable solvmanifold, the L.-S. Tseng and S.-T. Yau symplectic cohomologies can be computed using just left-invariant forms; we refer to [62, Theorem 3] by M. Macrì for a different proof of the same result.

Theorem 2.32 (see [62, Theorem 3]). *Let $\Gamma\backslash G$ be a completely-solvable solvmanifold endowed with a G-left-invariant symplectic structure ω. Then, for $\sharp \in \left\{dR,\, \mathrm{d}^\Lambda,\, BC,\, A\right\}$, the natural map*

$$\iota \colon H^\bullet_\sharp(\mathfrak{g};\mathbb{R}) \to H^\bullet_\sharp(X;\mathbb{R})$$

is an isomorphism.

Proof. We split the proof in the following steps.

`Step 1` – *The de Rham cohomology.*

By Hattori's theorem [48, Corollary 4.2], one has that the natural map $\iota\colon H^\bullet_{dR}(\mathfrak{g};\mathbb{R}) \to H^\bullet_{dR}(X;\mathbb{R})$ is an isomorphism.

`Step 2` – *The symplectic* d^Λ*-cohomology.*

Since ω is G-left-invariant, then the symplectic-$\star$-operator $\star_\omega\colon \wedge^\bullet X \to \wedge^{\dim X - \bullet} X$ induces the isomorphism $\star_\omega\lfloor_{\wedge^\bullet\mathfrak{g}^*}\colon \wedge^\bullet \mathfrak{g}^* \to \wedge^{\dim_{\mathbb{R}}\mathfrak{g}-\bullet}\mathfrak{g}^*$. Hence, since $\left(\star_\omega\lfloor_{\wedge^\bullet\mathfrak{g}^*}\right)^2 = \star_\omega^2\lfloor_{\wedge^\bullet\mathfrak{g}^*} = \mathrm{id}_{\wedge^\bullet\mathfrak{g}^*}$ and $\mathrm{d}^\Lambda\lfloor_{\wedge^\bullet\mathfrak{g}^*} = (-1)^{k+1} \star_\omega\lfloor_{\wedge^\bullet\mathfrak{g}^*} \mathrm{d}\lfloor_{\wedge^\bullet\mathfrak{g}^*} \star_\omega\lfloor_{\wedge^\bullet\mathfrak{g}^*}$ for any $k \in \mathbb{N}$, one has the isomorphism $\star_\omega\colon H^\bullet_{dR}(\mathfrak{g};\mathbb{R}) \to H^{\dim_{\mathbb{R}}\mathfrak{g}-\bullet}_{\mathrm{d}^\Lambda}(\mathfrak{g};\mathbb{R})$. Therefore one gets the commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
H^\bullet_{dR}(\mathfrak{g};\mathbb{R}) \arrow[r, "\simeq", "\iota"'] \arrow[d, "\star_\omega"', "\simeq"] & H^\bullet_{dR}(X;\mathbb{R}) \arrow[d, "\star_\omega"', "\simeq"] \\
H^{\dim_{\mathbb{R}}\mathfrak{g}-\bullet}_{\mathrm{d}^\Lambda}(\mathfrak{g};\mathbb{R}) \arrow[r, "\iota"'] & H^{\dim X-\bullet}_{\mathrm{d}^\Lambda}(X;\mathbb{R}) \;,
\end{tikzcd}$$

from which it follows that the natural map $\iota\colon H^\bullet_{\mathrm{d}^\Lambda}(\mathfrak{g};\mathbb{R}) \to H^\bullet_{\mathrm{d}^\Lambda}(X;\mathbb{R})$ is an isomorphism.

Step 3 – *The symplectic Bott-Chern cohomology.*
Consider the $\mathbb{Z}$-graded $\mathbb{R}$-vector space $\wedge^\bullet X$ endowed with $\mathrm{d} \in \mathrm{End}^1\left(\wedge^\bullet X\right)$ and $\mathrm{d}^\Lambda \in \mathrm{End}^{-1}\left(\wedge^\bullet X\right)$ such that $\mathrm{d}^2 = \left(\mathrm{d}^\Lambda\right)^2 = \left[\mathrm{d},\, \mathrm{d}^\Lambda\right] = 0$. Following [22, §1.3], [23, §4.2], see [43, §II.2], [27, §II], take an infinite cyclic multiplicative group $\{\beta^m \;:\; m \in \mathbb{Z}\}$, and define the $\mathbb{Z}^2$-graded $\mathbb{R}$-vector space

$$\mathrm{Doub}^{\bullet_1,\bullet_2} \wedge^\bullet X \;:=\; \wedge^{\bullet_1-\bullet_2} X \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{R}\beta^{\bullet_2}$$

endowed with

$$\mathrm{d} \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathrm{id} \;\in\; \mathrm{End}^{1,0}\left(\mathrm{Doub}^{\bullet,\bullet} \wedge^\bullet X\right) \qquad \text{and} \qquad \mathrm{d}^\Lambda \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \beta \;\in\; \mathrm{End}^{0,1}\left(\mathrm{Doub}^{\bullet,\bullet} \wedge^\bullet X\right) \;.$$

Then, for $\sharp \in \left\{dR,\, \mathrm{d}^\Lambda,\, BC,\, A\right\}$, by denoting with $H^{\bullet,\bullet}_{\sharp_{\mathrm{d}\otimes_{\mathbb{R}}\mathrm{id},\mathrm{d}^\Lambda\otimes_{\mathbb{R}}\beta}}\left(\mathrm{Doub}^{\bullet,\bullet} \wedge^\bullet X\right)$ the cohomology of the complex associated to $\sharp_{\mathrm{d}\otimes_{\mathbb{R}}\mathrm{id},\mathrm{d}^\Lambda\otimes_{\mathbb{R}}\beta}$, there are natural isomorphisms of $\mathbb{R}$-vector spaces, [10, Lemma 1.5],

$$H^{\bullet_1,\bullet_2}_{\sharp_{\mathrm{d}\otimes_{\mathbb{R}}\mathrm{id},\mathrm{d}^\Lambda\otimes_{\mathbb{R}}\beta}}\left(\mathrm{Doub}^{\bullet,\bullet} \wedge^\bullet X\right) \;\simeq\; H^{\bullet_1-\bullet_2}_{\sharp_{\mathrm{d},\mathrm{d}^\Lambda}}\left(\wedge^\bullet X\right) \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{R}\beta^{\bullet_2} \;.$$

Analogously, by setting $\mathrm{Doub}^{\bullet_1,\bullet_2} \wedge^\bullet \mathfrak{g}^* := \wedge^{\bullet_1-\bullet_2}\mathfrak{g}^* \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{R}\beta^{\bullet_2}$, one gets the double sub-complex

$$\left(\mathrm{Doub}^{\bullet,\bullet} \wedge^\bullet \mathfrak{g}^*,\, \mathrm{d}\otimes_{\mathbb{R}}\mathrm{id},\, \mathrm{d}^\Lambda \otimes_{\mathbb{R}}\beta\right) \hookrightarrow \left(\mathrm{Doub}^{\bullet,\bullet} \wedge^\bullet X,\, \mathrm{d}\otimes_{\mathbb{R}}\mathrm{id},\, \mathrm{d}^\Lambda \otimes_{\mathbb{R}}\beta\right) \;.$$

Once again, for $\sharp \in \left\{dR,\, \mathrm{d}^\Lambda,\, BC,\, A\right\}$ there are natural isomorphisms of $\mathbb{R}$-vector spaces

$$H^{\bullet_1,\bullet_2}_{\sharp_{\mathrm{d}\otimes_{\mathbb{R}}\mathrm{id},\mathrm{d}^\Lambda\otimes_{\mathbb{R}}\beta}}\left(\mathrm{Doub}^{\bullet,\bullet} \wedge^\bullet \mathfrak{g}^*\right) \;\simeq\; H^{\bullet_1-\bullet_2}_{\sharp_{\mathrm{d},\mathrm{d}^\Lambda}}\left(\wedge^\bullet \mathfrak{g}^*\right) \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{R}\beta^{\bullet_2} \;.$$

In particular, one has that, for every $r \in \mathbb{Z}$, for every $s \in \mathbb{Z}$, the induced maps $\left(\mathrm{Doub}^{r,\bullet} \wedge^\bullet \mathfrak{g}^*,\, \mathrm{d}^\Lambda \otimes_{\mathbb{R}}\beta\right) \hookrightarrow \left(\mathrm{Doub}^{r,\bullet} \wedge^\bullet X,\, \mathrm{d}^\Lambda \otimes_{\mathbb{R}}\beta\right)$ and $\left(\mathrm{Doub}^{\bullet,s} \wedge^\bullet \mathfrak{g}^*,\, \mathrm{d}\otimes_{\mathbb{R}}\mathrm{id}\right) \hookrightarrow \left(\mathrm{Doub}^{\bullet,s} \wedge^\bullet X,\, \mathrm{d}\otimes_{\mathbb{R}}\mathrm{id}\right)$ are quasi-isomorphisms.

Note that both the spectral sequences associated to the double complex $\left(\mathrm{Doub}^{\bullet,\bullet} \wedge^\bullet X,\, \mathrm{d}\otimes_{\mathbb{R}}\mathrm{id},\, \mathrm{d}^\Lambda \otimes_{\mathbb{R}}\beta\right)$ degenerate at the first level, [22, Theorem 2.3.1], [40, Theorem 2.5], see also [40, Theorem 2.9], [25, Theorem 5.2]. Hence it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{\ker\left(\mathrm{d}\colon\; \mathrm{Tot}^{p+q}\left(\mathrm{Doub}^{\bullet,\bullet} \wedge^\bullet \mathfrak{g}^*\right) \to \mathrm{Tot}^{p+q+1}\left(\mathrm{Doub}^{\bullet,\bullet} \wedge^\bullet \mathfrak{g}^*\right)\right) \cap \mathrm{Doub}^{p,q} \wedge^\bullet \mathfrak{g}^*}{\mathrm{im}\left(\mathrm{d}\colon\; \mathrm{Tot}^{p+q-1}\left(\mathrm{Doub}^{\bullet,\bullet} \wedge^\bullet \mathfrak{g}^*\right) \to \mathrm{Tot}^{p+q}\left(\mathrm{Doub}^{\bullet,\bullet} \wedge^\bullet \mathfrak{g}^*\right)\right)} \\ &\simeq\; H^{p,q}_{dR}\left(\mathrm{Doub}^{\bullet,\bullet} \wedge^\bullet \mathfrak{g}^*\right) \;\simeq\; H^{p-q}_{dR}(\mathfrak{g};\mathbb{R}) \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{R}\beta^q \;, \end{aligned}$$

and that

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{\ker\left(\mathrm{d}\colon\; \mathrm{Tot}^{p+q}\left(\mathrm{Doub}^{\bullet,\bullet} \wedge^\bullet X\right) \to \mathrm{Tot}^{p+q+1}\left(\mathrm{Doub}^{\bullet,\bullet} \wedge^\bullet X\right)\right) \cap \mathrm{Doub}^{p,q} \wedge^\bullet X}{\mathrm{im}\left(\mathrm{d}\colon\; \mathrm{Tot}^{p+q-1}\left(\mathrm{Doub}^{\bullet,\bullet} \wedge^\bullet X\right) \to \mathrm{Tot}^{p+q}\left(\mathrm{Doub}^{\bullet,\bullet} \wedge^\bullet X\right)\right)} \\ &\simeq\; H^{p,q}_{dR}\left(\mathrm{Doub}^{\bullet,\bullet} \wedge^\bullet X\right) \;\simeq\; H^{p-q}_{dR}(X;\mathbb{R}) \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{R}\beta^q \;. \end{aligned}$$

Hence the induced map

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{\ker\left(\mathrm{d}\colon\; \mathrm{Tot}^{p+q}\left(\mathrm{Doub}^{\bullet,\bullet} \wedge^\bullet \mathfrak{g}^*\right) \to \mathrm{Tot}^{p+q+1}\left(\mathrm{Doub}^{\bullet,\bullet} \wedge^\bullet \mathfrak{g}^*\right)\right) \cap \mathrm{Doub}^{p,q} \wedge^\bullet \mathfrak{g}^*}{\mathrm{im}\left(\mathrm{d}\colon\; \mathrm{Tot}^{p+q-1}\left(\mathrm{Doub}^{\bullet,\bullet} \wedge^\bullet \mathfrak{g}^*\right) \to \mathrm{Tot}^{p+q}\left(\mathrm{Doub}^{\bullet,\bullet} \wedge^\bullet \mathfrak{g}^*\right)\right)} \\ &\to\quad \frac{\ker\left(\mathrm{d}\colon\; \mathrm{Tot}^{p+q}\left(\mathrm{Doub}^{\bullet,\bullet} \wedge^\bullet X\right) \to \mathrm{Tot}^{p+q+1}\left(\mathrm{Doub}^{\bullet,\bullet} \wedge^\bullet X\right)\right) \cap \mathrm{Doub}^{p,q} \wedge^\bullet X}{\mathrm{im}\left(\mathrm{d}\colon\; \mathrm{Tot}^{p+q-1}\left(\mathrm{Doub}^{\bullet,\bullet} \wedge^\bullet X\right) \to \mathrm{Tot}^{p+q}\left(\mathrm{Doub}^{\bullet,\bullet} \wedge^\bullet X\right)\right)} \end{aligned}$$

is surjective, in fact an isomorphism.

Step 4 – *The symplectic Aeppli cohomology.*
Let J be a G-left-invariant ω-compatible almost-complex structure on X, and consider the G-left-invariant J-Hermitian metric $g := \omega\left(\cdot,\, J\cdot\cdot\right)$. By [79, Corollary 3.25], the Hodge-$*$-operator $*_g\colon \wedge^\bullet X \to \wedge^{2n-\bullet}X$ induces the isomorphism $*_g\colon H^\bullet_{BC}(X;\mathbb{R}) \xrightarrow{\simeq} H^{2n-\bullet}_{A}(X;\mathbb{R})$, and, since g is G-left-invariant, also the isomorphism $*_g\colon H^\bullet_{BC}(\mathfrak{g};\mathbb{R}) \xrightarrow{\simeq} H^{2n-\bullet}_{A}(\mathfrak{g};\mathbb{R})$. Hence one has the commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd} H^\bullet_{BC}\left(\mathfrak{g};\mathbb{R}\right) \arrow[r, "\simeq", "\iota"'] \arrow[d, "*_g"', "\simeq"] & H^\bullet_{BC}\left(X;\mathbb{R}\right) \arrow[d, "*_g"', "\simeq"] \\ H^{\dim_{\mathbb{R}}\mathfrak{g}-\bullet}_{A}\left(\mathfrak{g};\mathbb{R}\right) \arrow[r, "\iota"'] & H^{\dim X-\bullet}_{A}\left(X;\mathbb{R}\right) \end{tikzcd} \;,$$

from which it follows that also the natural map $\iota\colon H^{\bullet}_{A}(\mathfrak{g};\mathbb{R}) \to H^{\bullet}_{A}(X;\mathbb{R})$ is an isomorphism. $\square$

We now generalize the above results to the case of (non-necessarily completely-solvable) solvmanifolds endowed with a left-invariant symplectic structure. Consider the sub-complex $A^{\bullet}_{\Gamma} \subseteq \wedge^{\bullet}\Gamma\backslash G \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}$ defined in (1) and suppose that $\mathrm{d}^{\Lambda}\lfloor_{A^{\bullet}_{\Gamma}}\colon A^{\bullet}_{\Gamma} \to A^{\bullet-1}_{\Gamma}$. Then define

$$H^{\bullet}_{BC}\left(A^{\bullet}_{\Gamma}\right) := H\left(A^{\bullet}_{\Gamma} \stackrel{\mathrm{d}\,\mathrm{d}^{\Lambda}}{\to} A^{\bullet}_{\Gamma} \stackrel{\mathrm{d}+\mathrm{d}^{\Lambda}}{\to} A^{\bullet+1}_{\Gamma} \oplus A^{\bullet-1}_{\Gamma}\right) .$$

As a consequence of the previous results, we get the following theorem.

Theorem 2.33. *Let $\Gamma\backslash G$ be a $2n$-dimensional solvmanifold endowed with a G-left-invariant symplectic structure ω. We consider the subcomplex $A^{\bullet}_{\Gamma} \subseteq \wedge^{\bullet}\Gamma\backslash G \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}$ defined in (1). We suppose that $\omega \in A^{2}_{\Gamma}$. Then we have:*

- *the symplectic Hodge-$\star$-operator satisfies $\star_{\omega}\lfloor_{A^{\bullet}_{\Gamma}}\colon A^{\bullet}_{\Gamma} \to A^{2n-\bullet}_{\Gamma}$, and hence the symplectic co-differential operator satisfies $\mathrm{d}^{\Lambda}\lfloor_{A^{\bullet}_{\Gamma}}\colon A^{\bullet}_{\Gamma} \to A^{\bullet-1}_{\Gamma}$;*
- *the inclusion $A^{\bullet}_{\Gamma} \hookrightarrow \wedge^{\bullet}\Gamma\backslash G \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}$ induces the isomorphism*

$$H^{\bullet}_{BC}(A^{\bullet}_{\Gamma}) \stackrel{\simeq}{\to} H^{\bullet}_{BC}\left(\Gamma\backslash G\right) \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C} .$$

Proof. Denote the Lie algebra associated to G by $\mathfrak{g}$, and its complexification by $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}} := \mathfrak{g} \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}$, and consider a basis $\{X_1, \ldots, X_{2n}\}$ of $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}$ with respect to which $\mathrm{Ad}_{\mathrm{s}} = \mathrm{diag}\left(\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_{2n}\right)$ for some characters $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_{2n} \in \mathrm{Hom}(G;\mathbb{C}^*)$. Denote by $\{x_1, \ldots, x_{2n}\}$ the dual basis of $\mathfrak{g}^*_{\mathbb{C}}$ of $\{X_1, \ldots, X_{2n}\}$. Recall that, by (2), for any $p \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$A^{p}_{\Gamma} = \mathbb{C}\left\langle \alpha_{i_1\cdots i_p}\, x_{i_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge x_{i_p} \;\middle|\; 1 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \cdots < i_p \leq 2n \text{ such that } \alpha_{i_1\cdots i_p}\lfloor_{\Gamma} = 1 \right\rangle ,$$

where $\alpha_{i_1\cdots i_p} := \alpha_{i_1} \cdot \cdots \cdot \alpha_{i_p} \in \mathrm{Hom}\left(G;\mathbb{C}^*\right)$.

Define the subspace $A^{\bullet}$ of $\wedge^{\bullet}G \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}$ as

$$A^{\bullet} := \wedge^{\bullet}\mathbb{C}\left\langle \alpha_1\, x_1, \ldots, \alpha_n\, x_n \right\rangle .$$

Then, since the space of Γ-left-invariant forms in $\wedge^{\bullet}G \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}$ is $\left(\wedge^{\bullet}G \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}\right)^{\Gamma} = \wedge^{\bullet}\Gamma\backslash G \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}$, we have

$$A^{\bullet}_{\Gamma} = A^{\bullet} \cap \left(\wedge^{\bullet}G \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}\right)^{\Gamma} .$$

Let $\omega \in A^2$ be a symplectic form. Then we can regard ω as a symplectic bi-$\mathbb{C}$-linear form on the $\mathbb{C}$-vector space $\mathbb{C}\left\langle \alpha_1\, x_1, \ldots, \alpha_{2n}\, x_{2n} \right\rangle$, and we have

$$\star_{\omega}\lfloor_{A^{\bullet}}\colon A^{\bullet} \to A^{2n-\bullet} .$$

Suppose that $\omega \in \left(\wedge^{\bullet}G \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}\right)^{\Gamma}$. Then we have

$$\star_{\omega}\lfloor_{\left(\wedge^{\bullet}G\otimes_{\mathbb{R}}\mathbb{C}\right)^{\Gamma}}\colon \left(\wedge^{\bullet}G \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}\right)^{\Gamma} \to \left(\wedge^{2n-\bullet}G \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}\right)^{\Gamma} .$$

Hence we get

$$\star_{\omega}\lfloor_{A^{\bullet}_{\Gamma}}\colon A^{\bullet}_{\Gamma} \to A^{2n-\bullet}_{\Gamma} .$$

By Theorem 2.8, the inclusion $A^{\bullet}_{\Gamma} \hookrightarrow \wedge^{\bullet}\Gamma\backslash G \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}$ induces the isomorphism

$$H^{\bullet}\left(A^{\bullet}_{\Gamma},\, \mathrm{d}\right) \stackrel{\simeq}{\to} H^{\bullet}_{dR}(\Gamma\backslash G\,;\mathbb{C}) .$$

As similar to the proof of Theorem 2.32, by means of the isomorphisms

$$\star_{\omega}\colon H^{\bullet}\left(A^{\bullet}_{\Gamma},\, \mathrm{d}\right) \stackrel{\simeq}{\to} H^{2n-\bullet}\left(A^{\bullet}_{\Gamma},\, \mathrm{d}^{\Lambda}\right) \qquad \text{and} \qquad \star_{\omega}\colon H^{\bullet}_{dR}\left(\Gamma\backslash G\,;\mathbb{C}\right) \xrightarrow{\simeq} H^{2n-\bullet}_{\mathrm{d}^{\Lambda}}\left(\Gamma\backslash G\,;\mathbb{C}\right) ,$$

we have the isomorphism

$$H^{\bullet}\left(A^{\bullet}_{\Gamma},\, \mathrm{d}^{\Lambda}\right) \stackrel{\simeq}{\to} H^{\bullet}_{\mathrm{d}^{\Lambda}}\left(\Gamma\backslash G\,;\mathbb{C}\right) .$$

As similar to the proof of Theorem 2.32, we take an infinite cyclic multiplicative group $\{\beta^m \,:\, m \in \mathbb{Z}\}$, we define $\mathrm{Doub}^{\bullet_1,\bullet_2} A^{\bullet}_{\Gamma} := A^{\bullet_1-\bullet_2} \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{R}\beta^{\bullet_2}$ and $\mathrm{Doub}^{\bullet,\bullet} \wedge^{\bullet}X := \wedge^{\bullet_1-\bullet_2}X \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{R}\beta^{\bullet_2}$, and we consider the double complexes

$$\left(\mathrm{Doub}^{\bullet,\bullet} A^{\bullet}_{\Gamma},\, \mathrm{d} \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathrm{id},\, \mathrm{d}^{\Lambda} \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \beta\right) \qquad \text{and} \qquad \left(\mathrm{Doub}^{\bullet,\bullet} \wedge^{\bullet}X,\, \mathrm{d} \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathrm{id},\, \mathrm{d}^{\Lambda} \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \beta\right) .$$

Consider the finite set of characters

$$\mathcal{A}_{\Gamma} := \left\{ \alpha_{i_1\cdots i_p} \in \mathrm{Hom}(G;\mathbb{C}^*) \,:\, 1 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \cdots < i_p \leq 2n \text{ such that } \alpha_{i_1\cdots i_p}\lfloor_{\Gamma} = 1 \right\} ;$$

we consider the subcomplex

$$\iota\colon \left(\bigoplus_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}_\Gamma} \alpha \cdot \wedge^\bullet \mathfrak{g}^*_{\mathbb{C}},\, \mathrm{d} \right) \hookrightarrow \left(\wedge^\bullet\, \Gamma\backslash G \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C},\, \mathrm{d} \right)$$

as in Theorem 2.9. By considering the F. A. Belgun symmetrization map $\mu\colon \wedge^\bullet X \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C} \to \wedge^\bullet \mathfrak{g}^*_{\mathbb{C}}$, [15, Theorem 7], since ω is G-left-invariant, for a G-left-invariant form θ on $\Gamma\backslash G$ and a function f on $\Gamma\backslash G$ we have

$$\star_\omega \left(\mu(f\,\theta) \right) \;=\; \mu(f)\, \star_\omega (\theta) \;=\; \mu \left(\star_\omega (f\,\theta) \right) \;.$$

Hence we have

$$\star_\omega \circ \mu \;=\; \mu \circ \star_\omega \;.$$

We consider the morphism

$$\Phi \;:=\; \sum_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}_\Gamma} \varphi_\alpha \colon\, \wedge^\bullet\, \Gamma\backslash G \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C} \to \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}_\Gamma} \alpha \cdot \wedge^\bullet \mathfrak{g}^*_{\mathbb{C}} \;,$$

as in Theorem 2.9, where we recall that, for $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}_\Gamma$,

$$\varphi_\alpha \;:=\; \alpha \cdot \mu \left(\frac{\cdot}{\alpha} \right) \,:\; \wedge^\bullet\, \Gamma\backslash G \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C} \to \alpha \cdot \wedge^\bullet \mathfrak{g}^*_{\mathbb{C}} \;.$$

Then we have

$$\star_\omega \circ \Phi \;=\; \Phi \circ \star_\omega \;.$$

Hence the operator d^Λ is defined on $\bigoplus_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}_\Gamma} \alpha \cdot \wedge^\bullet \mathfrak{g}^*_{\mathbb{C}}$ and we have the diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd} \left(\bigoplus_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}_\Gamma} \alpha \cdot \wedge^\bullet \mathfrak{g}^*_{\mathbb{C}},\, \mathrm{d},\, \mathrm{d}^\Lambda \right) \arrow[r, bend left, "\iota"] & \left(\wedge^\bullet\, \Gamma\backslash G\,,\, \mathrm{d},\, \mathrm{d}^\Lambda \right) \arrow[l, bend left, "\Phi"] \end{tikzcd} .$$

We consider the decomposition

$$\bigoplus_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}_\Gamma} \alpha \cdot \wedge^\bullet \mathfrak{g}^*_{\mathbb{C}} \;=\; A^\bullet_\Gamma \oplus D^\bullet$$

of cochain complexes as in the proof of Corollary 2.10. Then $A^\bullet_\Gamma$ is the sub-complex that consists of the elements of $\bigoplus_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}_\Gamma} \alpha \cdot \wedge^{\bullet,\bullet} \mathfrak{g}^*_{\mathbb{C}}$ fixed by the action of $\mathrm{Ad}_s(G)$ and $D^\bullet$ is its complement for the action. We have

$$D^\bullet \;=\; \mathbb{C} \left\langle \alpha\, x_{i_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge x_{i_p} \;\middle|\; 1 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \cdots < i_p \leq 2n \text{ such that } \alpha \in \mathcal{A}_\Gamma \text{ and } \alpha \neq \alpha_{i_1 \ldots i_p} \right\rangle \;.$$

Hence for $d \in D^\bullet$ we can write $d = \sum_{j=1}^{2n} \alpha_j\, a_j$ such that $a_1, \ldots, a_{2n} \in A^\bullet_\Gamma$ and $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_{2n}$ are non-trivial character. We have $\star_\omega(d) = \sum_{j=1}^{2n} \alpha_j \star_\omega (a_j)$. Since $\star_\omega(a_j) \in A^{2n-\bullet}_\Gamma$ and $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_{2n}$ are non-trivial, we have $\star_\omega(d) \in D^{2n-\bullet}$. Hence we have

$$\star_\omega \lfloor_{D^\bullet} \colon D^\bullet \to D^{2n-\bullet} \;.$$

Since $D^\bullet$ is a sub-complex, the operator d^Λ is defined on $D^\bullet$ and the projection $p\colon \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}_\Gamma} \alpha \cdot \wedge^\bullet \mathfrak{g}^*_{\mathbb{C}} \to A^\bullet_\Gamma$ is a homomorphism which commutes with the operators d and d^Λ. Hence we have the diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd} \left(A^\bullet_\Gamma,\, \mathrm{d},\, \mathrm{d}^\Lambda \right) \arrow[r, bend left, "\iota"] & \left(\bigoplus_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}_\Gamma} \alpha \cdot \wedge^\bullet \mathfrak{g}^*_{\mathbb{C}},\, \mathrm{d},\, \mathrm{d}^\Lambda \right) \arrow[l, bend left, "p"] \arrow[r, bend left, "\iota"] & \left(\wedge_\bullet\, \Gamma\backslash G\,,\, \mathrm{d},\, \mathrm{d}^\Lambda \right) \arrow[l, bend left, "\Phi"] \end{tikzcd} \;.$$

This implies that the induced map

$$\iota\colon H^\bullet_{BC} \left(A^\bullet_\Gamma \right) \to H^\bullet_{BC} \left(\Gamma\backslash G \right) \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}$$

is injective.

To prove surjectivity, as similar to the proof of Theorem 2.32, we consider the double complexes

$$\left(\mathrm{Doub}^{\bullet,\bullet} \wedge^\bullet X,\, \mathrm{d} \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathrm{id},\, \mathrm{d}^\Lambda \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \beta \right) \;,$$

and

$$\left(\mathrm{Doub}^{\bullet,\bullet} \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}_\Gamma} \alpha \cdot \wedge^\bullet \mathfrak{g}^*_{\mathbb{C}},\, \mathrm{d} \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathrm{id},\, \mathrm{d}^\Lambda \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \beta \right) \;, \qquad \text{and } \left(\mathrm{Doub}^{\bullet,\bullet} A^\bullet_\Gamma,\, \mathrm{d} \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathrm{id},\, \mathrm{d}^\Lambda \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \beta \right) \;.$$

Then we have the diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\left(\mathrm{Doub}^{\bullet,\bullet}\, A_{\Gamma}^{\bullet},\ \mathrm{d}\otimes_{\mathbb{R}}\mathrm{id},\ \mathrm{d}^{\Lambda}\otimes_{\mathbb{R}}\beta\right) \arrow[d, bend left, "\iota\otimes_{\mathbb{R}}\mathrm{id}"] \\
\left(\mathrm{Doub}^{\bullet,\bullet} \bigoplus_{\alpha\in\mathcal{A}_{\Gamma}} \alpha\cdot\wedge^{\bullet}\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}^{*},\ \mathrm{d}\otimes_{\mathbb{R}}\mathrm{id},\ \mathrm{d}^{\Lambda}\otimes_{\mathbb{R}}\beta\right) \arrow[u, bend left, "p\otimes_{\mathbb{R}}\mathrm{id}"] \arrow[d, bend left, "\iota\otimes_{\mathbb{R}}\mathrm{id}"] \\
\left(\mathrm{Doub}^{\bullet,\bullet}\wedge^{\bullet}\Gamma\backslash G,\ \mathrm{d}\otimes_{\mathbb{R}}\mathrm{id},\ \mathrm{d}^{\Lambda}\otimes_{\mathbb{R}}\beta\right) \arrow[u, bend left, "\Phi\otimes_{\mathbb{R}}\mathrm{id}"]
\end{tikzcd} .$$

Hence as similar to the previous arguments, for any $(p,q)\in\mathbb{Z}^2$, the induced map

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\dfrac{\ker\left(\mathrm{d}\colon \mathrm{Tot}^{p+q}(\mathrm{Doub}^{\bullet,\bullet}\, A_{\Gamma}^{\bullet})\to\mathrm{Tot}^{p+q+1}(\mathrm{Doub}^{\bullet,\bullet}\, A_{\Gamma}^{\bullet})\right)\cap\mathrm{Doub}^{p,q}\wedge^{\bullet}\mathfrak{g}^{*}}{\mathrm{im}\left(\mathrm{d}\colon \mathrm{Tot}^{p+q-1}\left(\mathrm{Doub}^{\bullet,\bullet}\, A_{\Gamma}^{\bullet}\right)\to\mathrm{Tot}^{p+q}\left(\mathrm{Doub}^{\bullet,\bullet}\, A_{\Gamma}^{\bullet}\right)\right)} \arrow[d] \\
\dfrac{\ker\left(\mathrm{d}\colon \mathrm{Tot}^{p+q}(\mathrm{Doub}^{\bullet,\bullet}\wedge^{\bullet}\Gamma\backslash G)\to\mathrm{Tot}^{p+q+1}(\mathrm{Doub}^{\bullet,\bullet}\wedge^{\bullet}\Gamma\backslash G)\right)\cap\mathrm{Doub}^{p,q}\wedge^{\bullet}\Gamma\backslash G}{\mathrm{im}\left(\mathrm{d}\colon \mathrm{Tot}^{p+q-1}(\mathrm{Doub}^{\bullet,\bullet}\wedge^{\bullet}\Gamma\backslash G)\to\mathrm{Tot}^{p+q}(\mathrm{Doub}^{\bullet,\bullet}\wedge^{\bullet}\Gamma\backslash G)\right)}
\end{tikzcd}$$

is an isomorphism. By applying Theorem 1.3, the induced map

$$\iota\colon H_{BC}^{\bullet}\left(A_{\Gamma}^{\bullet}\right)\to H_{BC}^{\bullet}\left(\Gamma\backslash G\right)\otimes_{\mathbb{R}}\mathbb{C}$$

is surjective, and hence the theorem follows. □

Example 2.34. We again consider the complex parallelizable Nakamura manifold $\Gamma\backslash G$ in Example 2.25. We have the G-left-invariant symplectic structure

$$\omega\ :=\ \mathrm{d}\,z_1\wedge\mathrm{d}\,\bar{z}_1+\mathrm{d}\,z_2\wedge\mathrm{d}\,z_3+\mathrm{d}\,\bar{z}_2\wedge\mathrm{d}\,\bar{z}_3\ .$$

Consider the subcomplex $A_{\Gamma}^{\bullet}\subseteq\wedge^{\bullet}\Gamma\backslash G\otimes_{\mathbb{R}}\mathbb{C}$ defined in (1).

For case *(a)* and case *(b)*, the subcomplex $A_{\Gamma}^{\bullet}$ is summarized in Table 9, respectively Table 12. Note that we have $\omega\in A_{\Gamma}^{2}$ in both cases. The operator d on $A_{\Gamma}^{\bullet}$ is trivial and so d^{Λ} is. Hence we have the natural isomorphism $A_{\Gamma}^{\bullet}\xrightarrow{\simeq}H_{BC}^{\bullet}(\Gamma\backslash G)\otimes_{\mathbb{R}}\mathbb{C}$. Since we have also the natural isomorphism $A_{\Gamma}^{\bullet}\xrightarrow{\simeq}H_{dR}^{\bullet}(\Gamma\backslash G\,;\mathbb{C})$, the natural map $H_{BC}^{\bullet}(\Gamma\backslash G)\otimes_{\mathbb{R}}\mathbb{C}\to H_{dR}^{\bullet}(\Gamma\backslash G\,;\mathbb{C})$ is an isomorphism. Hence the $\mathrm{d}\,\mathrm{d}^{\Lambda}$-lemma holds, which is equivalent to the Hard Lefschetz Condition, namely, to $[\omega]^k : H_{dR}^{3-k}(\Gamma\backslash G\,;\mathbb{R})\to H_{dR}^{3+k}(\Gamma\backslash G\,;\mathbb{R})$ being an isomorphism for every $k\in\{0,1,2,3\}$, [64, Proposition 1.4], [45], [23, Theorem 5.4]; (see also, e.g., [22, Conjecture 2.2.7], [63, Corollary 2], [88, Theorem 0.1], [79, Proposition 3.13], [20], [62, §3, Theorem 4], [9, Remark 2.3], [10, Theorem 4.4], [50, §8], [49, §5] for other results concerning Hard Lefschetz Condition on compact symplectic manifolds).

Remark 2.35 ([49])**.** In particular, by the direct computations of Lefschetz operators, we can show that solvmanifolds $\Gamma\backslash G$ such that $G=\mathbb{R}^n\ltimes_{\phi}\mathbb{R}^m$ with a semi-simple action $\phi\colon\mathbb{R}^n\to\mathrm{GL}(\mathbb{R}^m)$ satisfy the Hard Lefschetz Condition, [49, Corollary 1.5]. In particular, completely-solvable Nakamura manifold satisfies the Hard Lefschetz Condition, [49].

References

[1] A. Aeppli, On the cohomology structure of Stein manifolds, *Proc. Conf. Complex Analysis (Minneapolis, Minn., 1964)*, Springer, Berlin, 1965, pp. 58–70.

[2] L. Alessandrini, G. Bassanelli, Small deformations of a class of compact non-Kähler manifolds, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **109** (1990), no. 4, 1059–1062.

[3] A. Andrada, M. L. Barberis, I. G. Dotti Miatello, Classification of abelian complex structures on 6-dimensional Lie algebras, *J. Lond. Math. Soc. (2)* **83** (2011), no. 1, 232–255.

[4] D. Angella, The cohomologies of the Iwasawa manifold and of its small deformations, DOI: `10.1007/s12220-011-9291-z`, to appear in *J. Geom. Anal.*.

[5] D. Angella, Cohomologies of certain orbifolds, `arXiv:1211.2561 [math.DG]`.

[6] D. Angella, M. G. Franzini, F. A. Rossi, Degree of non-Kählerianity for 6-dimensional nilmanifolds, `arXiv:1210.0406 [math.DG]`.

[7] D. Angella, A. Tomassini, On cohomological decomposition of almost-complex manifolds and deformations, *J. Symplectic Geom.* **9** (2011), no. 3, 403–428.

[8] D. Angella, A. Tomassini, On the $\partial\overline{\partial}$-Lemma and Bott-Chern cohomology, DOI: `10.1007/s00222-012-0406-3`, to appear in *Invent. Math.*.

[9] D. Angella, A. Tomassini, Symplectic manifolds and cohomological decomposition, `arXiv:1211.2565 [math.SG]`.

[10] D. Angella, A. Tomassini, Inequalities *à la* Frölicher and cohomological decompositions.

[11] D. Angella, A. Tomassini, W. Zhang, On Cohomological Decomposability of Almost–Kähler Structures, `arXiv:1211.2928v1 [math.DG]`, to appear in *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*.

[12] W. L. Baily, The decomposition theorem for V-manifolds, *Amer. J. Math.* **78** (1956), no. 4, 862–888.

[13] W. L. Baily, On the quotient of an analytic manifold by a group of analytic homeomorphisms, *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **40** (1954), no. 9, 804–808.

[14] M. L. Barberis, I. G. Dotti Miatello, R. J. Miatello, On certain locally homogeneous Clifford manifolds, *Ann. Global Anal. Geom.* **13** (1995), no. 3, 289–301.

[15] F. A. Belgun, On the metric structure of non-Kähler complex surfaces, *Math. Ann.* **317** (2000), no. 1, 1–40.

[16] Ch. Benson, C. S. Gordon, Kähler and symplectic structures on nilmanifolds, *Topology* **27** (1988), no. 4, 513–518.

[17] B. Bigolin, Gruppi di Aeppli, *Ann. Sc. Norm. Super. Pisa Cl. Sci. (3)* **23** (1969), no. 2, 259–287.

[18] J.-M. Bismut, Hypoelliptic Laplacian and Bott-Chern cohomology, preprint (Orsay) (2011).

[19] S. Bochner, Compact groups of differentiable transformations, *Annals of Math. (2)* **46** (1945), no. 3, 372–381.

[20] Ch. Bock, On Low-Dimensional Solvmanifolds, `arXiv:0903.2926v4 [math.DG]`.

[21] R. Bott, S. S. Chern, Hermitian vector bundles and the equidistribution of the zeroes of their holomorphic sections, *Acta Math.* **114** (1965), no. 1, 71–112.

[22] J.-L. Brylinski, A differential complex for Poisson manifolds, *J. Differ. Geom.* **28** (1988), no. 1, 93–114.

[23] G. R. Cavalcanti, New aspects of the dd^c-lemma, Oxford University D. Phil thesis, `arXiv:math/0501406v1 [math.DG]`.

[24] G. R. Cavalcanti, *Introduction to generalized complex geometry*, Publicações Matemáticas do IMPA, 26^o Colóquio Brasileiro de Matemática, Instituto Nacional de Matemática Pura e Aplicada (IMPA), Rio de Janeiro, 2007.

[25] G. R. Cavalcanti, The decomposition of forms and cohomology of generalized complex manifolds, *J. Geom. Phys.* **57** (2006), no. 1, 121–132.

[26] M. Ceballos, A. Otal, L. Ugarte, R. Villacampa, Classification of complex structures on 6-dimensional nilpotent Lie algebras, `arXiv:1111.5873v4 [math.DG]`.

[27] A. Connes, Noncommutative differential geometry, *Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math.* **62** (1985), 257–360.

[28] S. Console, Dolbeault cohomology and deformations of nilmanifolds, *Rev. Unión Mat. Argent.* **47** (2006), no. 1, 51–60.

[29] S. Console, A. Fino, Dolbeault cohomology of compact nilmanifolds, *Transform. Groups* **6** (2001), no. 2, 111–124.

[30] S. Console, A. Fino, On the de Rham cohomology of solvmanifolds, *Ann. Sc. Norm. Super. Pisa Cl. Sci. (5)* **10** (2011), no. 4, 801–818.

[31] L. A. Cordero, M. Fernández, L. Ugarte, A. Gray, A general description in the terms of the Frölicher spectral sequence, *Differ. Geom. Appl.* **7** (1997), no. 1, 75–84.

[32] L. A. Cordero, M. Fernández, A. Gray, L. Ugarte, Compact nilmanifolds with nilpotent complex structures: Dolbeault cohomology, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **352** (2000), no. 12, 5405–5433.

[33] L. C. de Andrés, M. Fernández, M. de León, J. J. Mencía, Some six-dimensional compact symplectic and complex solvmanifolds, *Rend. Mat. Appl. (7)* **12** (1992), no. 1, 59–67.

[34] P. de Bartolomeis, A. Tomassini, On solvable generalized Calabi-Yau manifolds, *Ann. Inst. Fourier* **56** (2006), no. 5, 1281–1296.

[35] K. Dekimpe, Semi-simple splittings for solvable Lie groups and polynomial structures, *Forum Math.* **12** (2000), no. 1, 77–96.

[36] P. Deligne, Ph. Griffiths, J. Morgan, D. P. Sullivan, Real homotopy theory of Kähler manifolds, *Invent. Math.* **29** (1975), no. 3, 245–274.

[37] J.-P. Demailly, *Complex Analytic and Differential Geometry*, `http://www-fourier.ujf-grenoble.fr/~demailly/manuscripts/agbook.pdf` 2012.

[38] G. de Rham, *Differentiable manifolds. Forms, currents, harmonic forms.*, Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften, **266**, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1984.

[39] N. Dungey, A. F. M. ter Elst, D. W. Robinson, *Analysis on Lie Groups with Polynomial Growth*, Progress in Mathematics, **214**, Birkhäuser Boston (2003).

[40] M. Fernández, R. Ibáñez, M. de León, The canonical spectral sequences for Poisson manifolds, *Isr. J. Math.* **106** (1998), no. 1, 133–155.

[41] M. Fernández, V. Muñoz, J. A. Santisteban, Cohomologically Kähler manifolds with no Kähler metrics, *Int. J. Math. Sci.* (2003), no. 52, 3315–3325.

[42] Ph. Griffiths, J. Harris, *Principles of algebraic geometry*, Reprint of the 1978 original, Wiley Classics Library, John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York, 1994.

[43] T. G. Goodwillie, Cyclic homology, derivations, and the free loopspace, *Topology* **24** (1985), no. 2, 187–215.

[44] M. Gualtieri, Generalized complex geometry, *Ann. of Math. (2)* **174** (2011), no. 1, 75–123.

[45] V. Guillemin, Symplectic Hodge theory and the $d\,\delta$-Lemma, preprint, Massachusetts Insitute of Technology, 2001.

[46] K. Hasegawa, Minimal models of nilmanifolds, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **106** (1989), no. 1, 65–71.

[47] K. Hasegawa, Small deformations and non-left-invariant complex structures on six-dimensional compact solvmanifolds, *Differ. Geom. Appl.* **28** (2010), no. 2, 220–227.

[48] A. Hattori, Spectral sequence in the de Rham cohomology of fibre bundles, *J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo Sect. I* **8** (1960), no. 1960, 289–331.

[49] H. Kasuya, Formality and hard Lefschetz properties of aspherical manifolds, `arXiv:0910.1175v4 [math.GT]`, to appear in *Osaka J. Math.*.

[50] H. Kasuya, Minimal models, formality and hard Lefschetz properties of solvmanifolds with local systems, `arXiv:1009.1940v5 [math.GT]`, to appear in *J. Differ. Geom.*.

[51] H. Kasuya, Techniques of computations of Dolbeault cohomology of solvmanifolds, `arXiv:1107.4761v3 [math.DG]`, DOI: `10.1007/s00209-012-1013-0`, to appear in *Math. Z.*.

[52] H. Kasuya, Hodge symmetry and decomposition on non-Kähler solvmanifolds, `arXiv:1109.5929v4 [math.DG]`.
[53] H. Kasuya, Geometrical formality of solvmanifolds and solvable Lie type geometries, `arXiv:1207.2390v2 [math.DG]`, to appear in *RIMS Kôkyûroku Bessatsu*, *Geometry of Transformation Groups and Combinatorics*.
[54] H. Kasuya, De Rham and Dolbeault cohomology of solvmanifolds with local systems, `arXiv:1207.3988v3 [math.DG]`.
[55] H. Kasuya, Degenerations of the Frölicher spectral sequences of solvmanifolds, `arXiv:1210.2661v2 [math.DG]`.
[56] K. Kodaira, *Complex manifolds and deformation of complex structures*, Translated from the 1981 Japanese original by Kazuo Akao, Reprint of the 1986 English edition, Classics in Mathematics, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2005.
[57] K. Kodaira, D. C. Spencer, On deformations of complex analytic structures. III. Stability theorems for complex structures, *Annals of Math. (2)* **71** (1960), no. 1, 43–76.
[58] R. Kooistra, Regulator currents on compact complex manifolds, Thesis (Ph.D.)–University of Alberta (Canada), 2011.
[59] J.-L. Koszul, Crochet de Schouten-Nijenhuis et cohomologie, The mathematical heritage of Élie Cartan (Lyon, 1984), *Astérisque* **1985**, Numero Hors Serie, 257–271.
[60] A. Latorre, L. Ugarte, R. Villacampa, On the Bott-Chern cohomology and balanced Hermitian nilmanifolds, `arXiv:1210.0395 [math.DG]`.
[61] T.-J. Li, W. Zhang, Comparing tamed and compatible symplectic cones and cohomological properties of almost complex manifolds, *Comm. Anal. Geom.* **17** (2009), no. 4, 651–684.
[62] M. Macrì, Cohomological properties of unimodular six dimensional solvable Lie algebras, `arXiv:1111.5958v2 [math.DG]`.
[63] O. Mathieu, Harmonic cohomology classes of symplectic manifolds, *Comment. Math. Helv.* **70** (1995), no. 1, 1–9.
[64] S. A. Merkulov, Formality of canonical symplectic complexes and Frobenius manifolds, *Int. Math. Res. Not.* **1998** (1998), no. 14, 727–733.
[65] J. McCleary, *A user's guide to spectral sequences*, Second edition, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, **58**, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2001.
[66] J. Milnor, Curvature of left-invariant metrics on Lie groups, *Adv. Math.* **21** (1976), no. 3, 293–329.
[67] G. D. Mostow, Cohomology of topological groups and solvmanifolds, *Annals of Math. (2)* **73** (1961), no. 1, 20–48.
[68] I. Nakamura, Complex parallelisable manifolds and their small deformations, *J. Differ. Geom.* **10** (1975), no. 1, 85–112.
[69] K. Nomizu, On the cohomology of compact homogeneous spaces of nilpotent Lie groups, *Annals of Math. (2)* **59** (1954), no. 3, 531–538.
[70] J. Raissy, *Normalizzazione di campi vettoriali olomorfi*, Tesi di Laurea Specialistica, Università di Pisa, `http://etd.adm.unipi.it/theses/available/etd-06022006-141206/`, 2006.
[71] M. S. Raghunathan, *Discrete subgroups of Lie Groups*, Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete, Band **68**, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1972.
[72] S. Rollenske, Geometry of nilmanifolds with left-invariant complex structure and deformations in the large, *Proc. London Math. Soc.* **99** (2009), no. 2, 425–460.
[73] S. Rollenske, Dolbeault cohomology of nilmanifolds with left-invariant complex structure, in W. Ebeling, K. Hulek, K. Smoczyk (eds.), *Complex and Differential Geometry: Conference held at Leibniz Universität Hannover, September 14 – 18, 2009*, Springer Proceedings in Mathematics **8**, Springer, 2011, 369–392.
[74] Y. Sakane, On compact complex parallelisable solvmanifolds, *Osaka J. Math.* **13** (1976), no. 1, 187–212.
[75] S. M. Salamon, Complex structures on nilpotent Lie algebras, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* **157** (2001), no. 2-3, 311–333.
[76] I. Satake, On a generalization of the notion of manifold, *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **42** (1956), no. 6, 359–363.
[77] M. Schweitzer, Autour de la cohomologie de Bott-Chern, `arXiv:0709.3528v1 [math.AG]`.
[78] A. Tomasiello, Reformulating supersymmetry with a generalized Dolbeault operator, *J. High Energy Phys.* **2008**, no. 2, 010, 25 pp.
[79] L.-S. Tseng, S.-T. Yau, Cohomology and Hodge Theory on Symplectic Manifolds: I, *J. Differ. Geom.* **91** (2012), no. 3, 383–416.
[80] L.-S. Tseng, S.-T. Yau, Cohomology and Hodge Theory on Symplectic Manifolds: II, *J. Differ. Geom.* **91** (2012), no. 3, 417–443.
[81] L.-S. Tseng, S.-T. Yau, Generalized Cohomologies and Supersymmetry, `arXiv:1111.6968v1 [hep-th]`.
[82] J. Varouchas, Sur l'image d'une variété Kählérienne compacte, in F. Norguet (ed.), *Fonctions de plusieurs variables complexes V*, Sémin. F. Norguet, Paris 1979-1985, Lect. Notes Math. **1188**, 245–259 (1986).
[83] C. Voisin, *Théorie de Hodge et géométrie algébrique complexe*, Cours Spécialisés, **10**, Société Mathématique de France, Paris, 2002.
[84] H.-C. Wang, Complex parallisable manifolds, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **5** (1954), no. 5, 771–776.
[85] F. W. Warner, *Foundations of differentiable manifolds and Lie groups*, Corrected reprint of the 1971 edition, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, **94**, Springer-Verlag, New York-Berlin, 1983.
[86] C.-C. Wu, On the geometry of superstrings with torsion, Thesis (Ph.D.)Harvard University, Proquest LLC, Ann Arbor, MI, 2006.
[87] T. Yamada, A pseudo-Kähler structure on a nontoral compact complex parallelizable solvmanifold, *Geom. Dedicata* **112** (2005), no. 1, 115–122.
[88] D. Yan, Hodge structure on symplectic manifolds, *Adv. Math.* **120** (1996), no. 1, 143–154.

(Daniele Angella) Dipartimento di Matematica, Università di Pisa, Largo Bruno Pontecorvo 5, 56127, Pisa, Italy
E-mail address: `angella@mail.dm.unipi.it`

(Hisashi Kasuya) Graduate School of Mathematical Sciences, The University of Tokyo, 3-8-1 Komaba, Meguro, Tokyo 153-8914, Japan
E-mail address: `khsc@ms.u-tokyo.ac.jp`